

Securities Regulation & Law Report

Number 353

21 22

# SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT

May 19, 1976

## REPORT OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## ON QUESTIONABLE AND ILLEGAL CORPORATE

## PAYMENTS AND PRACTICES

SUBMITTED TO THE SENATE BANKING, HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

MAY 12, 1976

#### INTRODUCTION

In a letter dated March 18, 1976, to Chairman Proximire, Chairman Hills offered to provide a detailed analysis of information concerning illegal or questionable foreign payments contained in public documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The following sets forth that report.

The almost universal characteristic of the cases reviewed to date by the Commission has been the apparent frustration of our system of corporate accountability which has been designed to assure that there is a proper accounting of the use of corporate funds and that documents filed with the Commission and circulated to shareholders do not omit or misrepresent material facts. Millions of dollars of funds have been inaccurately recorded in corporate books and records to facilitate the making of questionable payments. Such falsification of records has been known to corporate employees and often to top management, but often has been concealed from outside auditors and rounsel and outside directors.

Accordingly, the primary thrust of our actions has been to restore the efficacy of the system of corporate accountability and to encourage the boards of directors to exercise their authority to deal with the issue.

To this end we have sought independent review of past disclosure in our enforcement actions and in our voluntary disclosure program; we have requested the auditing profession to review its procedures and to make suggestions for dealing with the problem and we have asked the New York Stock Exchange and others to consider helping us strengthen the ability and resolve of the boards of our major corporations to act independently of operating thanagement.

Part 1 of this report provides a description of the Commission's activities in this area, as well as an analysis of public information that has been disclosed as a result of these activities and of the response of the private sector to the problems we have identified.

Part II contains the Commission's analysis of, and recommendations with respect to, S. 3133, as well as its legislative proposal to deal with the matter of questionable and illegal corporate payments and a description of further actions taken by the Commission to encourage corporate accountability in this area.

In order to restore the integrity of the disclosure system and to make corporate officials more fully accountable to their boards of directors and shareholders, the Commission's basic approach has been twofold:

-- To insure that investors and shareholders receive material facts necessary to make informed investment decisions and to assess the quality of management; and

-- To establish a climate in which corporate management and the professionals that advise them become fully aware of these problems and deal with them In an effective and responsible manner,

The Commission is confident that its legislative proposals and the suggestions contained in Part II of this report will belp foster a climate that will rectify many of the problems we have identified.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- PART 1: The Commission's Activities and Conclusions
  - A. Sources of Information: The Commission's
     B. Commission Practices with Respect to Dis-
  - closure of Questionable Payments
  - C. Nature and Detail of Disclosure
  - D. Analysis of the Information Disclosed
  - E. The Response of the Private Sector
  - F. Conclusion
- PART II: Legislative and Other Proposals A. Discussion

- Draft Legislation Proposed by the Commission
- C. Section-by-Section Analysis of the Commission's Proposed Legislation
- D. An Approach to Encourage the Establishment of Independent Audit Committee and Independent Counsel to Advise the Board of Directors

#### PART I: THE COMMISSION'S ACTIVITIES AND CONCLUSIONS

A synopsis of the public filings made with the Commission has been assembled in tabular form, attached as Exhibit A. The Commission's staff, in preparing these tables, has analyzed the public disclosures filed with it by 89 corporations as of April 21, 1976, that refer to questionable or illegal foreign and domestic payments and practices. In addition, the staff has prepared summaries of the six special reports obtained as a result of our enforcement actions, attached as Exhibit B. Finally, we also have included as part of Exhibit B a description of the allegations made in eight other enforcement actions in which we have obtained judicial relief but where reports have not been completed or, in one instance, will not be required.

The tremendous variation in the types and amounts of payments and the attendant circumstances disclosed in the reports filed with the Commission make categorization or quantification of the extent and acriousness of the problem of questionable or illegal foreign payments difficult. Accordingly, we recognize that the matters reported in these excibits may lead others to conclusions concerning the nature, extent and seriousness of the problem that differ from our own. The Commission, therefore, is providing the Committee a copy of each of the underlying public documents on which our analysis is based so that Committee can reach its own determina tions, where appropriate,

#### A. Sources of Information: The Commission's Disclosure and Enforcement Programs

Before considering the extent of the problem of questionable or illegal foreign payments, it would be helpful to describe the nature of the disclosure system and the enforcement efforts that produced the information set forth in the Exhibits.

#### 1. Enforcement Program

In 1973, as a result of the work of the Office of the Special Prosecutor, several corporations and executive officers were charged with using corporate funds for illegal domestic political contributions. The Commission recognized that these activities involved matters of possible significance to public investors, the nondisclosure of which might entail violations of the federal securities laws. On March 8, 1974, the Commission therefore published a statement expressing the view of its Division of Corporation Finance concerning disclosure of these tratters in public fillags, 1/

The Commission's inquiry into the circumstances surrounding alleged itlegel political campaign contributions revealed that violations of the federal scepritics laws had indeed occurred. The staff discovered falsifications of corporate financial records, designed to disguise or conceal the source and application of corporate funds misused for illegal purposes, as well as the existence of secret "slush funds" disbursed outside the normal financial accountability system. These secret funds were used for a number of purposes, including in some instances, questionable or illegal foreign payments. These practiles tast doubt on the integrity and reliability of the corporate books and records which are the very foundation of the disclosure system established by the federal securities laws.

1/ Securities Act Release No. 5466 (Mar. 8, 1974).

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037. Right of reproduction and redustribution reserved The resulting investigations culminated in the institution of injunctive actions against nine corporations during the one-year period following the Spring of 1974. Subsequently, other cases were brought involving questionable or illegal foreign and domestic payments and practices. Details of the facts alleged and ultimately established in these enforcement actions are contained in Exhibit B.

In each of the fourteen cases filed as of May 19, 1976, the corporate defendants have, without admitting or denying the allegations of the complaint, consented to the entry of a judgment of permanent lajunction prohibiting future violations of the federal securities laws, 2/ In thirteen of these cases, the consent decree required the company to establish a special review committee, composed of independent members of its board of directors, and to conduct a full investigation of the irregularities alleged to the Commission's complaint. These committees generally have utilized independent accountants and legal counsel to conduct a thorough examination of, among other things, the corporation's books and records.

The special committees must submit complete reports of their investigations to the board of directors, which, in turn, is responsible for reviewing and implementing the recommendations they contain. Recommendations submitted by these special committees have dealt with such matters as claims for reimbursement, legal or disciplinary actions against ladividual members of management, matters of corporate structure and policy designed to prevent recurrence, and related subjects. Restitution has been made to the corporation in some cases. To date, six reports have been filed, 3/

Our enforcement activities are continuing. On May 10, 1976, the Commission commenced an enforcement action against the General Tire and Rubber Company for alleged violations of the federal securities laws arising out of the nondisclosure of certain corporate practices. The Commission alleged, among other things, that, under the direction of its President, the company diverted corporate funds for political purposes by means of purported bonuses and salary increases.

The Commission also charged the existence of various "slush funds," including one fund created with the knowledge and approval of the senior management of the company's international division and administered by the managerial director of one affiliate, whose activities in connection with the fund were generally known to senior management. This fund was alleged to total as much as \$3.9 million and was used, in part, for payments to foreign government officials. The Commission also charged that another such fund, maintained by a foreign subsidiary, was used to make payments, made in connection with payments by five other major tire companies, to finance an effort to obtain approval from a foreign government of a proposed price increase. The Commission also alleged that an aggregate of \$800,000 was promised a foreign consultant for his assistance in obtaining favorable foreign government action with the understanding that a portion of that sum would be trans-

2/ See Exhibit B. One case, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kalvex, Inc., CCH Fed. Sec. L. Rptr. 595,226 (July 7, 1975), was litigated with respect to some of the individual defendants. The Commission cannot, of course, comment on actions presently pending, nor can we discuss the facts that have been uncovered in the approximately 25 formal, private Commission investigations that have not yet resulted in public enforcement actions.

3/ The reports are required to be filed with the court as part of the record in the action and with the Commission as an exhibit to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K. The reports generally provide a detailed and graphic account of the matters examined by the committees. The Commission reserves the right to apply to the court for further relief if not satisfied with the report. ferred to foreign government officials. With out admitting or denying the allegations in the Commission's complaint, the company consented to the entry of a permanent order of injunction against future violations of the federal securities laws. Moreover, it consented to the establishment of a special committee, similar to those previously described, to conduct a thorough inquiry and report to the court, the Commission, and the shareholders, and to certain other relief.

#### <u>The Voluntary Disclosure Program</u>

As the Commission's enforcement efforts unfolded. it became apparent that the potential magnitude of the problems required an additional disclosure mechanism to supplement the enforcement actions undertaken, and that the most appropriate means was to encourage voluntary corporate disclosure of questionable or illegal foreign payments. It therefore was suggested in public statements, including the testimony of Commissioner Loomis before the Subcommittee on International Economic Policy of the House of Representatives Committee on International Relations, that companies determining they might have engaged in such activities should conduct a careful investigation of the facts under the auspices of persons not involved in the questionable activities. If the investigation disclosed a problem, the company was encouraged to discuss the question of appropriate disclosure of these matters with the Commission's staff hefore filling any documents, 5/

The sometimes unique problems involved in the disclosure of questionable or illegal foreign payments, however, and the resultant uncertainties concerning the nature and scope of required disclosures prompted the Commission to develop special procedures for registrants seeking guidance as to the proper disclosure of these matters.

These procedures, frequently referred to as the "voluntary disclosure program," have been described in some detail in Chairman Hills' testimony before the Subcommittee on Priorities and Economy in Government on January 14, 1976. 6/

4/ The Commission also alleged that the company made a \$150,000 foreign payment in order to have itself removed from the Arab Boycott list, and in connection with that effort sworn certificates were filed with the Arab League representing that General Tire and its subsidiaries did not, and would not provide technical assistance or know-how to any Israeli company and that a particular major General Tire subsidiary would not provide technical assistance or make any investment in Israel,

5/ Discussions of this nature are contemplated by Rules 1(d) and 2 of the Commission's informal and Other Procedures, 17 CFR 202.1(d) and 202.2, pursuant to which the staff of the Commission's Division of Corporation Finance renders prefilling assistance and interpretative advice. Similarly, the staff of that Division routinely reviews the filings the Commission receives pursuant to the requirements of the federal securities laws, and, when deficiencies are apparent on the face thereof, may either contact the registrant and seek to have the appropriate corrections made or may refer the matter to the Division of Ruforcement. See Rule 3(a) of the Commission's Informal and Other Procedures, 17 CFR 202, 3(a); Securities Act Release No. 4936 (Dec. 9, 1968).

6/ Although the voluntary disclosure program was originally conceived to apply only to foreign payment problems, in practice it has been applied to disclosures of certain domestic problems as well. In addition to requiring appropriate disclosure under the federal securities laws, the Commission refers matters that appear to represent violations of domestic law to the appropriate law enforcement authorities. In broad terms, the program requires that a company determining that it may have a disclosure problem with respect to questionable or Illegal activities, including the improper recording or accounting of such activities, promptly take the following steps: 7/

1. Authorize a careful in-depth investigation of the facts relating to questionable or illegal foreign or domestic activities by persons not involved in the activities in question. If practicable, such persons should report and be responsible to a committee comprised of members of the board of directors who are not officers of the company and who were not involved in the suspected questionable or illegal practices.

Generally, assistance should be sought from the independent accounting firm that regularly audits the the corporation unless the circumstances suggest otherwise. The committee also should consider retaking outside counsel. The investigation should encompass the prior five years, the period covered by the financial statements required in annual reports and registration statements filed pursuant to the federal securities laws, but also should examine any events occurring prior to that time that may appear to be part of a continuing program or to be related to existing material contracts or business operations. At the conclusion of the investigation, the committee should prepare and submit to the full heard of directors a report setting forth its fladings. The report should, to the extent possible, contain detailed information about each payment; its purpose and amount; the recipient; the country in which the payment was made and the circumstances in which payment occurred. 8/

2. The board of directors should issue an appropriate policy statement with respect to transactions involving illegal or questionable activities in the United States or abroad, or reiterate any relevant, preexisting policy statement. Normally, this statement should include a declaration of ressation of such activities, if any, and a prohibition against the maintenance of improper books and records and inadequate supporting documentation relating to such activities. The adoption of such a policy should be communicated to appropriate corporate personnel, implemented by adequate internal controls and safeguards, and monitored by auditing programs established by the independent auditors.

3. The corporation should consider whether interim public disclosure of the results should be made prior to completion of the investigation. This disclosure generally is made on a Form 8-K filed with the Commission, supplemented in some cases by the issuance of a press release.

4. At the conclusion of the investigation, a final report of material facts must be filed with the Commission, generally on Form 8-K.

Depending on the timing of the disclosure and the status of the investigation, a corporation's disclosure in a current or annual report, registration statement or other filing generally should include the following:

 $\delta/$  An essential element of the voluntary disclosure program is that companies must agree to great the Division of Enforcement access to the report and its underlying documentation.

Materials submitted to the Commission may be subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act or pursuant to Congressional requests. Specific claims of exemption from the Freedom of Information Act must be founded upon the provisions of that Act.

 The nature, scope and progress of the corporation's investigation, including an identification of the persons conducting it and the persons to whom they are responsible;

Fı

۲

ſ

v

b

Ĩ

 The company's undertaking regarding continuation or termination of the practices in question, and its policy with respect to assuring the integrity of its books and records and establishing adequate internal controls and procedures;

 The corporation's undertaking to complete the study and submit a floal report;

4. The corporation's undertaking to provide access to the Commission's staff to information and documents developed during the investigation; and

Material information developed regarding lifegal or questionable transactions that occurred during the last five years. This frequently would include their purpose; the amounts involved; the extent of possible knowledge, approval or authorization of the transactions by top management; details of any defaications by corporate officials or personal benefits accruing to them; the accounting treatment accorded to the transactions, including whether false, flotitious or misleading entries were made to record such transactions; the existence of any unreconciled funds, 'slush funds, " unrecorded bank accounts or similar "off book" accounts; the possible foreign and domestic tax consequences, if any, of the reported activities; and the amount of business related to such payments. and the possible effect of their cessation on consolidated income, revenues and assets or business operations of the company; as well as any other information that may be required on a case-by-case basis.

Companies in the voluntary disclosure program can make disclosures without prior consultation with the Commission's staff and without jeopardizing their participation in the program. They can, however, seek the informal views of the Commission itself concerning the appropriate disclosure of certain matters. 9/ And the staff has, in its discretion, brought particular disclosure questions to the Commission to obtain its views and communicate them to the companies involved.

Although this report and prior testimony have described the voluntary disclosure program in some detail, and it frequently has received congressional and public attention, it is important to note that there is no requirement that a company's disclosures concerning questionable payments be made within the framework of the program. Many registrants have simply made what they consider to be appropriate disclosures without consulting with the Commission's staff. 10/

The nature and detail of these disclosures reflect those companies' own independent judgments as to what is material, or what otherwise should be disclosed to investors and shareholders as a matter of good corporate relations. 11/ Moreover, a substantial number of the participants is the program have made disclosures after consultations with the staff, but without seeking the in-

9/ Rule 1(d) of the Commission's Informal and Other Procedures, supra note 5, provides that the staff, on request or on its own initiative, may present questions to the Commission for its informal views. The Commission's decision to grant a request for informal views is, however, completely discretionary.

10/ These disclosures still are subject to review and comment by the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance in appropriate cases, as well as to inquiry and action by the Division of Enforcement, if necessary.

11/Many of the companies that have made public disclosure of these matters in public filings have included an explicit statement that disclosure should not be deemed an admission by the company of the materiality of the facts contained therein.

<sup>7/</sup> Although participation in the voluntary program. does not insulate a company from Commission enforcement action, it does diminish the possibility that the Commission will, in its discretion, institute an action.

formal views of the Commission. To date, fewer than twenty companies -- either by company or staff-initiated requests -- have obtained the Commission's informal views regarding the appropriate disclosures called for by the facts presented, 12/

B. COMMISSION PRACTICES WITH RESPECT TO DISCLOSURE OF QUESTIONABLE PAYMENTS

To date, the informal views expressed by the Commission's staff and action taken by the Commission itself have been significantly influenced by the fact that virtually all questionable payment matters have involved the deliberate falsification of corporate books or records, or the maintenance of inaccurate or inadequate books and records which, among other things, prevented these practices from coming to the attention of the company's auditors, outside directors and shareholders. The existence of inaccurate records has, in our judgment, often provided an independent basis for requiring some form of disclosure or the inflation of Commission enforcement action, regardless of whether the payments themselves were of material size or a material amount of business depended on their continuation.

One consequence of the enforcement cases has been a full accounting, usually undertaken by an independent committee of the board of directors assisted by independent counsel and the company's outside auditors. In other instances, arising under the voluntary disclosure program or made on a voluntary basis, disclosures of a greater or lesser degree have been made, depending on the circumstances of a particular case and the position of management and their professional advisers regarding disclosure of matters they deemed important to the company's shareholders.

These Commission and staff actions, complemented by the increased efforts of the accounting profession to discover these practices and bring them to the attention of management and the board, suggest that in the future there will be far fewer instances in which questionable or illegal payments will be improperly recorded and made without the knowledge of the auditors or board of directors. Moreover, it should be recognized that, since there have been so few instances to date where the corporate records have been properly kept and the questionable payments known to both the company's auditors and directors, past determinations by the Commission and its staff may not reflect what will be required in the future under different circumstances.

Quite apart from these considerations, however, the Commission has been of the view that questionable or illegal payments that are significant in amount or that, although not significant in amount, relate to a significant amount of business, are material and required to be disclosed.

