BOARD, STOCK COMP. TEAM
TO: MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE ON ACCOUNTING
FOR STOCK COMPENSATION

FROM . DIANA WILLIS , FASB

THIS MATERIAL WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE 2/19/93 MEETING.

February 17, 1993

Mr. Dennis R. Beresford, Chairman Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt Seven P.O. Box 5116 Norwalk, Connecticut 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Beresford:

We have read the proposal dated February 17, 1993 submitted by a coalition of users and preparers of financial statements. That proposal urges the FASB to change its direction on the Stock Compensation Project to a disclosure-based approach, and leave the current accounting standards in place. We agree with that approach even though we believe that not all of the proposed disclosures are necessary. The proposal provides for enhanced stock option disclosures regarding options outstanding as of each balance sheet date, a summary of option activity for each of the years for which statements of earnings, cash flows, and stockholders' equity are presented, and additional information regarding options granted during the reporting period.

We endorse that proposal for traditional fixed stock options and suggest that a similar approach be applied to other types of plans. We hope this will help the Board redirect its efforts to a disclosure-based approach for dealing with the Stock Compensation Project even if it does not encompass exactly the same information as illustrated in the proposal. We recognize that representatives of the user community are most concerned that the additional data set forth on the last page of the example footnote in the proposal regarding options granted in the latest period be presented by all companies as described.

Sincerely,

Arthur Andersen & Co.

Ernst & Young

Coopers & Lybrand

KPMG Peat Marwick

Deloitte & Touche

Price Waterhouse

February 17, 1993

Mr. Dennis R. Beresford, Chairman Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7 P.O. Box 5116 Norwalk, Connecticut 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Beresford:

Representatives of a large cross section of the main constituencies affected by the integrity of financial accounting in the U.S. request that you, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, adopt the policy we all endorse concerning the disclosure of stock option-related values in financial statements. We represent companies, both large and small, from a variety of industries, who are the subject of financial accounting standards. We represent large and small, private-and public-sector investors whose livelihoods and pensions depend upon the appropriateness of financial statement disclosure, and upon which we rely to invest close to a trillion dollars--a substantial portion of the capital markets.

Since we represent a very large portion of the main constituencies your actions are designed to protect, and since we have put a very substantial effort into working together to resolve a major issue among ourselves—the most expert and affected parties—we hope you give this policy the weight we believe it deserves.

Our proposal calls for complete, clear, and accurate disclosure of information that investors of all types need to protect and evaluate their investments. This disclosure is in lieu of, not in addition to, any new charge to earnings for stock options. This disclosure calls for the use, by all affected preparers, of three separate methods to estimate amounts attributable to stock options granted. These three methods are parallel to those currently specified in the SEC's newly amended proxy statement compensation disclosure requirements, except that the five percent and ten percent growth projections also are in present value terms.

Representatives of a significant part of the user community are particularly interested in the disclosure set forth on the last page of the attachment. Investors can use this information to compare options-related amounts between companies (and compare options values to other compensation-related expenses within companies). Significantly, this information is formatted in a way that, for the first time, even individual investors can understand. We believe this is an important constituency whose interests are not always served by experts or advisory groups. This constituency increases in importance as employee stock ownership increases.

Mr. Dennis R. Beresford Page 2

We believe that expanded disclosure is the best assurance that shareholders can have that their interests are being served. It therefore should eliminate the need for other potentially harmful governmental interference in shareholders' relationships to the companies they invest in.

Sincerely,

Council of Institutional Investors: California Public Employees' Retirement System New York City Pension Funds General Motors Investment Management Corp. California State Teachers' Retirement System New Jersey Division of Investment Florida State Board of Administration Wisconsin State Investment Board Ohio State Teachers Retirement System Pennsylvania Public School Employees' Retirement System Washington State Investment Board Minnesota State Board of Investment Maryland State Retirement Agency Oregon Public Employees' Retirement System Pennsylvania State Employees' Retirement System Colorado Public Employees' Retirement Association Connecticut Retirement & Trust Funds Massachusetts State Employees' & Teachers' Retirement System Iowa Public Employees Retirement System San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System Illinois State Universities Retirement System International Paper Illinois State Board of Investment Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment Management Board Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System TRW Investment Management Company Operating Engineers, Central Pension Fund of the International Union New Mexico Public Employees Retirement Association Detroit Policemen & Firemen Retirement System

Montana Board of Investments

Retirement

Detroit City General Retirement System Missouri State Employees' Retirement System District of Columbia Retirement Board Delaware State Employees Retirement Fund Philadelphia City Board of Pensions and

Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System Sheet Metal Workers' National Pension Fund The Putnam Management Co., Inc.