The Commission is also of the view that questionable or illegal payments, if unknown to the board of directors, could be grounds for disclosure regardless of the size of the payment itself or its impact on dependent business because the fact that corporate officials have been willing to make repeated illegal payments without board knowledge and without proper accounting raises questions regarding improper exercise of corporate authority and may also be a circumstance relevant to the "quality of management" that should be disclosed to the shareholders. Moreover, even if expressly approved by the board of directors, a questionable or illegal payment could cause repercussions of an unknown nature which mught extend far beyond the question of the sigollicance either of the payment uself or the business di-- - - - -

12/The companies that made public disclosure of questionable or lifegal payments after obtaining the Commission's informal views are identified by a double asterisk (\*\*) in Exhibit A. Three others determined not to make public disclosure and thos are not included in Exhibit A.

rectly dependent upon it. For example, Public knowledge that a company is making such illegal payments, even of a minor nature, in one foreign country could cause not only expropriation of assets in that country but also a similar reaction or a discontinuation of material amounts of business in other countries as well.  $\underline{13}/$ 

In a sense, therefore, a corporation that decides to make questionable or lilegal payments for reasons its board considers to be good and sufficient necessarily must proceed at its own peril. 14/ The Commission may often not be able to give comforting advice to issuers that wish not to make even generic disclosure of the existence of questionable or illegal corporate payments. 15/ The Commission will, of course, continue to make its position known and take appropriate action when it believes the federal securities laws require disclosure of certain facts.

In situations that have come to the Commission's attention, we have proceeded carefully to examine the full facts and circumstances presented by any given case. In so proceeding, we obviously must consider a variety of factors, including the accounting treatment accorded the payments in question; the amount of the payment and its legality under local law; the recipient of the payment and the purpose for which it was made; the knowledge or participation by senior management; the frequency and pervasiveness of the payment practices; and whather the company has taken measures to terminate the activities. Only after this consideration has the Commission been able to come to an informed view as to whether some disclosure of certain matters was required.

The discussion that follows should provide corporate managers and their professional advisors some guidance as to the manner in which they might analyze the many factors that might be presented in cases of this kind.

 Disclosure Not Otherwise Required By A Specific Statute Rule or Regulation Arc Defined By Reference to the Doctrine of Materiality.

The Commission has broad discretion to require specific or generic disclosures of particular kinds of facts. The basic canon of the disclosure system is found in Schedule A of the Securities Act of 1933, which specifies the items of information to be supplied in registration statements for public offerings and grants the Commission broad discretion to vary these requirements or to add or subtract items. 16/

In adopting Schedule A, Congress directed the disclosure requirements toward what it viewed as a reasonable investor, whose needs and desires for information were basic and included information relating to the financial and operating condition of the company and the quality of management; conflicts of interest; balance sheets and earnings statements, capital structure; rights

13/ This occurred in the case of one major oil company, whose payments in one country Were asserted as a basis for expropriation of properties in another.

14/ Management determinations in this area are further affected by the disclosure policies of some companies that have decided, for reasons of good shareholder relations, to make full disclosure of foreign payments, whether or not legal or material.

15/ That does not mean that the Commission necessatily would object to a filing that does not disclose a small questionable payment revealed to our staff. Rather, we would refuse to provide any comments in such a case.

16/ The views expressed herein relate solely to circumstances and practices impacting upon disclosures in proxy materials and registration statements filed with the Commission onder the Securities Act of 1933, and in annual and other periodic reports required to be filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. of security holders, especially of securities being offered; competition in the industry; significant customers; the backlog of orders; concessions held; lines of business; classes of products or services; the interests of management in certain transactions; certain corporate loans to management, etc. Implicit in such disclosure requirements is the assumption that corporations conduct their business and sell their products on the basis of quality and price rather than bribes of kickbacks. Such practices not only bear upon the quality of a registrant's huslness and the attendant risks, but also on the quality of a registrant's earnings.

In refining and adding to the items specifically required in Securities Act filings in order to meet changing needs and standards, the Commission has adhered to the spirit of Schedule A. The philosophical approach underlying Schedule A also has prevailed in the Commission's development of the continuous reporting system based upon the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. <u>17</u>/ Public documents filed pursuant to these requirements are the primary source of information concerning queationable or illegal corporate payments.

The disclosure system is oriented toward the basic interests of investors, but it does not speak excluslvely to <u>financial</u> relationships and data. Disclosure requirements also should facilitate an evaluation of management's stewardship over corporate assets. In this context, investors should be vitally interested in the quality and integrity of management. A number of factors -- including the background of a director-nominee, thanges in management, conflicts of interest, the identity of promoters, interlocking directors and officers, special benefits to management and certain stockholders, and management's outside interests -- are relevant to these concerns.

Disclosure of these matters reflects the deeply held bellef that the managements of corporations are stewards acting on behalf of the shareholders, who are entitled to honest use of, and accounting for, the funds entrusted to the corporation and to procedures necessary to assure accountability and disclosure of the manner in which management performs its stewardship. <u>18</u>/

17/ In addition to the various specific instructions and requirements incident to each of these filings, the Commission has promutgated rules generally requiring diaclosure of all material information concerning registered companies and of all information nuccessary to preven other disclosures made from being misleading. See Rules 405(1), 17 CFR 230.405(1) and 408, 17 CFR 230, 406 (pertaining to registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933); Rule 12b-20, 17 CFR 240, 12b-20 (pertaining to registration statements and annual and periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934); and Rules 10b-5, and 14a-9, 17 CFR 240, 10b-5, and 240, 14a-9.

18/ The Commission considered these issues, although in a somewhat different context, In the Matter of Franchard Corporation, 42 S.E.C. 163, 170 (1964):

"Evaluation of the quality of management -- to whatever extent it is possible -- is an essential ingredient of informed investment decision. A need so important it cannot be ignored, and in a variety of ways the disclosure requirements of the Securitles Act furnish factual information to fill this need. Appraisals of competency begin with information concerning management's past business experience, which is elicited by requirements that a prospectus state the offices and positions held with the issuer by each executive officer within the last 5 years . . .

To permit judgments whether the corporation's affairs are likely to be conducted in the interest of public shareholders, the registration requirements elicit information as to the interests of insiders which may conflict with their duty of loyalty to the corporation. In determining whether to require specific disclosures, the Commission generally has weighed the benefits of such disclosure against its assessment of the extent of investor interest and the cost and utility of the particular disclosure. 19/ Except for certain detailed affirmative statutory requirements, information must be furnished only if material. 20/ And, while the Commission has by regulation established general guidelines on specific problems of materiality, particularly as to financial information, there is no comprehensive regulatory guide with respect to the narrative disclosures.

In attempting to determine whether a specific fact is material there is no litmus paper test. Each case normally presents unique combinations of facts, and the consideration whether particular information should be disclosed necessarily depends on the context in which the question arises. In this regard, however, the faistficetion of corporate books and records and the accumulation of funds outside the system of corporate accountability -problems presented in most instances of questionable or illegal activity considered by the Commission to date -is of paramount concern to investors and cannot be ignored.

In an attempt to provide some guidance for corporations faced with disclosure issues of this kind, the Commission has identified various factors that have given rise to disclosable events in the past. In actual practice, however, it must be recognized that these factors cannot be viewed in isolation. Thus, for example, the Commission's comments concerning the recipients of of corporate payments must be read in conjunction with the discussion relating to the knowledge or participation of corporate management, defects in the system of corporate accountability and the impact on the business of the corporation.

In the final analysis, the disclosure obligation may depend on combinations of these factors. Thus, the views expressed herein cannot relieve corporate management of the obligation to evaluate the specific circumstances of any particular disclosure question.

#### 2. Payments Outside the Financial Accountability System

An essential component of the disclosure system has been the development of accurate, complete, and reliable financial information, a process characterized by the development of increasingly sophisticated accounting principles and auditing and disclosure standards. Basic to the system is the principle that all funds belonging to the corporation, and thus to its shareholders, are ade-

Disclosures are also required with respect to the remuneration and other benefits paid or proposed to be paid to management as well as material transactions between the corporation and its officers, directors, holders of more than 10 percent of its stock, and their associates." (footnotes omitted)

19/ The matters the Commission frequently faces in the area of questionable or Illegal payments often are so fundamental to the corporate structure and the integrity of management as to be distinct from other types of corporate activity. 20/ The Supreme Court in Affiliated Ute Citizens v.

20/ The Supreme Court in Affiliated Ute Citizens v. United States, 406 U.S. 128, 150-151 (1972), adopted a standard of materiality couched in terms of the likely interest in the matter by Investors, specifically defined by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals to include not only the long-term Investor, but the Wall Street speculator as well. Securities and Exchange Commission v. Texas <u>Gulf Solphor</u>, 401 F.2d 833, 649 (C.A.2 1968), cert, denied, 394 U.S. 976 (1969). Rule 405(1) of the Securities Art of 1933 defines materiality as encompassing all "those matters as to which an average prident investor ought reasonably to be informed before purchasing securities." 17 CFR, 230, 401(1).

Published by TISE HUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Ragin of reproduction and redistribution reserved quately maintained within the corporation's system of financial accountability.

One of the most troublesome and pervasive circomstances associated with the cases brought to the Commission's attention has been the treatment of questionable or illegal payments on the company's books and records. The accumulation of funds outside the normal channels of financial accountability, placed at the discretion of one or a very small number of corporate executives not required to account for expenditures from the fund; the use of non-functional subsidiaries and secret back accounts; and the laundering of funds or other methods of disguising their source or disbursement quite often have been observed. These situations generally call for disclosure of the existence of the fund or funds, the general method of funding such accounts, their purposes, and the amount of business involved. The need for such disclosures is further accentuated if senior management condoned or approved a pattern of falsification of books and records, thereby casting doubt upon the whole system of accounting and the integrity of the company's financial. statements.

3. Legality of the Payment Under Local Law

The legality of the corporate payment has been a particularly important factor. Where the payment violates United States laws, the Commission has adhered to policies governing the need for disclosure of violations of United States laws in other contexts. 21/

If the payment is illegal under the local law of a foreign state -- a fact which may not always be readily ascertainable -- disclosure may be required. Disclosure generally would not be required of payments which are legal under domestic as well as foreign law and are otherwise a proper corporate payment accurately accounted for, unless called for by other generally applicable disclosure concepts.

#### 4. Recipients of the Payments

The nature of the recipient often has been an important factor in determining that a corporate payment was a disclosable event. Various classes of recipients have presented these considerations, including but not limited to government officials, commission agents and consultants of the paying company, and recipients of commercial bribery.

Government Officials: Typically, a corporation would not, in the ordinary course of business, make payments to government officials in their individual capacities. Such payments, therefore, are usually a form of brihery that, where material, would give rise to a disclosable event.

The Commission has observed payments to goverament officials for four principal purposes. First, corporate payments have been made in an effort to procure special and unjustified favors or advantages in the enactment or administration of the tax or other laws of the country in question. The disclosure of payments for these purposes has been required where the amounts involved or the corporate benefits obtained have been significant and the payment is made to influence the excrcise of judgment and discretion in disposing of matters on behalf of the government.

Second, corporate payments may be made with the intent to assist the company in obtaining or retaining government contracts. It may be possible to distinguish payments intended to secure the favorable exercise of judgment or discretion on bhalf of the governmental body from situations where the official, under applicable laws, regulations or customs, appears to have been permitted to act for suppliers in connection with government con-

21/ The Commission also refers potential violations of United States laws to the responsible law enforcement agencies,

tracts and to be paid for such services. Where this is permitted, payments to governmental officials so employed may nevertheless be material where other factors, such as the recipient's insistence on the maintenance of secrecy or the inaccurate reflection of the payments on curporate books and records, suggest that the payment is in fact a form of bribery.

A third purpose for payments is to persuade lowlevel governmental officials to perform functions or services which they are obliged to perform as part of their governmental responsibilities, but which they may refuse or delay unless compensated. These so-called facilitating payments have been deemed to be material where the payments to particular persons are large in amount or the aggregate amounts are large, or where corporate management has taken ateps to conceal them through false entries in corporate books and records.

Another type of payment is the political contribution. Where these contributions are illegal under local law, they can be assimilated to bribery. Even where logal under local law, such payments may be material if the expenditures are such that they appear to be designed to unduly influence public policy decisions.

Commercial Agents and Consultants: The Commission recognizes that corporations doing business abroad often engage the services of non-official nationals possessing specialized information with regard to business opportunities or relationships which are of assistance in securing or maintaining business. There is nothing inherent in this practice that gives rise to a disclosure obligation under the iederal securities laws. Certain factors may, however, suggest that payments to such persons should be disclosed.

A variety of considerations, some legitimate and some questionable, may prompt the use of agents or consultants. Among the key factors to be considered in determining whether disclosure may be required is the relationship of the agent to the governmental entity or contracting party, the size and nature of the payment, the services to be performed by the agent, and the method and manner of payment.

The disclosure obligation cannot be avoided because of corporate management's indifference to the question whether the agents are acting as conduits for improper payments. Management must take reasonable steps to determine whether commissions and fees paid are to be transmitted, in whole or in part, to governmental officials or their designees.

Commission or consultant payments substantially in excess of the going rate for such services may give rise to a disclosable event, depending upon the significance of the business involved. In many instances, this may suggest that a portion of the commission was. In fact, intended to be passed through to government officials or their designees to influence government action. Similarly, other circumstances that give companies reason to believe that portions of commission payments will be passed on to government officials or their designees present the same problems as those discussed above.

<u>Commercial Bribery:</u> The Commission also has observed payments made to improperty influence a nongovernmental customer's use of a company's product or services. These payments may also give rise to a disclosable event.

#### 5. Amount of the Payment

As a general rule, a corporation need not disclose rootine expenditures made in the ordinary course of business unless specific disclosure provisions otherwise so require. However, questionable or illegal payments must be disclosed where they are significant in amount or where, even though not significant in terms of absolute amount, are related to a significant amount of business or other relevant financial indicia, 22/

Under most circumstances, the amount of the payment is not dispositive of the materiality issue unless, of course, the payment is significant by itself. Where the size of the payment does not otherwise require disclosure, the materiality of such payments would depend on the relative economic implications of the payment to the company as a whole or to a significant line of the company's business. Thus, for example, a questionable or lliegal payment that seems relatively small in relation to corporate revenues, income or assets may assume much greater importance when one assesses the amount of business that may be dependent on or affected by it. This in turn may be affected by whether foreign business as a whole, or in a particular country, is significant to the overall business of the company.

#### 6. Knowledge or Participation by Senior Management

Investors have a right under the federal accurities laws to be fully advised of facts concerning character and integrity of the officials relevant to their management of the corporation. This is particularly true when management administers significant assets in foreign states, where investors may not have the same protections as exist in the United States. Accordingly, transactions that would not otherwise be material may become so by virtue of the role played by management.

Whether disclosure is required on the basis that it relates to the integrity of management is subject to a number of variations. In altustions involving a pervasive pattern of encouragement, participation in or knowledge of these practices by senior management, the need for disclosure is clear. If, on the other hand, senior management neither knew nor should have known of the payments, disclosure may not be required, unless they are otherwise material.

Defalcations and misappropriations by corporate officials bear directly on the lategrity of management and the adequacy of its stewardship and should be disclosed. Of course, any indictment of the company or any of its principals arising out of questionable corporate payments may give rise to a separately disclosable event. 23/

> 7. Patterns of Payments That Are an Integral Part of Operating a Business or a Significant Segment of the Business

The fact that a company has engaged in a pattern of payments over an extended period of time -- which payments when taken individually may not require disclosure -- suggests that the company's product or service could not be successfully marketed in the absence of the payments involved, and that fallure to continue to make such payments could endanger the bosiness operations. If other companies in the same line of business are not making, or would not make, such payments, a question arises regarding the saleability of the company's product or services.

Where such a pattern of conduct exists with respect to a significant line of business, or conversely, if termination of the payments might be expected to change significantly the economic success of a significant line of business, disclosure is appropriate.

- Cessation of the Questionable Conduct
- A company's strong and adequate measures to

22/ As previously indicated, the methods used to make or facilitate these payments are important factors to be considered. The facilitation of such payments through falsification of corporate records will give rise to a disclosure obligation even in cases where disclosure height otherwise not be required.

23/ See Securities Act Release No. 5466 (Mar. 8, 1974).

assure cessation of its questionable conduct is a significant factor. The Commission must, of course, consider cach case on its particular facts. Where such measures have been taken, the Commission, particularly in its voluntary program, has given weight to this fact in assessing the need for disclosure.

#### C. NATURE AND DETAIL OF DISCLOSURE

Except in egregious cases, the Commission has generally not objected to so-called "general" disclosure of the circumstances and practices that have come to its attention under the voluntary program, particularly in those instances where the company has represented that it has ceased its questionable or illegal activities. Generally speaking, however, the more serious the problem (and particularly where the company intends to continue such activities), the greater the detail which should be disclosed.

Generic disclosure has included:

 The existence, amount of, duration, and the purpose for, the foreign payments;

The role of management in such payments;
 The tax consequences, if any, of the payments made;

 Information about the line of business, or class of product or services in connection with which the payments have been made;

 The company's intention with respect to the continuation or termination of the practices;

 The impact that cessation of the payments referred to in items I through 4, above, may have on the corporation's consolidated revenues, net income or assets; and

 The method of effecting payments, including possible faisifications or inadequacies of corporate books and records.

In cases arising under the voluntary program, the Commission generally has not required disclosure of the identity of recipients. On the other hand, the disclosure of the identity of schlor management officials who have misappropriated corporate funds or actively encouraged and participated in the falsification of corporate books and records may be required to allow shareholders to critically assess the integrity of management,

With respect to the form of disclosure of such conduct, where it is determined that some disclosure is required, the Form 8-K is normally the appropriate vehicle unless there is an Annual Report on Form 10-K being filled at the time when the problem is being dealt with. Subsequent disclosure in registration statements will depend upon the timing and other factors. If there is a pending registration statement and the information has not otherwise been disclosed, presumably the disclosure would either be made in the registration statement or in a Form 8-K with a cross-reference to that report in the registration statement.

Disclosure of material facts pertaining to the conduct of persons standing for election has depended on the circumstances of the given case. Where such facts have been previously disclosed in a document generally circulated to shareholders, the Commission has generally not required further disclosure. 24/ When the disclosure is in a public filing not circulated to shareholders, disclosure in the proxy statement may be required depending upon the nature of the conduct involved and management's knowledge of or participation in that conduct, the nature of the issues to be decided in the shareholders' meeting (including who the candidates for board elections may

24/ Disclosure may be required when the conduct is particularly relevant to the "quality of management" standing for election; where the earlier circulated document was not proximate in time to the proxy malling; and where management has not disclosed its intention to stop the practices. he), and the company's intention with respect to termination of the practices. In some instances, the Commission has determined that a meaningful cross-reference to a previous filing would be sufficient.

#### D. ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION DISCLOSED

1. Tabular Presentation of Disclosure Results, The table attached as Exhibit A presents a general portrayal of the public disclosures received as of April 21, 1976, concerning questionable or illegal foreign or domestic corporate practices. The conduct reported varies significantly, and the companies included can by no means universally be characterized as wrongdoers. Instead, they range from companies that have filed reports reiterating previously-expressed corporate policies opposing illegal or questionable practices; to those indicating they are conducting investigations; to those that report serious and pervasive patterns of questionable and illegal conduct.

In compiling Exhibit A, the staff consulted only publicly filed documents. In cases in which these documents appeared to suggest a category of conduct, an entry was made in the chart. Where no statement on an issue was made, however, the chart simply shows "not indicated."

In general, Exhibit A reflects the matters disclosed in the public filings in as close to the corporation's own terms as is possible, given the format of the Exhibit. The staff has not relied on or included information that is not contained in the public filings and has likewise sought to avoid making substantive judgments as to the matters disclosed. 25/

To the extent possible, we have attempted to divide the disclosures contained in the filings into broad categories that provide a very general indication of the activities described by the reporting companies. Disclosures made by the corporations vary significantly, both as to substance and detail, and often do not lend themselves to easy classification. Frequently, for example, the documents do not clearly indicate whether or to what extent forcign "commission-type payments" are made directly to employees or officials of foreign governments. Thus, the distinction between this category and "payments to foreign officials" is sometimes not as clear as the tabular presentation would suggest.

Finally, it should be emphasized that an analysis of the information in Exhibit A must be undertaken with great caution. Although the Commission is confident that both the tables and the following narrative discussion present a reasonably accurate general description of the matters disclosed in these filings, any evaluation of the conduct of a particular corporation based on the information set forth in Exhibit A inevitably suffers the infirmities inherent in attempting to compress a significant amount of information into a limited format. The Commission therefore strongly suggests that the assessment of the activities of any particular corporation rest on the actual filings themselves rather than on the distillation of those documents contained in Exhibit A.

#### 2. Commission Analysis of Disclosures:

The 95 companies 26/ that have made disclosures regarding possible questionable or illegal payments and related practices fil into a wide variety of industry classifications. The majority, sixty-six, were manufacturing companies. Among this number, the two largest identifi-

25/ Inclusion of facts in these charts should not be construed as a Commission affirmation of their truth or accuracy. Many of the companies included in Exhibit A currently are under investigation by the Division of Enforcement. These investigations should allow the Commission to test the accuracy and adequacy of these disclosures under the federal securities laws. able groups were drug manufacturers and companies engaged in petroleum refining and related services. Each category is represented by twelve companies that have made public disclosure of the matters set forth herein.

The most common transactions reported were payments to foreign officials, and fifty companies voluntarily reported such payments. In addition, four of the six companies submitting reports as a result of Commission enforcement action reported similar payments. Two,ty-five companies reported activities that are categorized as "other foreign matters," 27/as well as two that submitted reports as a result of enforcement action. The activities reported in this category most commonly include payments of some kind, but also include other conduct, such as violations of foreign currency and exchange laws. 28/ Additionally, many of the matters reported in this category would appear to constitute a form of commercial bribery.

Fifteen companies voluntarily reported foreign political payments, as did two of the companies that filed reports as a result of commission enforcement action. Twenty-seven companies voluntarily reported foreign sales-type complexions, as well as two companies filing special reports. In some cases, the companies specifically note that circumstances of the payments suggest that portions of those payments may have been used for other purposes, most frequently for possible payment to goverament officials.

The majority of the registrants that voluntarily reported payment of foreign political contributions indicate that such contributions are legal in the country in which they were made, and we have no basis for questioning the validity of these assertions. By contrast, although only some of the reports are sufficiently detailed to support a conclusion, we believe it a reasonable assumption that many of the cases of unusual sales commissions actually represent instances in which a portion of the payment to a foreign agent or consultant ultimately was passed to foreign government officials in order to obtain favorable treatment of some kind for the company.

The number of companies reporting domestic political contributions and other questionable domestic payments is smaller than the number reporting foreign payments. Each of the six companies that filed reports. as a result of Commission enforcement actions disclosed domestic political contributions. Many of these were clearly illegal, and were reported as such by the companies. Others, although not specifically identified as Illegal, appear to have been made in circumstances that might suggest that conclusion. In addition to the six companies discussed above, twenty others voluntarily reported domestic political contributions, many of which were identified as being illegal. Thirteen companies reported other domestic matters of a questionable or illega) nature, as did two of the companies submitting reports as a result of the Commission's enforcement program, 29/

26/ This includes eighty-nine companies that are recorded in Exhibit A and the six companies that submitted reports as a result of Commission actions, which are summarized in Exhibit B.

27/ These categories overlap to a considerable degree. For example, it appears probable that some of the unaccounted for payments incident to foreign operations ultimately came into the hands of foreign officials or their dosignees.

28/ It should be noted that many companies reported activities that fall into a number of the categories and thus that the total numbers reported above reflect this reportion.

29/ Two points should be borne in mind in reaching tentative conclusions from this data. First, some of the reporting companies indicate that state or federal contributions were made in circumstances that may have been or were legal. Secondly, some of the filings we Aside from the nature of the payments, many of the filings have dealt with four other aspects of the problem that we believe may be of interest to the Subcommittee: the potential tax consequences of these activities, their accounting treatment, the knowledge of management, and the possible impact of cessation of the practices,

The Commission is not in a position to ascertain the possible tax consequences of the various questionable or illegal payments or the manner in which they were made. We note, however, that thirty-seven companies in Exhibit A and five of the six companies that submitted reports as a result of Commission enforcement action have themselves indicated either that some adjustment to their federal tax liabilities is possible or that the matter is being discussed with or under consideration by the internal Revenue Service,

Secondly, forty companies reported in Exhibit A and each of the six companies that filed reports as a result of Commission enforcement action have disclosed the particularly disturbing fact that at least some member or members of corporate management had knowledge of, approved of, or participated in the questionable and illegal activities reported, 30/

Third, most of the instances of reported abuse also involved some falsification of corporate records or the maintenance of records that appear to be inadequate. In many of the reports submitted voluntarily by corporations, the description of the payments and their documentation appears to have been inadequate to permit ready identification or verification of the purpose of the payments. Similarly, the reports the Commission obtained as a result of enforcement actions disclose flagrant instances of abuse of the system of corporate accountability, including the establishment and maintenance of substantial off-book funds that were used for various purposes, some questionable and some clearly illegal.

Many of the defects and evisions of the system of financial accountability represented intentional attempts to conceal certain activities. Not surprisingly, corporate officials are unlikely to engage in questionship or illegal conduct and aimultaneously reflect it accurately on corporate books and records. We regard this to be a significant point, and one that is central to the approach we outline in Part II of this report,

Finally, although it is not possible to draw definitive conclusions regarding the possible impact of ceasation of the practices reported on the foreign commercial activities of the companies that reported them, the indications in our data suggest that it will not seriously affect the ability of American business to compete in world markets. Nineteen of the companies reporting questionable or illegal payments or practices specifically noted that cessation of the practices would have no material effect on their total revenues or overall business. Generally, it has not been suggested that cessation would seriously hamper companies' overall operations.

On the other hand, it is not possible to determine the amount of husiness associated with each of the reported payments. The volume of sales or other revenues reported by some companies to be "related" to the practices ranged from 20 to in well in excess of 100 times the amount of the payments themselves. One cannot determine whether some or all of those revenues could or would have been obtained without the payments or practices.

have analyzed are not sufficiently clear to support a firm determination that the payments or practices were domestic or foreign. For classification purposes, these have been entered in "other domestic matters," with a cross-reference to the foreign categories. These reports are also included in the above totals.

3D/ This is balanced to a degree, however, by the small number of companies that reported their intention to contlate questionable or illegal practices.

#### E. THE RESPONSE OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR

The Commission has arreinpted to ascertain the attitude of the business and accounting communities to the problems recently revealed in this area. We regard this to be a critical factor in dealing with these problems. The Commission, with its limited resources, must maximize its own effectiveness by constantly seeking to prompt the private sector's increased assumption of initiative and responsibility in dealing with problem areas we identify. The responses in this case generally have been positive, and the Commission is hopeful that the attitudes of these two communities, which are central to the resolution of this problem, will evolve in a manner which will help ensure that the problem of questionable or illegal foreign payments is alleviated,

1. The Response of the Business Community American business leaders have not reacted uniformly to disclosures concerning questionable or illegal payments. For example, a survey taken by the Opinion Research Corporation in July of 1975 indicated that nearly half of America's business executives saw nothing wrong with paying foreign officials in order to attract or retain contracts. Increasingly, corporate officers are beginning to speak out, however, indicating that American companies need not make such payments in order to compete effectively and urging the adoption of codes prohibiting unethical or improper conduct. Many companies have adopted such codes, including some that have reported no instances of questionable or filegal payments.

Disclosures of questionable or illegal corporate conduct also have prompted outside directors to increase their involvement in and knowledge of corporate affairs. In many cases, these outside directors reportedly have been instrumental in initiating internal investigations and requiring more stringent auditing controls.

#### 2. Codes of Conduct

Where questionable practices and payments have been discovered, the most common reaction has been the board of directors' issuance of a directive ordering cesastion of such conduct. Additionally, many companies have adopted or reaffirmed and clarified written corporate policies prohibiting similar corporate practices in the future. A number of these corporate policy statements include recitals that employees are to conduct themselves in accordance with the highest ethical standards. The written policy statements generally have been disseminated to employees, often accompanied by letters from management emphasizing the Importance of compliance. In many cases, moreover, corporations also have established procedures requiring periodic certification of compliance by key employees, and have specifically indicated that violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

Many corporate policy statements broadly prohibit the use of corporate funds or assets for any unlawful or improper purposes. Other companies have adopted more specific prohibitions. Some have prohibited political contributions, regardless of whether they would be legal if made. In some cases the companies also have specifically prohibited payment of commissions, bribes, bonuses or kickbacks to governmental employees, and others have insisted that contracts with consultants or sales representatives specify that the payee not use any part of the payment for purposes other than those indicated in the contract. Some companies have taken additional measures, insisting that the specific services to be rendered be recited in the contract; that the amounts paid be reasonable; and that the payee agree to public disclosure of the contract.

Finally, many of the corporate policy statements prohibit establishment of any undisclosed or unrecorded funds or assets and false or artificial entries in corporate books and records. In addition, adequate and securate documentation of all accounting entries often is required. To bolster these policies, the boards of directors of some companies have directed management to issuitute additional internal auditing comrols.

Not all of the corporations with which the Commission has dealt regard cessation of all questionable or improper payments to be a realistic or desirable goal. Four companies have advised the Commission that they intend to continue making certain questionable payments. 31/ Santa Fe international, while generally acknowledging the undesirability of payments to minor foreign government officials to settle tax and custom claims, has indicated that it will continue to make such payments "If no reasonable alternative exists," and if the payment is approved by the President of the Company. Similarly, Core Laboratories has expressed its intention to continue the questionable commission-type payments in cases in which refusal to do so would "adversely affect its operations in that country," provided the payment is authorized by the chief executive officer and "no reasonable alternative is available,"

Rollins issued a similar policy statement, in which it indicates an intention to continue certain payments, stating that it regards the practice to be a reflection of the fact that payments to government officials are "customary in certain countries. Finally, Castle & Cook, which has adopted a policy prohibiting the use of corporate funds for improper purposes, has advised the Commission that it intends to continue payments to foreign government employees for legitimate services, such as security, that the foreign government is unable to perform at its own expense. The Company states that it considers these payments to be proper, and indicates that they were not bribes or attempts to obtain preferential treatment. Furthermore, it is attempting to arrange for such foreign governments to publish recognition of and procedures for these payments.

3. The Response of the Accounting Community

Many of the instances of improper or illegal foreign payments examined by the Commission have involved cases in which inadequate or improper corporate books and records concealed the existence of these questionable payments from the independent auditors, as well as from some or all of the members of top management and the board of directors. Some cases also involved the maintenance of funds outside the normal accountability system for similar purposes.

In a number of cases, these falsifications or inadequacies have been deliberate, and represented careful attempts of some corporate executives or members of the board of directors to conceal their activities from the auditors, other company officials and members of the board. In many instances, defects in the corporate accountability system were instituted at lower levels in the corporate hierarchy.

Y

٧

Π.

e

Whatever their origin, the Commission regards defects in the system of corporate accountability to be matters of serious concern. Implicit in the requirement to file accurate financial statements is the requirement that they be based on adequate and truthful books and records. The integrity of corporate books and records is essential to the entire reporting system administered by the Commission.

One of the most important by products of the Commission's program to ensure adequate discovery and disclosure of questionable and illegal payments has been the increased sensitivity demonstrated by the accounting com-

 $\frac{31}{1}$  It should also be noted that many of the declarations of cessation specifically refer only to the cossation of <u>illegal</u> practices or to the maintenance of standards consistent with the ethical standards of the countries in which they operate. Some of these policy statements might also be interpreted as permitting similar payments in certain instances. munity. The independent accountant's responsibility is to certify that the financial statements of a corporation are fairly presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accountants are not free to close their eyes to facts that come to their attention, and in order properly to satisfy their obligations, they must be reasonably sure that corporate books and records are free from defects that might compromise the validity of these statements.

In many respects, both the Commission's and the public's awareness of the magnitude and implications of the problems presented by questionable and illegal foreign payments has been evolutionary. The accounting community has become more sensitive to this evolution. And, although the responses of the accounting system have varied from firm to firm, the overall response of the profession is encouraging. An informal survey undertaken by our Chief Accountant indicates that the following are representative of the policies and procedures adopted by the accounting profession in response to the problems we have identified.

Accounting firms have reviewed and distributed to their partners throughout the world copies or digests of relevant actions, news stories, speeches, testimony or any other data relating to these problem areas. Procedures have been established to assure that the materials disseminated are brought to the attention of all members of the firms, and that meetings are held to discuss the problem and to reinforce the accounting firms' policy directives, 32/

Major accounting firms additionally have taken specific steps to assist their clients and to meet their responsibilities to the public. For example, they have:

-- Established procedures to assure that information relating to questionable payments is brought to the attention of appropriate senior personnel. In many cases, the assignment of such responsibility to designated individuals in a firm assures that the accounting firm's response is consistent with its responsibilities to its clients and to the public;

-- Established policies to assure that questionable or sensitive transactions are brought to the attention of the board of directors, preferably through the audit committee;

--Prepared and distributed to corporate clients educational materials to encourage their adoption of policies relating to ethics in business transactions;

--Adopted policies of encouraging clients to make voluntary disclosures of questionable or sensitive transactions to the Commission and encouraged consultation with the Commission regarding the procedures to be followed, and the disclosures to be made;

 --In appropriate circumstances, extended auditing procedures or required that additional procedures be followed;

--Changed representation letters to include representations relating to the problem of questionable, improper or illegal payments. 33/

#### ----

 $\frac{32}{2}$  One accounting firm, in reemphasizing (ts po)icy directive that top management and the board of directors be timely advised of these matters, stated its position succinctly:

"We cannot overemphasize the importance and necessity of bringing these matters to the attention of top management and the board of directors on a timely basis. Any partner who takes it upon himself not to do this, must fully understand that he is seriously epcangering the Firm and must be willing to accept the consequences."

33/ An example of such a representation from management required by one accounting firm before signing the audit report is set forth below:

"You have been informed of all 'sensitive' receipts

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved Establishment of Professional Guidelines:

Recently, the Auching Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants prepared an exposure draft of a proposed Statement on Auditing Standards regarding "Illegal Acts by Clients, "34/ attached as Exhibit C. The draft statement discusses how accountants may become aware of illegal conduct and the inquiries that should be made if such conduct is suspected. For example, the draft indicates that, while an auditor's examination does not usually include procedures specifically designed to detect Illegal acts, auditors should nevertheless be aware that illegal acts may have occurred which may have a material effect on financial statements. If an auditor believes illegal acts may have occurred, he is instructed to investigate further, consulting counsel if necessary.

The draft also discusses examination procedures performed for other purposes which may bring illegal acts to light. For example, it discusses evaluation of internal controls and related tests of transactions and bolonces and additionally states that the auditor's understanding of tested transactions and their business purposes may lead to the discovery of transactions that appear to the auditor to have an onusual or questionable purpose. The draft expresses the view that the auditor's exemination should include inquiries of the management regarding accounting for, and disclosure of, loss contingencies and related communication with legal counsel. Auditors also are instructed to inquire about clients' establishment of policy directives and their compliance with laws, regulations and procedures relevant to detection and prevention of lilegal acts.

Finally, the draft provides guidance as to the possible materiality of illegal acts and the actions auditors should take upon discovering such acts. And, while it states that the auditor is under no legal obligation in the ordinary case to notify outside parties, it does indicate that, if the act is serious enough to warrant the accountant's withdrawing from the relationship, he should consult legal counsel regarding what other actions, if any, should be taken.