Alliance Capital Management

General Motors Investment Management Corp.

General Mills, Inc.

General Electric Company

Apple Computer, Inc.

AT&T

BFGoodrich

Edward V. Regan, Comptroller of New York State

Halliburton Company

United Shareholders Association

National Venture Capital Association

National Association of Corporate Directors

American Business Conference

National Association of Securities Dealers

LENS, Inc.

Peter Lynch, former Portfolio Manager of the Magellan Fund

Mr. Dennis R. Beresford Page 3

Time Warner Inc. The 1199 Health Care Employees Pension Fund Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System Alameda County Employees' Retirement Association Carpenters Pension Trust for Southern California **Dayton Hudson Corporation** Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Retirement Fund Contra Costa County Employees' Retirement Association Montgomery County Employees' Retirement System Carpenters Pension Fund for Chicago District Council Houston Firemen's Relief and Retirement Fund International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers' Pension Benefit Fund Iowa Municipal Fire & Police Teamster Affiliates Pension Fund Bricklavers and Trowel Trades International Pension Fund Flint City Employees Retirement System **Building Trades United Pension Fund-**Milwaukee and Vicinity **UAW International Union Staff Retirement** Income Plan Carpenters Local Unions and Councils Pension Fund United Brotherhood of Hotel **Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union Pension Fund** American Express Retirement Plan Steelworkers, National Headquarters Service Employees International Union International Brotherhood of Teamsters Retirement and Family Protection Plan Communications Workers of America AFL-CIO Staff Retirement Trust Fund AFSCME Employees Pension Plan Denver Board of Water Commissioners Golden Gate Transit-Amalgamated Retirement Board Operating Engineers, International Union Headquarters Pension Plan Los Angeles Unified School District, Annuity Reserve Fund United Mine Workers of America Amalgamated Retail Retirement Fund American Federation of Teachers Air Line Pilots Association Covington & Burling Retirement

Plan - Account of Steven M.H.

Wallman

Recommended Disclosure Requirements for Traditional Fixed Stock Options

- I. A description of each option plan should be presented. Included in this description should be a statement as to whether or not the plan was subject to shareholder approval. If the plan was subject to shareholder approval, the date of the approval and the percentage of affirmative votes with respect to the approval should be given.
- II. For options outstanding at each balance sheet date:
 - A. Number of options outstanding.
 - B. Exercise prices including the range of exercise prices and a weighted average of the exercise prices or, if necessary to understand the data presented, a display of the number of options outstanding by ranges of exercise prices.
 - C. Market prices of the underlying stock at date of grant including the range of market prices and a weighted average of the market prices or, if necessary to understand the data presented, a display of the number of options outstanding by ranges of exercise prices.
 - D. Remaining term of options including the range of remaining terms and a weighted average remaining term or, if necessary to understand the data presented, a display of the remaining term by ranges of exercise prices.
 - E. Number of option holders.
 - F. Number of options exercisable.
 - G. Number of options exercisable that have an exercise price less than the end of period market price and the total proceeds to the corporation if exercised.
 - H. Percentage of options outstanding to common stock outstanding at the end of period.
 - I. Percentage of net options outstanding with exercise price less than the end of period market price (the gross options are reduced by the number of shares that could be reacquired with the exercise proceeds using the end of period market price) to common stock outstanding at the end of period.
- III. For option activity during each income statement period:
 - A. Number of options exercised, forfeited and/or expired and any additional information necessary to reconcile the number of options outstanding from the beginning of period to end of period excluding option grants which are disclosed in B below.