While the exposure draft is presently under active consideration and the Commission is not now prepared to assess the adequacy of this proposal, we have been encouraged by the profession's responsiveness. Moreover, the programs outlined above demonstrate that the initiative and professional competence in the accounting profession are a significant resource in our continuing

or diaburgements and of any unrecorded cash or poncash funds out of which any such payments have been or might be made, to the full extent of our knowledge thereof, including any recommendations of counsel with respect to such matters and their disclosure. 'Sensitive' receipts and disbursements, whether or not illegal, include (a) receipts from or payments to governmental officials or employees, or (b) commercial bribes or kickbacks, or (c) amounts received with an understanding that rebates or refunds will be made in contravention of the laws of any jurisdiction either directly or through a third party, or (d) political contributions, or (e) payments or commitments (whether cast in the form of commission psymeous or fees for goods or services received, or otherwise) made with the understanding or under circumstances that would indicate that all or part thereof is to be paid by the recipient to government officials or employees, or as a commercial bribe, influence payment or kickback. 34/ Rule 202 of the AICPA's Code of Professional

Ethics requires adherence to the applicable generally accepted auditing standards promulgated by the institute. Statements in Auditing Standards are recognized as interpretations of those standards, and Rule 202 requires that members departing from these standards be prepared to justify that departure. program relating to questionable or illegal foreign and domestic payments.

#### F. CONCLUSION

Certain conclusions can be drawn from the Commission's experiences to date, the many reports filed, and the reaction of the private sector concerning the overall impact these questionable or illegal practices have had on public confidence in the integrity of American business. First, the problem of questionable and illegal corporate payments is, by any measure, serious and sufficiently widespread to be a cause for deep concera. Unfortunately, the Commission is unable to conclude that instances of illegal payments are either isolated or aberrations limited to a few unscrupulous individuals. To place the matter in perspective, however, it should be noted that the 100 or so companies discussed in this report should be viewed in relation to the significantly larger number of corporations that regularly file with the Commission, a total exceeding 5000, Viewed in this broader perspective, the Commission believes that the present evidence of corporate abuse, while indeed serious, does not support any general condemnation of American business.

We do not mean to suggest that the reports filed with the Commission portray the totality of the possible problems in this area. Our Division of Enforcement presently is examining the activities of many companies that have made disclosures, and the activities of yet other companies that have made no disclosures to date. Some of these inquiries may result in a determination that the companies engaged in questionable or illegal activities that should have been disclosed to shareholders. Moreover, we suspect that some companies have engaged in similar activities that will remain undisclosed and undetected, and that others will attempt to obscure such activities in the future. We can only state that these companies run a substantial risk of discovery, since the cooperative efforts of the various agencies of the federal government are being brought to focus in creasingly on these questions and the expertise and sophistication of law enforcement agencies in discovering these activities is steadily growing.

Despite the troubling aspects of the information concercing past questionable or illegal payments, the Commission believes that there is a considerable basis from which to conclude that the situation is improving, and that these episodes may serve to strengthen the quality of corporate management and public confidence in business over the long run. This optimism rests both on the declarations of cesastion, already mentioned, and, more fundamentally, on the "new governance" corcept that the Commission's enforcement and disclosure programs are attempting to iostill and its legislative and other proposals are designed to enhance.

Thus, in the Commission's view, while the problem of questionable or illegal corporate payments is both serious and widespread, it can be controlled and does not represent an laberent defect in our economic system. While the Committee may wish to draw its own conclusions from the analysis we have supplied, hopefully the foregoing comments concerning the patterns the Commission perceives in these data and the conclusions it draws from them, will provide a useful starting point.

#### PART II: LEGISLATIVE AND OTHER PROPOSALS

#### A, DISCUSSION

As the foregoing discussion makes clear, the Courmission has proceeded to apply its existing disclosure requirements to matters brought to its attention involving questionable or illegal corporate payments. While we have not felt hampered in our enforcement efforts to date, the fact nevertheless remains that the extent of such payments is far more widespread than anyone originally anticipated, and the methods of cifecting and concealing these payments are varied and multifaceted. The Commission can, and latends to, continue to enforce its existing disclosure requirements in those cases which appear to warrant enforcement action to compet disclosures about corporate operations involving such payments.

But, the question of illegal or questionable payments is obviously a matter of national and international concern, and the Commission, therefore, is of the view that limited-purpose legislation in this area is desirable in order to demonstrate clear Congressional policy with respect to a thorny and controversial problem. For this reason, the Commission wholeheartedly supports the philosophy underlying S. 3133, although we have drafted a modified version of that bill as a preferable legislative approach to the issues raised in this area.

In essence, we see three critical components for any legislative enactment governing the disclosure or making of illegal or questionable corporate payments.

First, we believe that any legislation in this area should embody a prohibition against the falsification of corporate accounting records. The most devastating disclosure that we have uncovered in our recent experience with illegal or questionable payments has been the fact that, and the extent to which, some companies have falsified entries in their own books and records. A fundamental tenet of the recordkeeping system of American companies is the notion of corporation accountability. It seems clear that investors are entitled to rely on the implicit representations that corporations will account for their funds properly and will not "launder" or otherwise channel funds out of or omit to include such funds in the accounting system so that there are no checks possible on how much of the corporation's funds are being expended or whether in fact those funds are expended in the manner. management later claims.

Concomitantly, we believe that any legislation in this area should also contain a prohibition against the making of false and misleading statements by corporate officiale or agents to those persons conducting audits of the company's books and records and financial operations.

Finally, we believe that any legislation should require management to establish and maintain its own system of internal accounting controls designed to provide reasonable assurances that corporate transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization; and that such transactions as are authorized are properly reflected on the corporation's books and records in such a manner as to permit the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements.

The concept of internal accounting controls is not new. It has been recognized by the accounting profession as being an important responsibility of management. Because the accounting profession has defined the objectives of a system of accounting control, the Commission has taken the definition of the objectives of such a system contained in our proposed legislation from the authoritative accounting literature. American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, 320.28 (1973).

The Commission is satisfied that the specifications of the objectives of a system of internal accounting controls found in the accounting literature can be readily understood by issuers and accountants. Because the domihant characteristic observed by the Commission in its program has been the presence of deliberate evasions of the systems of corporate accountability, the Commission believes that its proposed legislative approach will belp foster a climate in which such attempts will be frustrated by adequate internal controls. No system can insure or guarantee complete success, but the Commission believes its approach is the appropriate one to address the problems we have observed. We have redrafted S. 3133 to embody the foregoing legislative recommendations. Before setting forth our revised legislative proposals, however, a few comments about Sections 2, 3 and 4 of S. 3133 appear to be in order, 35/

Section 2 of S. 3133 would impose reporting requirements on certain issuers in connection with foreign payments of \$1,000 or more. As we have already noted, the Commission has sufficient authority to prescribe appropriate reporting requirements for significant corporate issuers. And, while we perceive some attraction in having the Congress set certain specific levels of questionable payments that must be disclosed, we are concerned that Section 2 might deny the Commission the necessary flexibility to vary its disclosure requirements to fit the precise circumstances involved. Similarly, we are reluctant to see imposed a hard-and-fast rule requiring every reporting corporate issuer, in every instance, to identify the recipients of their foreign payments. In some cases, disclosure of the identity of the person receiving such payments may be important to an investor's understanding of the transaction. More frequently, however, the identity of a particular foreign government employee who received a payment may have lirthe or no significance to the investor. In addition to our desire to see the Commission's flexibility preserved, we are also cognizant of the fact that, as our experience to date demonstrates, in many instances corporations are unable to verify their initial pronouncements concerning the recipients of these types of payments.

Section 3 of the bill prohibits certain foreign payments outright. The Commission believes that its present statutory authority is adequate to permit effective enforcement of the federal securities laws. As previously indicated, the Commission has investigated questionable or illegal payments and related practices and has sought the prophylactic relief considered necessary under the federal securities laws. The Commission has, for example, in certain enforcement actions, sought and obtained by consent of the parties ancillary equitable relief prohibiting the defendants from making such payments. We will continue to do so in the future.

The Commission believes that the question whether there should be a general statutory prohibition against the making of certain kinds of foreign payments presents a broad issue of national policy with important implications for international trade and commerce, the appropriateness of application of United States law to transactions by United States citizens in foreign countries, and the possible impact of such legislation upon the foreign relations of the United States. 36/ In this context the purposes of the federal securities laws, while important, are not the only or even the overriding consideration, and we believe that the issue should be considered separately from the federal securities laws.

Finally, Section 4 of S. 3133 would give the Commission authority to initiate, prosecute and appeal criminal actions arising under any of the provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of

35/ Section 1 of S. 3133 largely embodies the first major tenet of our legislative recommendation, and we therefore have not specifically commented on this provision but, rather, have modified it to comport with the overall approach we are recommending.

36/ See "The Activities of American Multinational Corporations Abroad," Hearings before the Subcomm. on International Economic Policy of the House Comm. on International Relations, 94th Cong., 1st Sess., 23-24 (1975), where a representative of the Department of State suggested that such legislation "would be widely resented abroad" and could be viewed by other governments... "as a sign of U.S. arrogance or even as interference in their internal affairs."

#### 14

1934. Whether or not this provision has merit as a general policy proposition, we think that it would be unwise in divert attention from the critical policy issues posed by S. 3133 to what, in the context of this legislation, must surely be characterized as a peripheral lasue. We prefer that any such provision be contained in separate legislation, at a time when full and careful debate could be had on its merits.

#### B, DRAFT LECISLATION PROPOSED BY THE COMMISSION

The Commission proposes the following for Congressional consideration:

#### A BILL

To amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to prohibit certain issuers of securities from falsifying their books and records, and for related purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

That Section 13(b) of the Securities Exchange Act. 15 U.S.C. 78m(b), is amended by renumbering existing Section 13(b) as "Section 13(b)(1)", and by adding at the end of new Section 13(b)(1), the following subparagraphs:

"(b)(2) Svery issuer which has a class of securities registered pursuant to section 12 of this title and every issuer which is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of this title shall

"(A) make and keep books, records and accounts, which accurately and fairly, reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; and

"(B) devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that

"(i) transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization;

"(ii) transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements. in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements and (2) to maintain accountability for assets:

"(iii) access to assets is permitted only inaccordance with management's authorization; and

"(iv) the recorded accountability for assets is compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

"(b)(3) It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to falsify, or cause to be falsified, any book, record, account or document, made or required to be made for any accounting purpose, of any issuer which has a class of securities registered pursuant to section 12 of this title or which is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of this title.

"(b)(4) It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly,

"(A) to make, or cause to be made, a materially false or misleading statement, or

'(3) to omir to state, or cause another person to omit to state, any material fact necessary in order to make gratements made. In the light of the circum-

stances under which they were made, not misleading. to an accountant in connection with any examination or addit of an issuer which has a class of securities registored pursuant to section 12 of this title or which is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of this title, or in connection with any examination or audit of an issuer with respect to an offering registered or to be registored under the Securities Act of 1933."

#### C. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF COMMISSION'S PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposal amends Section 13(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. 78m(b) by adding new subsections (b)(2), (b)(3) and (b)(4),

Subsection (b)(2) would apply to issuers which have securities listed on an exchange pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. 781(b), to issuers which meet the requirements of Section T2(g) of that Act, 15 U.S.C. 781(g), and to issuers subject to the reporting requirements of Section 15(d) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78o(d). This subsection imposes an obligation on these issuers both to maintain books and records which accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and the dispositions of the assets of the issuers, and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that, among other things, transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other applicable criteria. Because the accounting profession has defined the objectives of a system of accounting control, the definition of the objectives contained in this subsection is taken from the authoritative accounting literature. American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, 320.28 (1973).

Subsection (b)(3) of the proposal would make it unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to falsify any book, record, account or document maintained, or required to be maintained, for an accounting purpose with respect to each of the three classes of issuers subject to subsection (b)(2) of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78m(b). This subsection prohibits not only affirmative false statements but also the failure to make entries, or the failure to obtain or create documents, necessary for proper accounting records. Concepts of aiding and abetting, and joint participation in, a violation, would be applicable under this provision, in the same manner as they have traditionally been applied in both Commission actions and private actions brought under the securities laws generally.

Subsection (b) (4) would prohibit making false or misleading statements or omitting to state facts necessary to be stated to an accountant in connection with any audit of the three classes of issuers identified in subsection (b)(2) of Section 13 of the Act. This subsection would also apply audits in connection with a securities offering registered or to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933. As with subsection (b)(3) of the proposal discussed above, aiding and abetting and joint participation would be subject to this provision.

## AN APPROACH TO ENCOURAGE THE ESTABLISH-MENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDIT COMMITTEES AND INDEPENDENT COUNSEL TO ADVISE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The legislation we have proposed should remedy the most pervasive characteristic of the cases brought to the Commission's stiention in this area, namely, the deliberate faisification of corporate books and records and other methods of disguising the source or disburscment of corporate funds. Action to further enhance the creation by public corporations of audit committees composed of independent directors to work with outside auditors would, however, serve as a valuable adjunct to these legislative proposals. Similarly, corporate accountability can be strengthened by making the role of the board of directors more meaningful and separating the critical aspects of the functions of the board and independent counsel. This, of course, reises questions concerning optimum relationship between outside and inside di rectors and whether members of law firms which have the responsibility of advising the corporation, including the board, should also serve as members of that hoard of directors.

The importance of the role of the board of directors, independent and it committees and independent counsel has been illustrated by the Commission's enforcement actions in the area of opestionable or illegal corporate payments. Significantly, in some of these cases no audit committee existed. In the others, with a single exception, audit committees either operated only during a portion of the time when the questionable payments were alleged to have been made, or were not wholly independent of management. Accordingly, the resolution of these proceedings typically has involved establishment of a committee comprised of independent members of the Board of Directors, charged to conduct a full investigation, utilizing independent legal counse) and outside and tors to conduct the necessary detailed incuiries. The thoroughness and vigor with which these committees have conducted their investigations demonstrates the Imporcance of enhancing the role of the heard of directors, establishing entirety independent audit committees as permanent, rather than extraordinary, corporate organs and encouraging the Board to rely on independent counsel.

With these thoughts in mind the Commission has been considering various approaches to accomplish these important objectives. As an initial step, we have asked for the views of the New York Stock Exchange with respect to a revision of its policies and practices as a practical means of effecting them. 37/ Action initiated by the New York Stock Exchange at this time would diminish the need for further direct government regulation and set an important example for other self-regulatory organizations.

#### EXHIBIT A

The following tables summarize the information publicly disclosed in filings submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 21, 1976. The filings of eighty-nine corporations are analyzed herein. The following practices were followed in compiling these tables.

. . . . . .

37/ See Exhibit D hereto, letter dated May 11, 1976 from Roderick M. Hills to William Batten. The companies that obtained the informal views of the Commission prior to making disclosures are identified by a double asterisk (\*\*). In some cases brought to the Commission, it took no position.

The Commission's staff attempted to avoid making subjective judgments to the extent possible in compiling the charts. Whenever possible, the staff sought to characterize the conduct in as close to the company's own terms as the limited format allowed. The staff additionally avoided introducing non-public information into the charts.

The categories that are described in these tables provide only general breakdowns of the reported conduct. Obviously, conduct of the nature and variety of that set forth berein does not lend itself to easy categorization, and there is a considerable overlap among the classifications contained in the tables.

In cases in which the corporation made a statement that appeared to report a category of conduct contained in the table, a representation was entered in the charts. Where no statement of any kind was made to garding a particular category of conduct, that category was reported as "not indicated."

In complling the tables, the Commission and its staff made no effort to verify the information contained in the public filings. Thus, the Commission's report of this information should in no manner be considered an affirmation of its accuracy or a judgment as to the adequacy of the disclosures under the federal securities laws.

Finally, although the Commission believes that the tables provide an accurate overall picture of the kinds of conduct reported herein, the limitations laterent in summarization of this kind of information render the charts an inappropriate source for determining the precise conduct of any particular corporation. The Commission suggests that persons interested in this information instead consult the public documents on which these tables are based.

\*/ The companies that submitted more detailed reports pursuant to court order are set forth separately in Exhibit B. Exhibit A does coatain, however, public disclosures made by companies that have settled Commission actions but have not completed and submitted reports. Exhibit A does not contain the submissions of the J. I. Case Company and the Midwestern Gas Treusmission Company. Both are subsidiaries of the Tenneco Corporation, and their fillings largely duplicate that of Tenneco, which is discussed herein.

Ł	۳h.
	Ψ.

	TOTAL REVENLUS PT 1874 No Thomashiki	TYPE OF STATEMENT	DOMESTIC NOLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS	CTHEM DOMEETIC MATTERN	FOREIGN Political, pontribution	POMEKON Balge Type Countribuses
Abbott Labe re	765, 115	roth B-k genering :exults of con- paty's introli- qation covering three year seriod.	Nor-4	Hn:  pricatea	Kot "Michleg	7redobiidani pack of paramits mis con- a siin triffe that totaind 5314,000 from 1973-75, with colated salas (btsi- ing 18,4 million.
Allergen Pleckaceum ticulé	25, 198	Torn H-K repetiting results of investiger tion fouering five year period.	95 sllegg] politeed Cuntergations	ho pavaentø 4⇔ 28 govern- ∎ore officials	dol indicace.	Paysence mence- queing Sik, Juy paid aver live years in ive counteles in connection with naise of F358, Sea,
BUBJ  CAN Alflaneu	1,64), JOT	Reparr costainea in storr Black- smnt of April, Lois	Juliy Firs in the arranging to Fraction Property car for 11- Legs] tott fluttions of 435,000, District Mage1, Anthre Lags1, Anthre Sill,474 balleyed Sill,474 balleyed Sill,474 balleyed sontributed Sating pasted begin. For as	Not Indicated	voe india	etwé Hat Indiceted
Bees ican Cyprimid So. +*	1,179,873	fote S-T and rete 4-3 coport- ing comple of investigation	h pne	None	Trom 1971-15, pa mente of 510,040 S36,040 partuelty saf,040 partuelt sand likejbi cher	TO FARMATE OUTING CAL These including accurs (1914 Farmation for 1), 100
Nacrican Braine ** Producta	3,049,74L	Porp 8-3 pancuncing Investigation and possiblers a semeral description of the naymants problems,	Илде	NOL INGLEITED	Contributions L Equa countries, Jagailey of som the contributio augusts garding	The Soa Daybanta to col Correctedant ng officiale"
kæfflesn Øtandafð, Inc.	L,876,973	Form 30-E reporting remute of investiga- tion covering there prove	Eenr	No mikuful payma ta gavatnacat dan ad indi-iduala.	Mic Illegasi com nu tiona. (argati co nacc- tribetions of then 3500 par	un- Curatééléne peld Lyan and bejleved persed
	L,600,4D0	Form D-D announcing initiation of inven- tigation covering fin- yrate to empire totalign ptymesta.	boc vadicated	Hot Lndicated	Work smallested	Mot Jidicales Nansgabut does not by have a mitbril affect the rasu <u>its of the upp</u>
nnic Lenn Hephone 6 Jang ragin Hang ragin	24, 345,470	Form 5-7 statm- mark discloses spi investigation into domentic polstical contri- butcom of Seath- western Ball and others.	Fanding investiga- tion concarning political contri- butions to obtain furbrable treat- chatistic treat- chatistic treat-	Wat Indi≂treð	Not indicat	eð Not Indicesmé
atar Abd <sup>an</sup>	- 461,284	Face 1-1 separt- Log the results of investigation,	Hor <b>4</b>	Mot Indianed	Submidiarina purchased 6300 p2 tickets for fund raising dinner, and contribution of 320 wate hade to a politi- ral party, Both activi- tion wate lagal.	Payment of 61,943.600 annee 1070 to relativat of govern- ment amployaer which company characteriams as deal in country whete made. Similar payments of F20,000 is 1170 other country, The payments did not enceed 5582,000 in any mingle yest.
1149 0.	).†?¥,300	Turm 3-K separting the STC's investory and indicating that the company to con- ducting an investiga- tion.	On the cusin of the present invertigation. the company be- linves it mide of blegal contilbutions,	Wat LAdicated I		UNE DÉ ÉCORIGO AGANTE (Adéateud, Sur "no mungaético of Lapro- printy."

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution interved

PAYNERITE 70 FOALING OFFICIALS Payners page 5r com-		BOOKS & RECORDS	LIABLITY LIABLITY 2 September - Rotified	KNOWLEDGE OF TOPMANAGEMENT	CESSATION
<pre>registor with father fore [nd querenments] ertions or stilling left on intervents. 1978. The commission [state-type passed through to gett, o fayent of 54,000 to</pre>	201 (Adiretes - phymothe were (Frituale and expension)	Intered at "salen and prever innal andered bar the domination oncourse Lation.	<pre>tampany hav motified tam info and campo steps to answer that no improve info destions will be taken in 1995. Frince teture allow te- 130 feveraged.</pre>	tion tan, Mót indinated tion Mót Trait Tara	NEWS CONFICTE CONSIST aCopyrd, Al Mail BS Iccampar of Constitu- with ton Conservent deviations May occup inction will them no can rowers affect.
1974 to government officual to bovernment visco increave dos La products. Alem, Br. uncontermed undispison di m payment of algioto no e Cuilone ufficient.	Sumplexeus (nor continent) payvett of \$15,000 to er- ployees of patents of ornet subsidiar on connection allo government sales.	BLANS OF OR MARTY - Business Capesant, 7	Energy has reported payments as convicting of Diditary building dejuctions, Add. (long) sas stated to be enormal, howeves,	2.4 Series enaloyee altoised new angle of or authoised new engl by payments. It slats is paying the the source was amployees were sware. Ho subjects that the deficient of the rompany wide angle, and the d. record sets not guestimed.	Stated to be the company's policy fot to make di- left I Corean pay- monte. The board has picters man- based to estab-
PDE Inditated	MOQ (w3jrpwen	Cospan, Bainta, ned an off-the-Dooks fund woint back to 1954 that was funded by Islaw charges, Fillue to reded start, mtc. The fund amounted to 5375,620.	Adustinggi Lasen ni \$j1.46ú ptum 39.(j) in interest Maø paid.	Chestenn of BOATO took respondiol- lity for political Migants. Chief financiaj Officto had sing pooperated in the activities.	tes. Company has piopind policy strivent.
last five years 16 coplayees af tatal \$1,150,000. p \$109,000 per	Bot İSİLCALAØ	Paymente were "secorded in Cyam secials (inancial recorde."	An deductions wete rates. Pone(b)e effect on liablity eog we detsalered. Company belident the possible effect immeterial.	Fan <del>y</del>	Faynants states to kara been egsinst company policy staten policy states being property.
Committed OF-type payasifit to governeent ambioyses from 1911-T5, not accurding 544, 000 per yeat and angrespin 32,981,080, The talated sail wars 540,5 willion. Also, paymente to ptiotre mains at 9000 persents not accessing 5710,000 amoutile and aggre- gettar 51,662,300 from 1911- 75. Also, payments to obtain governments toptarais.	<pre>i contribution of is 510.410 for an     "attained lajjy goliti-     Cal parpose" while     was lawored by     a block concerned.</pre>	All persents were seconded on books in secondance with requ- lar eccouting proce- durge, strbouch rep- durge, strbouch rep- durge, strbouch co- visus, were resulted to identify Gritein antries,	Luended Lak ceturnu Vere (13ed for the Years 1972-74.	Corposate officers, Jaciuding some of top management, bhem mf payments to fotsign officials,	Yes. Company state that termination day teauli in some lobb at wiley and chung affricultime and dulays that ar predicted to be incondetowith be incondetowith predicted to prove state and uprojege
Payments in the countries of 384,000 over three years to "persons durigneed by cus- twerre believed to be controlled by a pational govern- ment." Payments of about \$3,000 country. Exten- it one country. Exten- ity seles countinsions buils we payment through \$123,000 in b075.		Payments cafiected is sportopilate bodie of eccount	The Company Belleves that there will be no affect on the Ifability.	The anlys completions with toover by adder with to surgement and this semilyre of the obserd.	Company attempt- ing to dispose of subale ary that any Punts .
Bet Lodicated ve that matters under stody a business, financial potitics y or its subsidiaries.	An unchersectorized que of \$1,500,000 paié over a five year period. The company indicates 13 that this meda a, compose esseination.	Payments maps re- Elected on the ponewiddreet financial state- ments, Mot doca- ments deepately, however.	The company bap netfind the CAR of its investigation.	This perimuta receased with the backlord of the different, man of when are backet evolver, ber the "questionable satur of the permeth was not appress to them.	pelicy probinit- ing bribery and illegal pointical e contributions and
Wot indicated	Not indicated	ROL indicated	Net indicated	BOL INČÍCATNÓ	Bot  oålçıtıf
heir reisattvin in boahn syment of past due eerivablem of \$2,840,460. Nu papients wate treesee maled adductions. Omppyy almo reposta pay- ent of \$37,400 to govern- ent apployets of resitives b "farorably influence owarmant atcle" to other	Unpper Lited bot Guese Longble Dayment of Slid, DEO.	Vetlous treatment Lx books, maies deductions, sapennes, épecie) completions, ceimbured employer espenses, etc.	Appensitatety \$1,154 of payments more deducted Legrogeriy on U.S. tau 785 will be informed at the circumstances.	wo aastat at aralas mangament kat kasiwaiga of the physicata.	Trs. Policy Blatswork odoptyd,
han sales ketters. one sales agents had sation with government it the coupenny balleres hat more had the suthority o approve purchase of its sods and arryices.	X01 LFC154144	Company étateé that sil Popelon psyments were ce Elected de che books ad Chete wroe no diversion to, os atétébres Df.	Hot undicated	Yat irdicat <del>o</del> d	Nau Ladicazad

:

-

-

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and reductivity reserved

CORPANY	TOTAL R [VI]NUTS FY 1874 (In Theorem	ата тамдаа Турад сар	ÓCHREÁTIC FOLITICAL CONTRIBUTICAL	OTHER Domesting Matters	FOR THE ALL	FOREIGN EALER TYPE COMMERCIAN
Scan IFF Jour L	593, 655	Porn 5-7 ormeribing LAB artich seisking LO dommakic contributions and disbedis (Jvd and the anistence of an Ski investigation.	Surity plan to the Hacargace Special Prospector for 10 Tend pointing	Hot "Adicarent M	gi Indicated	Trobat Juni ustd "to process Accernational and Satelay Art Trivel business through practices which Schools in the lead work or spanishers believe were comment comput- tive practices in the in- destry." Declution write consideration to travel producters. Some signame producters. Some sis signame
алітер) Іуман Со.		Pare 8-# PhhaumCing Loitieting di in≁ vertigetion.	Prelimingry craults pate company conm fugget ches no il- leggt contributions ware made-	was indicated	Drešiminacy raduj zate compeny coh- tians that no ()legs) contribu- tions ware wede.	See Payments to
Browning- Persips and Indoptrine	256,33L	Porm 4-3 Report with redults of investigation that covered four year period-	Apparent SIC, 100 contribution in a "Jurinditation in which opporter yohitical contailou- ticon age not uniperful," Combelor- toon way mude againit anagement opdets.	Payments of some Sidd in powelble violation myses and local law, S striadium for enterts and expendencian 13.50 mens to gougermants of Giste of Sil, Sud over years to gould over seployees of organization business.	ot isam isami isami isami isat fout isat isat isat	Wot indleased
Bdi/àngha Cerp.	t.210.872	B=K Indiceting SEC Investigation and the company's investigation.	Hot JMILGated	Wol indicated	Hol Indicated	500 "Gtime Poreign - Reliers"
Botles Mational	L,497	Amendment to Form 10-B (wdigating pre-instance of peyment.	Not indicated	Payaant of momer #J30,000 to weplorses or entites tecing business militations alth compony. Met Liderel[ig] militations of commentic-	Rat LPALESted	Not Indipperd
Gernetion = E	L.899, 355	foin 4-2 and frony Blaument reddfling boverigetych	Not indicated	Not indicated	Wot andicated	Kot Ladio⊴teð
acrier arp.	994,481	Ferm Q-X reporting the Septempt of a Dream release concers- results of inset[ga- tion.		mou indicarad		53.143.600 paid over period of four years, of which 5453.030 was paid to government esploymes.
Cail + Cail +,	753,83L	Pere Ø×K Report In- dication femulte et Laventigetión	Not Ln¢i⊂ətad	Wonay was paging thro special decount to UE for use in commution with anticipated port string, goan 110,000 part to contractur to grange for United in grange for United in oppinion that the pay- sent bas leggel.	\$10,000 in two c (rihutieos that wate legal obwrs Dadb.	BOL INGLEATER
rlaneed er Corp.	1,928,900	Annuad Report Indi- catas that feijtu [pvasied potblag "of g materiad neture."	. Mot Lndicated	Ect Indicated	WSt Levicered	Ber indirated
	781,93L	Prosy atatement disclosing SBC Logify,	How Indicated	Wat indicated	Hor shalemeed	Company kan revevied SUC interviesting Maters "not material" to the <u>softheming busing</u>

FOREIGN OFFICIALS	OTHER FOREIN MATTERE	ACCELL & ACCORDS. THEATHERT		TOP MANAGEmetry	GEMATION
MOE APÉITALAS	Woo indicated	Allaquetars of oft-back fand created through sacessive (icket males which were hot adsouble) refigeted on she books,	Jk3 is inspatring jubo the matter,	Asabata of the board of directors and sofie top officers had knowledge.	14
Pretiminery investigation indicates that "payments of quasiconable propriety" have been doubt in conver- tion with dates to foreign dovernaments. The compary in line that with their terminetiam will here so matte indicates ac affect on Septema.	Van Indicasen	Mot indicated		Not indicated	Yps, Pallay statesang adoping, siki Geobsi Ing siki have ad pagerisi wffect.
mot indianted	Het ,proljc∎teol	Come subsidiacy engagad in domentic and foreign bubly numb had incom- plate records.	Company Ludicates that the matter bad been referred to tee commart,	Yell, in come 24 IAPCancey bi additional additional additional	s. Pollcy Slement sdepled. Taimella uiji Ver de Baterral Verde Sflect en Almess.
Jda "Otdar forqign Batiuji"	From 1973-75, some fils allitan was althout any from p foreign autosidiary and used in connega- tion with asiam, argin- ding dome to agancies of docula government. The added to the prize of the goods wold.	Fictiticas investors With nand to vith- dram monay icos mobaldiary.	Ammunts of withdrawals ware Locinded as deduc- table expenses for Uncome tas purposes.	Mo wesker of board alidirectora bad any Lovylege of the krangscytons.	¥ep.
Commission of F197,004, Approximation of F197,004, and a set of the anise price, to government amployee who "could have inflyenced" government's inflyenced" government's incling,	des 'Other Dosetic'	Fes. Campany mpio- tainsd two improper "Cash tands" bt some \$70,000 over a floe yeas Deriod. They were Sunded by Thetilious purchases and taise expense resports.	Jaméndind Eng gayurna Élimé atéroting Long carryforvarda.	Wot Lndlewced	Teo
farment of \$3,263.040 from 15 to \$356 to expedite or influ- ence respiciency, tection by Infeign governments. The pay- ints of net vielate us jaw. Not none were filegel or improper under foreign jaw.	Mot indicated	A special account was mainteaucod for pay- ments on the boots.	Wo dwdwcllone had Dwgn Lwish (b)bilng Lo the jbyweitte:	Fire directors, all of when wers noncess for cellersion and including Cheirsson and including Provident and Senic Visa- Freedent and Senic Visa- Freedent and Senic Visa- Freedent and Senic Visa-	Tes. Company not certain a unpact on fut bodiness. 2y
645),411 Ouring four yest petiod	Npt indicated	Not indicated	Not Indicated	Hot indicated	Tat
Augerous mult payments, and beryast. Rost made to aray overse post officials. Tompany does not conflore the Tompany does not conflore the Tompany does not conflore the Description and states the paid as bildes or strength to while treatment.	Personari she rebola acass, and shey ware not a abtain prefer-	Host payments and from 4 spacial chack- ing derowni Baintain- ed EDF chai parposa and 4 record of the account was transmit- ted Acontaints frammit- decoanting frampor- essa.	Met Ladicated	Sepioz señaĝsôshi wađ sugra olijne payfent erfazgeornik.	RD. Försöhla c)alsed to be "annerally ac- capted in the countries" and seant is to the protection of employets.
Hot indicated	Not présentéd	Hor indiquted	Hart 1ndlcatmd	Nog indiçated	Compeny DISCON Lnat "aby qyestionabit fretione pera terpingted." It appets at gapets nb significant loss of symmutes ps feasit of turkingtion.
Hat Indicated	hot indicated	Hos Indicated	Mot indicated	Mat and cated	Not indic

.

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

	TOTAL REVENUES FT 1874 De Tamagana	TYPE OF STATINUST	CONTRIBUTIONS	OTHEN DOMESTIC MATTERS	PORION POLITICAL CONTRENTION	ACM BLADY BALLEP TYPE CONSTRUCTION
Cicias Secuire	2.606,300	Porm 6-8 2poorté, 1-18 čerp J-1	Mot Lasideted	Met spåttebed – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	ispanditures of 190,000 for "polycrest purpodet." the strong of subsidiary. septim of subsidiary. Spidlary, was intormed that obidlary nellewet that non- t the funde ware paid to correst.	Not Indicated
Catetel Stated See	۲, ۱۲, ۱۲, ۱۲, ۱۲, ۱۲, ۱	fote 8-K shioynting inresilgetich.	ðùhé rét. disccvis∕edi	Mans yet dlscovered	Wapm ymt 8L4Cavprad	As yet which first of repose their pert of brains age completions toreign generations toreign generations toreign a the brokering first total all book out
Camprent Rudlacian	L1,489	lanual Japort för 1975; Hotas tö Pjnançlalı	Kat indicated	Not Ladicated	71st +tslCat#4	Paydente of 520,345 to sales capterbolistive, Portion paid to official of foreign agency.
Colgetar Palaolite Company	3,615.446	Form 4-K contelm- ing company policy and receive di inves- ciggion bbel coves- ed live yests.	Long	Not Indiabled	Noor	Mot indicated
Ççanbenke	)5, L60	Form B-K indicat- ing investigation prompted by Casti- comy given by company Dresident under grant of under grant of	Firstdeet make contribu- tions of some \$100,000 from iso/-33. Bo Jedersk, stata abd local official from soccount paintained by nithese of artiklated bark, Persident taskilled that of the Difficms, both thought that it was avail- able for philtical contri- buttors.	Disperionable Element one En unite the Provident and comporation perchassis and asid shares in two apparent Yoride bases.	Hec (nóireted	₩çt  vålcstað
Çock İndyetileb	636.aC)	Form 10-8 and 8-8 disting govern- wrnt howebtigstion	Por Indicated	In weatings (in not complet but company two laves thet catteln of its exploying two being involved in visit tons of inting to grain transform of redevised that matters and to leave and intigeneed grain officials, and the topsey had "some ball to believe that certain ( its employets, ithout to thouse that certain in visit of the the tons	ann ann Piot (nàicatad Mi	Not indicated
çogi United IAC.	(46,535	Pern û-1 tepperting Lhê cfeuisg af ifreetigetjap,	Not "Màlcasaý	Payment of SLIMI.55 Se "persons dot reployed by degletrat of its gonaldstrime." It is not class whether payment report whether payment: of forelan, however.	Not Indicated	Hot Indicated
Cote Laborgcorinal, Inc.	, 21,703	Forma D–K cépôtiling re- multet of Longen- rigation	None	Xone	Hené	N⊖L (ndicat¶d
bel Monce	j,114,200	example Report dis- cloping existence of outermains investigation into the duiding manual purghase of benary properties. Ment- jatedy spancing in US were marifuld.	Hog indlæted	Hot Indicated	Yor indicated	The investignation which had not been concluded, mantered on payments to a con- puttant requesting neepsilations of pro- perty putchase. Fre- capany indicated its belies ents it was unlikely to suf- ley any source as fewalts of investa-