- B. Data on option grants (depending on the circumstances, it may be necessary to provide this information for individual significant grants or different plans, or the information may be based on a weighted average of a group of small grants):
 - 1. Number of options granted.

2. Exercise price.

3. Market price of underlying stock at date of grant.

4. Option term.

5. Vesting requirements.

6. Number of option holders and largest number of options granted to one individual, average number of options granted to each individual, and lowest number of options granted to one individual.

7. Percentage of options granted to common stock outstanding at date of

- 8. Measurement of grant for each year calculated on each of the following bases:
 - Assumed 5% annual appreciation of stock price, both undiscounted and discounted (using a risk-free interest rate with disclosure of the rate used).
 - Assumed 10% annual appreciation of stock price, both undiscounted and discounted (using a risk-free interest rate with disclosure of the rate used).

• Amount calculated using a Black-Scholes formula with the dividend yield and volatility assumptions based on historical five-year, time weighted averages and disclosure of all assumptions.

- 9. Amounts of increase in shareholder value based on 5% and 10% annual appreciation of stock price (undiscounted) and percent of shareholder value increases to undiscounted amounts calculated in 8. The increase in shareholder value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares of common stock outstanding by the difference between the assumed future price of the stock at the end of the option term and the stock price at the date of the grant.
- C. Information on any modification made to options subsequent to their original issuance including: repricings, replacement, changes in term, and changes in vesting requirements.

IV. Additional data on grants made in latest period:

- A. Measurements of grant calculated on the following three approaches with an explanation that these measurements are not a prediction of future stock values.
 - 1. Present value of the assumed future appreciation of the stock price using a risk free discount rate as of the option grant date (with disclosure of the rate used) and an assumed 5% annual rate of stock price appreciation for the option term.
 - 2. Present value of the assumed future appreciation of the stock price using a risk free discount rate as of the option grant date (with disclosure of the rate used) and an assumed 10% annual rate of stock price appreciation for the option term.

3. Amount calculated using a Black-Scholes formula with the dividend yield and volatility assumptions based on a historical five-year, time weighted average and disclosure of all assumptions.

The foregoing are the same approaches as required under III-8 above for the discounted amounts and the amount calculated using a Black-Scholes formula.

B. Allocation of measurements made in IV A to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and to each of the four most highly compensated executive officers other than the CEO and disclosure of the percent of the grant made to each.

Example Disclosure

Under the 1989 Employee Stock Plan, the Company may grant options to officers and other employees of the company for up to 1,500,000 shares of Company common stock. The plan was approved by the shareholders on May 15, 1990, with an affirmative vote of 94% of the outstanding shares.

A summary of the status of the Company's Employee Stock Plan as of balance sheet date follows:

| Options Outstanding | <u>1991</u> x,xxx,xxx | 1992 x,xxx,xxx |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Exercise Price and Market Price at Date of Grant | | |
| | \$xx.xx- | \$xx.xx- |
| Range* | \$xx.xx | \$xx.xx |
| Weighted Average | \$xx.xx | \$xx.xx |
| Remaining Term | | |
| • | x.x-xx | x.x-xx |
| Range* | years | years |
| Weighted Average | x.x years | x.x years |
| Number of Option Holders | xxx | xxx |
| Number of Options Exercisable | xxx,xxx | xxx,xxx |
| Number Exercisable with Exercise Price Less Than Market Price at Year End and Total Proceeds to the Company if Exercised: | | |
| Number | xxx,xxx | xxx,xxx |
| Proceeds | \$xx,xxx,xxx | \$xx,xxx,xxx |
| Percentage of Options Outstanding to Total Stock Outstanding | x.x% | x.x% |
| Percentage of Net Options Outstanding with Exercise Price Below Market Price at Year End, Assuming Proceeds Used to Acquire Treasury Stock | y.y% | y.y% |

^{*} To enhance users' understanding of the data presented, it may be necessary to display more than one range (e.g., if there have been several grants during the year, the ranges are wide, etc.).