-

Hubbidiary Behribland Isfebods Sund of ease 150-000 elnes (bi). reated [rom substree on 1600, Trum substree on 1600, Trum substree 1600, Trum substree	Ten. Buing sant upto to transfa word to transfa to transfa tuble incide clading "Instead rectuel. The pay- mert to laskyist triginitay was co- cerded as technical ervice.	Rams Lapropur dur ductides, und phandyd 144 saturn tijsd. The IRD will be contected.	Reptor Bohagament, Lndi and sho were disectors of the \$20,500 payents were tood that the subs hod best informed by ion counted that the upymon legal. The tegality of Bayeen new "is not fre dbubt," however.	, ≿nsur Yegs, Dojioy but slatemarne idiusry bdeapteb. csJ tuany the
	∲l&còvered	May Loddcazwd		Yes. Zetley storpen <u>: adopted</u>
Not indicated	doj indiceted .			
		. Not indicaced	The company way calriand at the taim the paytent was pade.	Mot Indiceted
,- ng ⊨ot indicat∎d	Approvinstaly 143,200 may to: Levi been graperly reflected on the boots of a Amouldlery.	- Company rapmarks that any gas (Labiligy "w(]] be minimar[."	Rashangtzaur E. vaká ávezte dí hág- áventa malár to cosposationa disfigmatant by torvalign officiái	fbs. Policy statement adapted.
<u> </u>	· _ ·	Possible tax lightlity to be indemnitied by the President.	Yee, as im indicated	Beindessenens by Prov dent and puggetion of activities by Sim.
Kat saðicstyð	Pot (Wilcolra	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	
or indureted	laittaana aluga laptoput ang franculont waigalan di grain ang falatfiration of teatifiration of teatifiration ligtpar cartifi- catus.	wet indicated	Infostelión bblainad to dete inditelas Ther the activities wwis conducted mithout the knowledge of denios mynegebezt.	Yee. Policy state peer adopted,
NGL INGLEALES But att "Difer Docestic"	ast indicated	wpg indicated	Not indicated	Tes, Jolicy statemer poeptid.
. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Paysenta [Frorded Fs Sutaité (Depisalors, déf di milés and asiés roséité;cos.	Company will eita- toate a Suppo de- duce,on previosity claiped and mend tas CRTPPn-	Ltap Dith Octan Yes Orso Ditar Diverso ditar Star Externation Contactor Ditar Contacto	Ay grates that it is no fligy bo dake payments is mature and that it not intend to inlicate grafs these in the drum dual to make researce of toduld offer and remonst rised where no remonst nature is available, in cases. Ine pendent want parentie to available, in parent is no duared by th percenties of these. The percent of these.
Not Lpd.coted	₩DE IndicaLed	BADE Indicaced	Yst ind cater	Hot ind.c+led
	<u> </u>			
	Hot Indicated Hot Indicated Hont Hont Hont Hont Ludicated	Hot sodicated Hot indicated Not sodicated Hot indicated Not indicated. Not	bott of a subsidiary. Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected Mot subjected. Mot subjected	Desire of a mashed tary.         derignated by tary indicated the second by the second bythe sec

.

.

	TOTAL MUVUNLATS FY (\$30 (in The <u>ment</u> )	TYPE OF	DOMENTIC POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS	CTHER COMBETIC NATTE	FOREION FOLITICAL CONTRIBUTICAL	FORENEN BALLEFYPE (CONTRECTOR
Diamond Joth),	>B2,568	Jorn d-1	Serveind volumitary disclosure to (eders) subbortists of U- legs; political come tributions and gwitty ofer of the company and a Vice President to contributions of \$8,800-	Hos indicated	Mat undscæteð	Hot and Leated
Diversitien Industries	101,865	Porm LO-4 Gor Everal rati onded Oct. 3975	Hot Lněicatéd	Allsigntion in civil solr that each fund of some 300,000 was maintained trom thle??, and that payments of \$100,000 ner to company ment allsged another company d.~16 introam purpose. Zenaini not verified, but \$15,00 eag revenes to genétés	đa nast vngiscate∹1 he n n ser ber bu	Jat Indi¢⊨t∎d
Dreser: Jaduate Leé	L,397,570	Form Úrs anndunding Iprestigation.	Not Indicated	məş (ədicətəd	Wot inditated	Hige, Indicate¢
Ebeettobic kapacistoa. Gac.	N Byst Lve Cevenues	Tarpa Benki reparting fe− multer at travear- t(gartian	Possibly some 31,153 paid to domestic politica rate parties by forame officer who was cept burged by som composing.	Pot indicated	HOT Indicated	Dot Indicates
E. (141 )		Form 3-7 indicating thursholdstaf derivativ aute alieging improper expenditure pi 559 million, am Vell en SPC and Congressionsi inquiriss,		Bat Indicered	Contributions in Isal legal is that reentry granging () million per year and tetaling straight a distinct usbuthorised prolities contributions of so ministillar ways and bail distort also performed that to be performed that to be performed that to be performed that can't waify that tak can't waify that tak	' NI ₩ot [ndlested 
Payrob i ld Industr 144	254.054	Form 8-6 sepatting results at investign- tion.	Yot Lindfeelad	Not indjráté∮	Nai Lodio <b>sinė</b>	Hae Indlastod
lardam?- Sanvar CD, 14	41).0d+	Porm B-R f4- parting emailse af investigation	Ha jilegul couttibutione	Het Indeceted	NGT INČICATON	invoice on supplies's cautificate in four pambles indicate pambles campigalong than airs paid, but the full completion of on the books for the purpower. This peaklin bas been discontinued.
famotai Telephema a Blactronics Cesp.	1,84L,870	Form d-F report- lng the coults of promitication-	Ma [[Legé] çaji[[m] çaji[[m]	Not Indicated	Payments of spyralistPhy 5132.005 dvar flvr years that vert lags where saids. One ingrogetly recorded.	Payment of Side.BDO by submidlacy to outher ing reple- mentalized and is in set clear that lapropriation werk not present.
General Tide & Rubber Co.		10-E revealing home- togation required by SOS after disclo- pute of Chilan remandeding. Fre- instancy required .nvestigation caported.	Teventişacion Vil İngülte Veco Vila BASIS No Cipcionucăi Made.	Not indicated	Het Christed	Consultant tack of Morrowsh Drawars and Jichige. The but pot indicade. with one of the request of \$90,100 uunifact regotiation not known, "quewars", suiting 1. payment of cast fund. tormed years fund. tormed years for events. Cft-book fund owne bft-book fund owne

.

.

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

FORESON OF FICIAL		BOOKE & ABADANA THEATMENT			CERNITION
90t indicated	mot redicated	Max indiçeled	Hat Ln <b>d</b> ffêtød	7	Tas, the cotport tion's time as was reliabeled by the Chief Executive Officer,
Hot Indicated	Hak Indicasen	The sub "reads fends mode sub- teined subdamp faist subs and faist gapping subfiles (com.	Company Libed Americal Patacam and explore additional tax remoin Company indication that is well have to decide the interp to decide the indication not operating long cases for word	a	tas. Pelicy Alakament Adopted, Matyara dia- ruscad da mot requira chapqe In the fasan- cial akatamente
Excelence of "un- careighted payment of \$24,000 in carection with p typ bettimment.	. HOE brd:csted	The uniscalpted payment to setth can liablity may described on the books as such.	de deductions table for an- tecnipted payment.	los indicased	T+#
Payments of 361.00 minor gavernment of mf pis courteles d: the years 1971-75	filetate	Subatantially all more feotided to be complaintons, cass of makes or public scha- ticts reprises investigation discoursed on aff- boak funge.	Mat yek Sytespijed, bat contepy bet inves that yes i inves that yes i inves yes, we is in investige int,	Biblio officers and directors inners of physicant in gay in- statics body did mot	Company bes represent the policy designs, single or improper conduct be indicative anterpoints of the indication competion where such payments pro Contemport
Fryments of norm [740,432 floop 1453] to 1933, 64 chis m [10, PGO van made a mid-1933, Payments [11,040 pat year to [14] 040 pat year to [14] 140 who matyod m subtant. Four 51, [14] 140 chis off [14] 1 castome off [14] 1 abd 1374.	Iter of itelian mutatolary s of of about 810 million; o legin - poyment of stand s con- 516 million to thatian 000 of all organisation for corrain pales million;	brimbie alle must pu	listins páypanata diá nót žóduce UR Latas át nyy timp,	Offlents whe warw wemmers of board or Diractors and hundage- ment of Feginary, offlees ulther taken of the tenascilent or hatharised hum.	Politins and procedurat adapted to Ktap illegal pay- ambie and the faiblificecies at books and formuck
Mot fadicated	BOE LAGICALED	Not (publicated	Mat ind kets;	ind indicated	Ton. Carparate management has rorland to a mapaited of the has grantform is strend to be not material to be not state mainers.
ftes 1971-TE, 562,200 was paid to governers do angleyers, Also, 30420 payann to governerst caplo h connert.ch with admass.ch with	<ul> <li>ported to Combitize Dept.</li> <li>and reared, hjao, 327,800</li> <li>peid to an employee of en independent distributor to scenare estat.</li> </ul>	en books of the perant.	tdelitiona] tas liasililuy inoicsted	<b>P</b> -	Teb- Company bes sciepled policy state- ment
Frynawn of JI,210,4 1836 - 15, as well an Mits to third part 35,602,836 where it 314aiy ens span poi Ma panent officiain.	pays Tagmenta relating LO and Diary of a deficera breat of Joreign companies tion of \$5.006,028 from L971-75.	Files Invelice on-d to generate cash for some payments. Some of subaidveris: booms did not reveal nature of the teams actions. Some DIS- book seconds athe were discovered.	Company han advised the INS	Satalde directore not mears, manapement direc- loce ware invoived in adma trectections, bat may not har ben away of cicuumyancum gi ben average of cicuumyancum gi de metiousrett nt condigot,	Ved. Rattern dlacovered wij] e not matarially pffert assats.
(Toisultant in connect) chautant was under Yeb Norrosan officae (1444ciiona, hokevec.) (0 privata Romanian bbliaved lagstinae bbliaved lagstinae bbliaved lagstinae bbliaved lagstinae (14) was paid from 10 (15) noiselse. from wo (Swpangacion and lo)	M00,000 has been paid to date to on with magchistion of contracts investoration for anne time. Is were inditted in connection is the inditted in connection this by company. For research the by company. For research the former, Onegorid at ith f24.300 was paid over abo proper >-4 illegs purpose. Do that appears to have	Sot Indicated	¥qt indic≈te≤	Not indicated but mild to be abbject of ronsinuing investigetion.	Tra .

\*\* Le+ 1.

ci tol di atiti etti etti etti etti

24

	TOTAL REVENUES JY 1874 (In Thready	TYPE DP STATEMENT	ронцитка Роцијасац сонтиндитална	otnan ponar pric na trens	FOR IFON POLITICAL CONTRAINTRING	FOR 1996
Buð - Gaod s uch Ciði	L.90.244	rətə 1-K vich ⊎ish pretintinary report d2 inven- tiğetion	liče	Kot :-ficated	.ion#	Completion-type and to perilting Advants to jour remark offic- nate in two countries not bord pre site (175, total from total fill, Saint related to the conversations were safet. Out.
Goodymun Tire A Rubber (o.	5,156,243	Prósy statéónni regnering domaste contribyioné and Porm 8-X érpolijicé resuite of inspati rion jato torelga gaitere,	k fore kyn bank account, funded from wolume dispounts on ferrign salos bes upped as the source of diseastic control- butiens. The teccount was started in 1956. Dear als genra, some rises bod out trans- Chards and the soard tel company pland gel2 by soating on tilesai seting on tilesai seting on tilesai seting tector.	Hor Indi=420d	Not ;~4irstad	þa sa⇒astæð in . "Possadn Officip]m"
tarcab <sup>1</sup> a	(4),816	Fuc# 8-1	Contributions of jij,500 in potent- ble visition of Peder D Startion Computer Act. Company was saidsurant by Ar. Matsab.	≣oL  ndicac+d	Hog IndsEated	Har Ledicated
Banayes ()	J,410,530	Cshibit to Porm J−R, reporting resalty of investia getien.	None second for nominal state and tocal cost buyerons that were lagge where and discenticued in 1976.	Wa Y[ə]şLıon di aypilcəbiq UF həvə	Wn IJ)Pgdl CgarsIbatidPp	Wot indlessad
Soppital Sorp. of Sector	282,782	Perp B-J Regiptration Statement	War Indicated	Bot igglEaced	Hoç ındıcêtêd	Vaphent to Goralga contuitant puraulant to contript, the full solicit of sprulcas and dispatibles all carpany ballars the payments and contract were legal.
ngetedlå- lend Co.	3,414,788	Form à-B annsuhfing investigetion	Sot LNdfçitid	roc Indicated	MOC JUGICEEmd	Wçt (ndicətêd
aterron- Jaanta] Ivatalfjad Ocp.	64,.43	Porm 10-5 for tideal year midting Decoder 31, 1975	Not indiceted	ync É <b>nd</b> læetød	Contributions (ros [9/]-73 as permit- Led by Loor] law,	NOE INGLEBER
777	41,154,403	rate 10-K and Pedry Stelement reporting (** ajjenting gartan	From 1921-15, wellow signidiantes expended approximate- in 84,300 im pur- thaing itsetta in fund calsing wents. trouring other since expenses and making anot conte be considered firece- in so undifercip to be mont therion to indered, election campaigns. The demertic and forige constitu- tions (neblef) Sél,330 toos 1012-75. of with 550,530 was cade in jurisdistion.	Sealt payments to government function- arine to espedite admentature to espedite ar acture procedural assistence. The botal abount of these payments to considered insignad- grant.	Zaceign and Boesstir point ical contribu- tions rotated \$64,000 trops 1911-75, at which solution was \$1400 in jurneduct tions where contravy- tions Btt Itgel.	25 addition to cua- tampty countsflong, approximately 31.8 altion paid from 1991-35 to assist in developing di improving tustimes dipoita infiles and falationships. The resease to believe that plot a sphere that plot assistly received by employed of parenny closely delated to connectual and queenmapts

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

PARAMETERS	677127 FORMAN		Linder Try	HICHLEDEE OF	CLEATION
5pa "Gthør Fytrign Yøpnørts"	Jurg Barty Bart	(* UtilClated of boost but not fully 4.5- cleant on weaker.	÷onø	ligns	tes. Terrine tidh vilt na have relerine effect an Subintas.
Dermat payments of 512 duer die penr period, (ndirect permants of 503,003 that probably to gove, esploydes. Bo mather 1350,000 in co micks to government with a mis yeard in temago acien of about 35 mili unageet lied subset of pente do i sem time Si, gent bo minut Guetto Dot derficen that the publicities was entitle receive.	plus ∙waht aarsby no⊂ Indicates mala- ployeea tion, vith ion, poy- too too ertep Torajes	Yustian jind Jsed fan dommenis ton- triburinn, Albo, hidlariet nem blo- boas fuked fras which eens Euresyn Raymenia wirs Bade. In ale yaats, auout fedd Job wont Londugh these Funda, Bobe fat of which um Jund fes Leeltimete Bastesp patposes.	Company stat+ç edditionsi tara any, ali] be mi	a, if political collegue	States that Escligation wi
not indicated	woğ İndic≡sed	36F regileifd	riol indicated	Mat. Ind,⊊≠tr¢	
Wysent of soin 1950,000 from 197:-75 to loce; powernaent officials and for level. In consolven eth solve. In consolven eth solve. In consolven restnices services con estimate been performed wy others. Thirteen spedicing paysents of iso,000 (ros 1971-75.	Company taports prevents of BEGG abd te esplayers of pri- vals cultomats in com- nection with dalas. In BAGY Cadad, the payments refs Zor testbalcal marvides that wette holicitions that guestan unjade contultants a pay without formpi pontracts involces, but enrices ve	ents or	Bé fan¥iðslumsé şre fanquifand in us connéciintestand racutpa.	No s≂volvement of ption knewledge of psymente by directors or dificers.	Yes, Bojicy ntarpagnt mdopted,
be lnd∦gmted	Hnv Lhilcated	Paymente to con- multants reported pn books am "detrican restore- ed."	MDE izélested	Уса	Apt Indicated
n Indicated Haddatesent Deligents the Wilk not have a meteria Sametz or faportal aare	it riters or company's	Not Int, roted	Hot indicated	Hor Indicated	Mat Inditutud
Pot ingarment	COLDOTALICA IN CONNECT 1407 with 17:17-0[] 2798 Crampacelong.	Paisific contribu- rions whiled in linarcial hitraperts ns there spinzt in- tobe. Jurceas of pay- ments in convertion with kpineafs one with kpineafs one	Кёта Кёта	tos (~) cated	Not Inflicated
Ca "Foce Lyn Salass Tybe Coentas Long," Lag, payments of rements of podeal 41.4 to government wick constructs to apadite pon, thetra- lyn pocken de for touse procedurgi asistance.	t See "Foricir;n R Selbat-Trype t. Contristionn" c. U Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si	whatancipily all of he mains-type sof- itst,ors were decorded, with # 2000mtine ritles were decas werd insidire.nt Punde mochilen At doarti, o polit.ct; At doart, o polit.ct;	an angelissen and attention III,	Weitter the Boal+ not ⊎fnifos officere actionizes Las pumertionizes.	Ampropriete stebs VIJ he tamer to debute there to deburde think tortetion. The deburde signific such placture vere alticeed and rew procedure vere adopted.

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

2	
Z	Q.

COMPANY	TOTAL REVENUES FY 1874 Un Thousade		CONTRIPUTONE	отини Сончитис Маттели	FORIENDA POLITICAL CONTRINCTIONE	JCAR Bidan Bala dia Terry Chana akti Cary
Jok ngon L Pahr Esn	[,067,68%	Pács é-K regolsina sec éulte of investigation.	30	ho gapanta tu cecivienta .n US	43	Payments mode with understanding that they would no to neveryber oldinials. Set Tubercompen Jificials."
Poppers ID. Fac.	9 (e, Lûs	Form N-K ve- porting relate of (Permitigation	Kant	Not :ndicated	≫o illegal contributions	Approximately SLD rition pold in wollation bl company polloy as commissions in Deceign compations, pollatily to, and at the resumated allon the deviations, repeated did not convection commonsby amount for commissions, nounds.
kratico aa Cotp.	1.500.000	Yorm B-D Indices- ing sealls of cntumlightian.	Contrébuelons Notal- Ing 3000 (som 1971– Té tigt say have bean Vilsgal.	wer indicated	Çəntribulions totallmş Adədd grap 1973-Ji in Countries waşre 1478-J	plot L <b>mdicat</b> ed
Layi Skrawaa	4.500.020	Potp d≤N sandun⊂ Ing fr≫pgttpation.	inat indicated	Wot indicated	Høt indicatad	Not. Indicated
4cDasn#]1 Doug]##	#97.705	Porm 10-E announc- ing results of livestigation	No 1]]qgal political comiributions	Hat Gillerad	Not IngiCated	Using 5 3/3 year pariod, a portion of considering appear to have gove to foreign officials. The total about (n- veirad about (n- veirad about (n-
Reccantila Sentcolpótó- tion, Inc.	3,137,849	Ywa Part 8-P'i witt i+pette	Dificus establish Pund, ssisting from Joga - 12, dyr Built- teal contributions. The work did not Gat- ticipate or crisburds the officarr. Confe- butions setsuged 310, Dub per year and ware not coerced. Company to icates that fund was legal, and that it has beak digcomtinues.	Loans and edvances on furorable tarms to recent aponeered by thet meyoutly of- fices. Loans and purchase of securities in accust of tarket. prices.	Not indicated	Not Inducated
	1,079,550	Form 1-K with Dealsminary ft- port of regults of inventigation.	Кэ .	NDE \mdls=bed	Faymants totsilng 5357,544 from 1888-35 that weld legal under jocal 4am bat legal under recorded on books.	Some Complexion- type payeerte pessad an to governeert aoverneert attickels.
liansur "ublic Service "p.	679,15	kojistration Statiment en Fn:# 3-7,	Contributions of SSL 865 from 1963- 76 made by stub formad by senior app)System.	Act Inditated	. Not 'ndj≙kted	Kat Indicated

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

\_

MATHERETS PC Provessor of Patients Same substitues ande	NATTERS	BOOKE & RECORDS TREATMENT	LIABUTY	TOP MANAGEMENT	CE25A INON
<pre>subsit/letts side promote 0 4990,300 rost 5512-75 with the gaderatending that devace- gene officials were characteri- gene officials were transition- remeindes were rapedialing septents.</pre>	Jeyments of 312,140 originated in US Lat wre proclated with exports.	Clarged to realisty of orthorned but were publics to sensize charges of the wubsiding invities.	Impropre deduction of Stdd.vd. JAR will de motifind, out an Us return to be aretded or hilmd.	No percepts of rolard or status of come life and no present sincu its of lifer sets of a convert payments.	Nes. Company Scatte Line the
No •*	NOC INGLEBLEB	Nā baoks sed recorde probleme were diecovered.	7he JBG hbé òven Bivisti et develop- Marte até felor bes retachte ate being retieved.	Helther wenter Banege- Baret nor the board of directors had troutedge bi the pyyagats.	Tea
(b) Cull Srpan 1/30-75 to tan ensoltants, minor geschnen implement ng minn officials. Expl 1/43,600 of ship ap mes peid to a cas an mes peid to a cas meaultant, and the messary messaria.	No accounts not ra- inst two years invot- ing aspenditores of Mail.000 over eight wers. Rayments for umployme compensation and perments to thiad arrise of nuestionas, essilier or prosriesy.	eents.	Hône	pope accontà? Realizati de ve andit Zenetaj magnit di Zenetaj magnit di	
Payments of alsout 135,000 in 1034-1475. Company connot distar- alow athatian payments und to laptoper banefits innel it accuived tibilar senelits, primerity tam crudits, in primer years without philop payments.	Moi indicid	Mat Ipólcated	Bot inducated	Not indigeted	140
Parphanta Eb Corelge officials: act "Comesta Lot Type Jayamenta"		Concerv's indepen- about accountents are of the opinion that supenditures were properly dentitud in seconting reports and cellected in the financial statements	Additional tases uili be paid on such grounts as uisingtaiy devec- pondeductible.	Her Indicacad	Not undforted
Bot Traiceled	Nos indicated	Wat inditeted	Bot sudicated	¥=#	Yee
From 1958-75. \$1,601,635 , of which 22,105,050 rep- treated appricht commination paid re third parties who m nave passed money on to government supjoynets. Company indicates that not all of payments may hav been improper. Santasity paid to mid and lower imvel officials. One 31,350 payment mims to cob	er Hal IndloatHé e	Peyments Classified ad Dusiness approach conversions. Feet, Batketing morrised, st., Political Con- tributions arguments promotions) arguments or public calations gependet.	Japroper deduc- clons prior to 1974, Amended fr Cuteb (by 1973 & 1973 filed and af- tyring) Stiled and af- gard. fms is presently perimuing the matte.	General know]edge of a souber of the Obyseats	Yum. Policy Blarament adoptess.
jeve <u>l příčigi, ho</u> mevet. <u> </u> Hyt indiceteů	Hot indicated	ABGINTS declarad finos definyer malacies foc political contilut- rions iscluded in operacing caperare.	beteology Jok	Members of the DOBLE of directors inveloted in the Conduct fridetion to postilication entities troom.	Tes, puralest to settisment core egonia with state and lederal officials.

-

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

COMPANY	TOTAL MEVENUS & Fy 1854 (in Theorem)	TYPE OF STATEMENT	CONTRACTOR POLITICAL CONTINUESTICAL	GTHEN CONTETIC MATTERN	PORESON POLITICA, CONTRIBUTION	PCHLICH BALLE-TYPy CCMM HERCHy
aca Corp.	114,217	Farm P-K Arnaung- Jog (NyEbbigation	Hot Lnd\zated	kat i-diceted	INGTRE	From 1317-77, personnig anden to price at envippert of 1325,120 to 5000,2000, s21eaad- lar garth, Listining, Boopoist betwiden.ecc. Jout they foughave Seen utilized by ynasthorined pur- poing,
Nort3ypet		Face 4-1 f#-	mat indicated	net Indicated	Nat indicated	Mat jndicated
Industries, Inc.	L\$3,78C	psétlőg céélőté of investigation				
					. •	
lhø 266ahors 29.	133,400	Forg Sak annowné- imo prei miner teggita of involv- tigatier	For <del>e</del>	Hone	Moud	Fens
Geden Cotp.	3.#51,119	Ford 1-8 78- pörbing resulte of invesigetion	Custain gataona who weire ant annuwroed cam- tidad terviaea of company sic- plane until jara gata of seviaea of seviae for seviae of seviae of seviae lift at a cust is seviae lift at a cust of seviae lift and side seviae side ot indifeited	Not indicated	THE. The comploy indicates that is colleves that with consols.	
Ötim El rvator	-	Parm D-P Indicating Initiation of an investigetion.	Ket indicated	85t izdlawted	Urdat Intestie Gation.	Urdes lav vettigstick.
Paelijiz Vegetab)= Jil 	71.0.317	Porp 10-9 Phndikcing Peaults di Investigation	Kut indiaced	Hot Indic££#€	Not indicated	rfat .ndiceted
flaer.]nc.	£.571,887	Face 3-k report- Log reamity of Logramity of Cougling three Years	Yoq  nd.seted	Hat Indjett#8	Pussible perpants, ; ·Dtree Forsign Far- ments.·	PF Xut inflaqted

jet

PATHON OF FICHLS	отная голаная Маттала	POINTS & MECONING THEATMENT			CERLATION
ot indicates	Has ind.cutes	A special fund gen for reacting mi- snnnel and wurner, inthies appears fr hywe been ised for novement is parad by overbill- im cusencers with these knowledge.	Their are no LS tar chorroweners with propert on the payronis.	-Jo member of econom pergagement of Solid of Guercears was pears of the pay- Perta.	fue, pality statement adopt- nd. Concerny Gang not believe thes reparation will writerially offers centrally offers centrally offers
Agentis made by one unit connected persons is general to bit lise ont a persons is general to bit lise ont a person lise do is 1973. Is a control of the state of the second lise do is 1974. And state of the second of state of the second persons to a second person of the second state of	Not indicated	Ro transactions were discovered that were not properly tabord- ed on the appropriate account. The company disc scares that the investigation uncover- investigation uncover- ing process of no styp fuelds and no ing process of laundered sampr.	The IAE bas bash advised that we work optical to the estant that any improper deductions have aistabening Dawn is flacted on the frauche.	Ho diractots hid dep knævladge pj tæ paymente,	Yeu, Cummarten vi≥j nuc neve a materiej effert un coneeli- daced bus]neuu.
Payments to aspedite powerment actions. meunting to less them 53,000 per year.	Payments to the com- wellance to tapedite cequintory approvale. totaling Sist OUD. The company has no knowledge whethar the payments with adde to powermant dificulate.	Isfuquiasitut an the accounting mutual of Isfua veic Clacorerad. The payments wice cacorerad. In State Cales instatuta deti- mentatich vea provided.	Kone	Guneraj avstanada and in uppe caues upperva)	Fès. The companishes, the companishes, the companishes, the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of test of the test of test o
and \$150,000 pild in mail gratuitte to the first per classance n forsign countries, against of \$25,500 by used to your to surficty is pervices, soon of which fre patioces for a verter, and patioces by geneen- mat moployees.	From 1913-75, B140,000 paid in fortign contry for motions for motion in which the down Amant hud a main for motion interest. True 3510-75, motion 92.3 million moded to maine price an accomma- dation to castomers and deposited in bent in third country mecontains to customer's interve- tions.	BO Lrenauctions Libb wire not tecorded on books or shere the use of soney was falsely described.	Not indicated	Yee	
ndej Mrstigstlor	Vndet in- Tastigstlap	nor indicated	Hai indireted	Mot indicates	Hot undlasted
Not Lidicated	Per last two firmal years, company consistent al, 100,000 as a dim- tribation of profics. In modulion forfigs country's withhidding and eschange lass	The capits in contry diflut certsin malifi but consolidated byoks are accurate.	Et is not clear Whither pensiting for foreign care with have any effect.	Hat sollçaşçê	het undreated
Two westences, cotalled brs.500, of expedicing pay manus, \$65,000 envasily for 4-5 year pervoit in Connection with sales This, of 510,000 paid is matters involving Symptomyt basines.	522,516 payment to y- forming trick dispolation payments and the payment by 333,000 in "professi feas" part of which di have gone to government apployees.	L HAS I ION#: NY	Mot .+¢IEated	Yns Inderscei.	LG*0 Statution policy including or barry of gove, of Locky and policy and policy of the solicy reaff read by Lo- company (** Inc

29

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

A-7

-'

COMPANY	TG"AL MEVENUEL PY 1974 Do Thuman	TYPE OF	COMMETIC POUTIGAL CONTRIBUTIONS	ОТНИЙ (хранити) Маттина		
Pubile Sarvier Co. of New Mamile®	67, 347	Face \$/)	Company indicates esistence of grand jury (veceiestion teastdies powelble vielston of Rederal Jure in connection with somalble con- ttibution, 31,430, peid e private car- peay, may here ben- distr.	Hoz įpdicatęg	Not décaté	Net Ludicatad
tulimen ler.	1.415,587	Form 14-K report- Ing Investigation covering flue years	Kany	Kone	Dohm, mithdugh pomp Comrsistion Sayasanin Say kaya been papped Con.	Prob 1933-15 STRD.000 and F33.905 In Ceteign Cattaboy Paid to secore Wert.
Aapıbi ir Carp.	235,648	Annual Report Wish assessment In note so Simanciala	Yot indicated	Not Indianted	. Hot Lødfratad	forbign soles were unde throadn commission pinnes the company's raview of the printies due to patronic that converting action is forbissing bowger, Company indi- genes the the Sat is reviewing the Battat.
isbasðund- Barribb, 246,	587,344	Form 14-3 reporting remulte pl investigation	Hang	Stener	Koza	liga "Dèsar Pors len Payameta"
lectes]   nts'	4,408,344	Fork 0, almosting providay 7426 8-8 and reporting remains of integr tigaries.	Bot Ind≤reted	Ket Indicited	\$5,300 in Cane∰a. yhea the contriba tjon was Jag€la	- Wot Imèleated
iolma a Isaa Co.	1,421,736	fecm 15-3 containing results of livestige- tion		Bans-	<b>B</b> an <b>a</b>	Vot indicated 
Adl[lmm, en ln⊂.	143, 397	Form B-B with tapett di tindings	Wer Indicated	Not Indicated .	Bot Skålceted	Kohy
6894478. 49902.3254	100,316	Ashual Japort geotam the taply Df = corpotate officer to = gummiton on foreign payments Talaed by = ehgcanidar	Hat Ladicated	Ngt jµdıc <b>etdd</b>	¥at Lndinatéd	The company indi- cetse that it pays blas romaterian in conceting with fortign pusing se- faries of its str fargements shows node in violation of us policy.
ianta fr es lata'i	255,913	S-7, Pose 8.F and	Costinuing impoley hay revenied no  ]legal contributione,	Hot indtopted	Continuing Ingola Sep Revealed no Silegal contribu- tions.	Not inflûted
dehering- 7 Laugn Corp.	726.072	Them InF accountry ing intistion of indidization.	Ro (llege) rongliggtions	Not indicated	Not indecated	Fem "Porelyn Officiala"

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

		NOCHS & RECORDS TREATMENT	LAITAN LIAMBLITY	TOP MANAGEMENT	CEBLATION
Wat  ndicate#	NOL INGLASSO	Wat indiçətəd	Hat indlcøted	Cospany'a DÉlicifa are inclifed in Lparaitisétion.	vot (matented
from 1913-6975, dom (J.150,070 pp)3 be teen ond callementon to order 405k.	ND1 Ind Interna	Rucerbod ga tang und complesions,	Company has discussed the matter with the TIS.	Aaner	Company policy evaluate vibiation o company vibiation java attiend. Form get noments now renvised to tappe- dont tapt compla- atom wibi wot pe passed of to calibra
Wot ladicytad	Not ladiceted	inst sadicated	Not Indicated	Bot indicated	Hot Indic≜t≇0
Poc Indicated	Payments of Question- abia tagetity to galation timo to galas, Autono of the paymotet and the related solar are considered not to be saterial by the company.	e Hot LmdLcsted	Espected to be Blainsi	Wat (malcated	
Prom [Wij-1Wi], Stöff, DDf wers or may have been paid in foanscloar with salps of \$10.1 willion.	•	Macorónd an aslep Commissiona, cut sonà Quadifona se to aver of the physiciti.	Company La Jeviawing Ja Ipa raturne,	₩o-	Yee, and a realfilemation Df company pdl(ey,
From 1931-1315, pose 5427,400 peld to lower jav2 employees in Com- rection with males of 33 willhout persits, and 20474. Payments of 4143.000 in Custome Detters.	from 1911-1913, pay- ments of \$311,000 that rigited ascharge and pilee controls.	Of the roumi. 1433,000 was not reflicted on the local bous and scoods and \$15,000 was stariant!!!ed.	for reliant the deficiency that could result from dissipar- ance do formion her rredits will be less than \$300,000,	G <b>ber evidensly of</b> of some of the prict(cep by members of top management.	Ind, Equantion will not have a material effect on taverume.
com 1911-1973, paymänntm t fi21,003 of "qvestiot- ble kagulity <sup>5</sup> made to anleipas officials natali egulpowent, Sevennum Lategi to paymentu are Das 52 pajlion sanualle.	NcA=	lat Indiceted -	₩200	¥••	No. The company status that the perments act "quetomary" in the squetor and the ti "li wrind the ti latter payannts in the Cruce shah "so reservation alternativis is available."
Mot Ludicated	Noc Indicated	MGC [ndizaled	Wet indicated	м5	Kat jadlessad
Addionation payments Stepating Sid, 210 * Mayments ware made dn Attampt to re- Ve claims intimed toreign officials in intection with lat and torma metters, which * company opealders Xegur of Silempi.	Not indicate4	Continuing inquiry hpo remembro cu "giugh freds" maintaind cut Wide the mystem Of deposition mocountmbility of "highbacks."	>oi inúicateà	Not indicated	Company , adjects that gapments are undewirable but that it will continue of reasonable gletone reasonable gletone tive exists and the payment is approved by the President.
Tents Ast Seeding 5207, POD FBGs in convect- H an with 51.8 mil- Magnet year.	Ot Indicated	Was indicated	Not Lodicated	Mat indicates	Hot inditated

Published by THE SUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redultribution reserved