A summary of option activity for each of the years in the three year period ending December 31, 1992 follows:

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--|------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | <u>1990</u> | 1991 | <u>1992</u> |
| Options Exercised | (xx) | (xx) | (xx) |
| Options Forfeited | (xx) | (xx) | (xx) |
| Options Expired | (xx) | (xx) | (xx) |
| Data on Options Granted: | ` , | , , | ` , |
| Number of Options Granted | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| Exercise Price and Market Price/Weighted Average* | \$xx | \$xx | \$xx |
| Option Terms | 10 years | 10 years | 10 years |
| Vesting Requirements | 20%/year | 20%/year | 20%/year |
| Number of Option Holders | x,xxx | x,xxx | x,xxx |
| Largest Number of Options Granted to One Individual | xxx | xxx | XXX |
| Average Number of Options Granted to Each Individual | xx | xx | xx |
| Smallest Number of Options Granted to One Individual | x | x | x |
| Percent of options granted to common | • | | |
| stock outstanding at date of grant | x% | х% | х% |
| Various amounts based on:(1) | | | |
| Assumed 5% stock price appreciation- | | | |
| undiscounted future values | | | |
| to option holders | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| • to shareholders | xx,xxx | xx,xxx | xx,xxx |
| percent of option holder future | | | |
| gains to shareholder future gains | x% | x% | х% |
| Assumed 10% stock price appreciation - | | | |
| undiscounted future values | | | |
| to option holders | XXX | xxx | XXX |
| • to shareholders | xx,xxx | xx,xxx | xx,xxx |
| percent of option holder future | | | |
| gains to shareholder future gains | x% | х% | х% |
| Present values (option holders) | | | |
| 5% appreciation | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| • 10% appreciation | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| Black - Scholes | xxx | xxx | xxx |

| (1) The measurements presented are not predictions of future stock prices. The undiscounted |
|---|
| future value amounts represent the difference between the future price of common stock upon |
| termination of the options assuming annual increases in the stock price of 5% and 10%, |
| respectively, less the exercise price times the number of shares outstanding/options granted. The |
| present value amounts are calculated using average risk-free interest rate of, |
| and for each year, respectively. The Black-Scholes amounts are calculated using |
| dividend yields of, and and volatility of |
| , andfor each year, respectively. |
| |

^{*} To enhance users' understanding of the data presented, it may be necessary to display more than one range (e.g., if there have been several grants during the year, the ranges are wide, etc.).

Additional data regarding options granted during the year ended December 31, 199X is summarized below:

| Dollar amount, and | Result of Formula Calculation Utilizing Method Specified*: | | | | | |
|---|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| percent of all optionee total dollar amounts, allocable to: | Black-Scholes | | 5%** | | 10%** | |
| All optionees (x,xxx) | \$xxx,xxx | 100% | \$xxx,xxx | 100% | \$xxx,xxx | 100% |
| CEO | \$ xx,xxx | xx% | \$ xx,xxx | xx% | \$ xx,xxx | xx% |
| Next Highest Paid Executive Officer | \$ xx,xxx | x% | \$ xx,xxx | x%_ | \$ xx,xxx | x% |
| Next Highest Paid Executive Officer | \$ xx,xxx | x% | \$ xx,xxx | x% | \$ xx,xxx | x% |
| Next Highest Paid Executive Officer | \$ x,xxx | x% | \$ x,xxx | x% | \$ x,xxx | x%_ |
| Next Highest Paid Executive Officer | \$ x,xxx | x% | \$ x,xxx | x% | \$ x,xxx | x% |
| All Other Employees | \$xxx,xxx | xx% | \$xxx,xxx | xx% | \$xxx,xxx | xx% |

*Assumptions:

For Black-Scholes Formula - Assumed Dividend Yield - y.yy%; Assumed Stock Price Volatility - .z. Dividend yield and volatility calculated using the registrant's historical five-year, time-weighted (based on a 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 weighting with 5 being the most recent year) averages. Risk free rate approximated by yield on zero-coupon U.S. Government bonds with average maturity equal to full term of option.

For 5% and 10% Formulas - The stock price was assumed to appreciate at these rates compounded over the full term of the option. The amount potentially realized under the option with such assumed rates was then discounted to present value using the risk free rate described above.

^{**}These numbers are for presentation purposes only and are not predictions of future stock prices.