COMPANY	TÖTAL AGUEALIEB PY 1836 IIn Monietael	TYPE OF STATEWINT	DOMESTIC FOLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS	DTILA Constants HATTERS	right ign Right failt Contine but ign	FOR Exists EALLE TYPE COMMANDIANS
w.ä. Sperke and Ce-	441,JI4	Form V Form V	NG (Jese) const(bitions	100F	Note	Spo "Parelon 3ftirlags"
Secucity Xem York Corp.	5, 54 I.VD		Difficess of the company have been subported in con- nection with an in- versing to have youn beging of New York Election in. The corport believes that is acced within the scope of the law	DEFicera enceived Fees which ware Laber allegesty contributed to political compensions.	Hot indicated	Wot indlagted
7he S.ng∎i Co.	2,517,000	Neport of .nvet.gatids. ge Pape Lu-M and Jromy.	Grand Sury Indict- ment invelving \$15,500 contribution charging company and jower laws] maployee.	Not indicated	Mor Indicated	NOL Indicated
S∎lcb Jnéa")	348,555	Amendment ty Parm 6-7	Noc Indacated	mot indicated -	Not Indúrstað	. Wat Indicated
dauchecn Br)j Telepbany and Telegraph Cà.		torp 3-V	rarmer employmmå made allegminn of illegmi con- träbetions,	North Cataling Commission Tousd that 3:43,000 was Laproperty screwntan For. The purpose of this mongy was not Giscioss6. however	Kat "xdiceted -	Mpt indicated
Scapdoru Oll of Infland w	3,015,110	Faik 2-5 Annaurs Irag Fokulta aŭ Investiget Lérr	Frobble illegal state contribution di Sid,550 bm 1970.	\$10.000 payoment in Lato to grade same-lotion for patitical contributions, sigterate of \$100.000 in promerional sizomances from supplies not recorded as masets.	Prom 1970-73, 5631,01 in fraigy/from 1970-73 305,700 in Canada, 77 contributions wars legal in thead coun- tries during the periods in question.	
Beanley Door Products. Inc.	164,521	Report of Investigation on Tors in	Yone	fone	¥nn ₽	Not indicated
Reading Crue	094,161	Porm Bat any naweing results at investigation covering for yests.	Ng 43 Lugu3 Conte (but long	Hot indicated	No lilegal edner(Sveland	Company side pryments (row 1:31,000 to 3100,000 trost 1470-75 related to males of 31,96 to 6.3 million to species that were attlicted with governments.
Sybean Coep.	493,693	FoSM 3-8 annduPCING cymults of jnrmatigot.com	Not indicated	Soc Indicated	Not indičateđ	Hot Indicated
Tennec laz.	3, 301, 476	Form D-K arnavne,ng resules of reselgaeron	Funds retailished op consive voluntary mo- playse contributions to be used in accordance with appricable law- subsidiaries number law- tul sontribation an sidu abu us Californan, Sore SA,000 cuntribated ij Tagalay by subbidiary in Equitame.	Provence of \$2003's monic. to La Sheriff presently awars investigation. Various payamata of \$200-000 Ray have been rade to Balle vil casolanismark, but whyloyen information ndw states tost error. Contribution to US 3 100 Byployees emport as have to bhain influence in ferer- subpaintering eclanon.	weba provides∔ St wast in rnatac white na been peid	VeyBerth tó cònéult- nhid cutàide consiltr tent's dosici)e.
COP. Lac.	\$13,24b	Purs 3-K stadjaling (pault di (prestigation	жала	anot indicated	Жула	Not nulicated

PATHENTE TO PORTAGE OF FICIALE		RODILI & RECORDS TREATMENT		KARANARE HENT	CEREATION
Payments Co Bécuse Huss, botalinn Flybb3udb From 1837-37, which will sublated to Saled of FillS million. Inc Inda Fly of these payments under Inca) law is "hot fow deco doubs."	ane	Jecordeu in the boose as racketing moderate	C*spprogradt deductions in gennarcion with payments, treimspaa []an.isty of Sed.ugu for Lyaja74.	Man scarf of subcu- hieres suched then the payaents. Certain ecobe: nt derenties againste wire pame grain of sche Seyrean grain of sche Seyrean grain of sche Seyrean grain di sche Seyrean	ally
lot indicat+d	Not undicated	Pot indigesed	Hol indicacea	Kgt (Mdigered	- por princated
		14 - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -		· · · · ·	
NOL indicated	Ros Indicatró	Mut Indizatad	Mot indleggað	Tep can yawan k way not dware of the contribu- gigne.	Har Inģicāt <b>ei</b>
Waynent of 11.30% to. two tongultant which whe work added on to govern- work officials. The campony cannot wattin whither addre at the wohay was in inc the set on to the addression. Mowayer.		Not I-Sidated	Wit Indicated		.Xco, and provide Solver reafficeed
Sot undicated	NDL JHEICAT <del>ud</del>	Narth Cocaline Completion found that fi43,000 yes Improperty accounted for in cotoperate Books and records.	Hol IndScated	₩ot ,•dicatasi	Vot (pdicathd .
The Lestions that con- suitable paid work of his Sada for experised of gavernament of distant his conput of distant and his conput of distant personnal and their . Law it a Software tart of Software	Tai commolianti paid 1366,000 jean. 1970-15. Mationad consultant to obsine appioration and ord-reion rights paid 1215,000, Salam fried torrename to accound custometra in 1934 in total amount of 516,700.	Dff-Saga-[tind tate] .rc i310.000 minte 1970. When clarged, money transferred in tachaitel visiation of focalga machange laws. Some priviation contributions were recorded and Sovera tiging aspendes. etc.	Bier of the pays marks with Uppippe- )y deducted for Ud tas puspostes		Yes. #5licy Staturént s∮sotad.
Sayment of \$53,000 to consultance who may have publish most or a portion of their whe to minor govern- ment officials.	Cogpenealion of employees in p manner designed to avoid foreign tpere.	Two off-book eccounts Beinteined by e forsige Jubbildiery Coteling 105.000.	Nê îterî)îsy	Peymants appret 10 have been suchofized by dne of more officery@inactuss of the company.	tes, Canastion will have no material advecte effect.
PayAm.ts of 733,000 to \$252,000 in varions (Artanama, product sequerations, and york,cohaltufrian, and port paraits,	Hee Indicased	Percenter an ordinity bysiness argennes and deals uption did not invitate true neture. Alon, off- book lands reported.	Amended recurne [Lied for 1970-14.	Renground of fore up avosidiarine set. Gne member of Donat di diurctors also know of the paymetts.	Ypa, Teckinstion aill here YP agentib) effect,
Paymenta Lú Sovernment seplares acting as puttesing agente ou englemente sigté. Súo 1931 d'alatte co	hog .ndl=#Crd	Recorded on DSOIN	Hone	юч	Planning tá peoposa talicy Sistemant.
siles of 31.3 #1014on. siD.:60 to governee?c employet.535.000 Longsted in dome for der governeen tornicyte probably 100 tener.clai Internet. gerötandise 40.00 af 4100 given to stylowred actCo.	SEGUINE to 412 tery pergannet. SJJJ,000 for arhousching PMLF- pulguane to coACTAC- teal area-refeated. So, also withholds all or ourt of fareign dealers (replaced. or impact, and tere. or impact, and tere. or ante.	doke osymenta in- poperty described on honks bod impoents	in[armstion 10 br cypned svåf to CNS,	Angulédie el xogé af the pavnents,	LOBDJAV IN Udaacine.ng e polity to about avaadi.on.
Transfers to eduiniStra- tive personnel enviraient te stralb releved to ealer of sila environetta. Peymente it similar accounté for four years. Similar our ment to pigar Luevel office	Hor stålfærmd -	Prymente nel Sup- gettes hy pornaste decemente: con	Hote	- Rosriventyrive bl zarenezen: eas tra Lorees (ec)	Y=5

÷

	TOTAL NEVERINE Printing Lie Thursdal	тіре ор ата ті шалт	acimilistic Acimilistic Acimitical Econtropytholythous	(Viring)an Estanda arres Interferations	Poing ion Philippion Contraign (Cont	POBRIJAN BALARTYN COMUNATION
Unizet Branda	2,841,650	fore J-I, Fore t and Fromp Statu- Advi.	Nét Inducated	NOL INDICATOR	K∆¦ indJçat <b>ud</b>	Not indicated
Dnized Tychadayies	Tong at Live Fyrm Count	racm 8-4 ragóselag ra- amila af lavoa- tígation	Be lilegal certributione	Bo rie]stions afi⊒e jevs	No Linget contriantione	In 1970-1975, permetti ul 53.6 millica (n com instone and Sees, past at Mrfch my herm been gaid to (mdspop- dynk, copies and instance) far the armet of a see officies ar unglossan. Alor, the perpendit of 1920, D00 us taptedann tutive the use alog a commutation to a foreign design for the see and a foreign cospection. That prive he seenigh- ered p greetmast (natronostally).
The Opjohn Cumpany	£05,7+£	Form D-E topast- hmg páskitó af izvéstigétián	Sane .	det inficated	Meen	fant populati Ante te mirarant sigligare or in third sertise ska peld gerigistent employee.
Rathar- Lumbert Cy.	1,944,063	Porm 0-E cepert- ing results of investigation.	 Penr	Mak Jad (çaked	Fine 1973-1895.com tribetions of \$15.100. The Icon \$15.100. The Icon that the centribulors were legal.	Commiliation payanolis so generament ne- playees setting blj64-stan L372-75,
eps Lagheuss Bloc. Corp.	5,338,128	Porm B Pagest Long teaulta of horastigation	Mot sedicated	iot Isdicated	mat ladicated	Campainy total gang \$150,000 in angun al Actual angu Ribas athar perments port consistent with norms procedure were discimend, as will as perment of a large consolignt's (co.
Mite Conep. Jaeted Ind.	1,316,431	Form G-14 teppert- ing semuits of invertigation	роля	Not indicated	Kons	Payments to agains In 1974 - 1975 of Japprotisately 4319,900, Lociuding glatwisika of 510,980 related to aelae of 350 ellion. 5303,460 paid for Saola berview.
ή <b>ιται»:</b> Cosp.	771,245	Foca 8 amendment to 4-K researcing cmaile of investige- tion.	Contributions totals ind SSAS to rengres- slong candidukes by cidristal amplayees of the rompany from 1330 to 1975.	<pre>#rem 1910-75, a total of \$47,138 paid to a Cuatoms. In connection with sales in tircum- tances making it uncless whether the payments were legal.</pre>		Jume recorded as edice commentations uded for other purpeses, Sec "Other Porelas."

PAYMENTE TO FOREIGN OFFICIALS	OTHER FOREIGN	BOOKE & RECONDE TREATing at	NE TAX LIABOUTY	KNOWLEDGE (*) COP BLUMAGENUMT	CODATION
Jayment of \$1,2% willing to Monduran official in connection with question 	Investigscian of asher forman paymans of stad.agg, y	7+1	ict Indicatød	Ymm.	NNQ -nulcaled
Company Non Discovered that publicately 5::0.550.040 to for sign government deployees in violation of foreign law and britaves that other heat fire years. In 1933, the deployees were wade over the law fire years. In 1933, the origination paid big 100 to high eduloistative official in same foreign country.	Mot Indicated	Lisd-quere docurentar Lion of Certain Øxy- eenre-	48A#	Representative of tep annappment was inducted of the \$43,005 pay- ment,	395. Vojlev Fildebant "Hand. Eppan Tion višt nave no material adrefée stierr,
Payments of \$2,710,550 made to officesia of government agardina of institutesia to accord aples of \$17 m.11 \$25,000 (or other govern- ents accings, antiuding materialing payments.	Bal indjaated en,	Wot indicated	7te (PG has been atvlaad of ete company's investigatiun, MD impraper daduetions eere taten (uj )474,	No Dumanda director know Di permenta, but inabda directora sithai inabda the prymetta or actually approved thes.	Yes, Policy statement adopred, Cessa- t.on wijerij have no materij mdverse pfict
Payernia from [4]]-1875 frot Fir.300 to 3231.400 froid Fir.300 to 3231.400 froid from a special first pay- trants. Payments for five ymar parlo3 that totled \$5/6,000.		Hérmi égodumt pot on ucoba und utéd to par cumbitélon on acreschént édite in morm sméam Dithré tóm- mismilons bochad bi barteting acpentél.	Erromedum dødigetions. Tol years 1970–13. Addiciumat tamme of #)35.039 werm puid.	One (Others seeber of Softer management was much of the payments, bot nore of the payments, bot nore of the payments	Ter, Policy statement sdopted.
Payments of \$2,050 per grag mode to tax mudibot from 1071-73. Xino, pay- arcts of 550,000 in bwo years to solve company de- mignetto by employme of novi-bound corp. Some 53,000/year to gont. offic.a	stt=bool LegitLa Stajuuŭ Jani Loan Reznum Režnami Režnami Režnami	aubs[disry waints[ned aucousts to vaint chromosomer per year. One subsidiary per year. One subsidiary tire \$1:clust:sook . One other torwar pry sainty ined a sets[] a secont.	Tés adguetdente vil be made where appro- priste.	Heithge management nor Based of Diractose wers aware of the accivities,	Yes, Polloy Blassnent Adupted. Cessition elli have no Betriel adverse effect.
-	±" Mat .−ætirstrå	As off-hnow records. Paymesta who croorded as hasing of harry as pensas or as saving Deen auto for arrives tendered. In some pages, Aprumentation #05 rot_complete.	Jowestigation Ind]- cares than on suggids- eble defuctions -ere claimed for 1475.	Officers of the sub- didiary when of the payments, ad who tou weepers of the Bosed of desectors; nown wers dwale of their constitu- able nature, however.	ýca. fôl.cy abatesenc mdoptru. Cesa- blon wilj rave ro mate:.al ad- terne effert.
Mot indicaredition Gam "Other Poreign"	17.474.84 (n jv:0-)) to outchasing genr and Bahagemet Un- swerr. From 1970-1975 Some size, 200 were paid to employees at to missiona tu aspic Toorion vincem.	Dracs, bed es releburable eppense, Contarte allen robarts a puble discy that had an stiraccà Lund sith coteal cesh loa of 2000, Gui rnat was Lucuidated (n. 1415.	Company indicates that it will dily anarded tax returns where according tate.	Economy's realing wire president was warr of payoeney eater to avoid enployee comes and other similar exployee matrees of the bugsloising	Yet, erefticmed molity:

A-10

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

-

.

#### EXHIBIT B

The following is a summary of the six reports prepared and filed with the United States District Courts and the Commission pursuant to settlements of Commission actions against the corporations. Each of the reports was required to be attached as an exhibit to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K. In view of the significantly greater degree of detail in these reports in comparison to most other disclosures, these reports have been summarized separately.

These summaries present a general view of the matters set forth in the reports. They are not intended to be inclusive. Moreover, in view of the limitations inherent in summarizing such a significant body of information, the Commission strongly urges that persons interested in the conduct of particular corporations contained in this exhibit consult the actual reports themselves.

Also contained in this exhibit is a description of the facts alleged in eight other cases, the most recent of which was filed on May 10, 1976. In all of these cases, the corporate defendants consented to permanent injunctions against violations of the federal securities laws without admitting or denying the allegations set forth in the Commission's complaint and described herein.  $^{+}/$  The factual allegations described in this portion of exhibit should be read with that limitation in mind.

#### AMERICAN SHIP BUILDING COMPANY

The report, compiled by a special review committee comprised of two outside directors and an independent chairman, was filed on April 25, 1975, pursuant to the terms of a judgment and order entered against the American Ship Building Company. It generally indicated the following:

Domestic Political Contributions: The report indicates that selected employees were paid houses of \$30,000 in 1970, \$25,000 in 1971 and \$42,325.17 in 1972. After receiving these bouses and paying taxes thereon, the selected employees would be directed to contribute the remainder to various political figures. The Review Committee decided that the \$42,325.17 bouts paid by the company to the nine selected employees in 1972 was a questionable expenditure and should be repaid to the company by its principal officer.

Other Domestic Payments: The report did not indicate whether other domestic payments were paid from corporate funds.

Foreign Political Contributions: The report did not state whether foreign political contributions were made from corporate funds.

<u>Questionable Foreign Sales-type Commissions:</u> The report did not indicate whether questionable foreign sales-type commissions were paid from corporate funds.

Payments to Foreign Officials: The report did not indicate whether payments to foreign officials were made.

Other Foreign Payments: The report did not indicate whether other foreign payments were made from corporate funds.

Books and Records Problems: The questionable bonuses discussed above were recorded as bonuses on the company's books and records. If the contributions made from them should be deemed to have been made by the company, recording them in this manner would be questionable. The reports did not indicate whether other possible books and records problems existed.

U.S. Tax Liabilities: The report did not indicate whether problems exist regarding the company's U.S. tax liabilities.

Management Knowledge: The report indicates that the company's top management was aware of the bonos program and that it was established to distribute funds to

\*/ On case, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kalvex, CCH Fed. Sec. L. Ratr. pera. 95,226 (July 7, 1975), was litigated by one of the individual defendents. various political organizations. Key management officials were involved in the program.

Cessation: The report indicates that the company apparently terminated the bonus program after it was disclosed to the Watergate Committee. The report neither indicates nor recommends future company policy changes or other measures to assure that there will be no repetition of such questionable payments.

#### ASHLAND OIL INC.

The report was filed pursuant to the terms of a judgment and undertaking entered on May 16, 1975, against Ashland and some of its principal officers. It was prepared by a special review committee comprised of outside directors of the company. The special committee retained independent counsel and independent accountants to assist in the investigation and in preparation of the report. Neither the counsel nor the accountants were Ashland's regular outside counsel or auditors. The report, dated June 26, 1975, was filed with the Commission and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbta on July 7, 1975. It revealed the following:

Domestic Political Contributions: The report disclosed that Ashland made domestic political contributions from corporate funds totalling nearly \$850,000 during the period 1967 to 1972. The report indicated that a total of \$25,700 expended from 1972-1974 constituted legal contributions. The following sums were reported but not identified as legal, however: 1967 - \$66,500; 1968 -\$239,600; 1969 - \$46,300; 1970 - \$71,700; 1971 - \$54,500; 1972 - \$256,815. In addition, the report indicated that \$71,700 was "presumed to have been used" for political contributions during the 1967-1972 period.

Other Domestic Payments: The report indicated that \$15,000 was paid by a subsidiary of the company in 1970 in response to an extertionate domand by a local government official. Federal criminal charges subsequently were brought in connection with this payment.

Foreign Political Contributions: The report indicated that Ashland Oil Canada, Ltd. (approximately 85% owned by Ashland Oil, Inc.) made political contributions of corporate funds in connection with federal and provincial elections in Canada. From September 1970 through September 1974 the total amount expended for such purposes was approximately \$125,000. The report indicates that the Chairman and Chief Executive of Ashland-Canada advised the Special Committee that, in his opinion, such payments were not prohibited by applicable laws.

Eastments to Foreign Officials: The company paid \$202,000 to officials in a foreign country in connection with the acculation of petroleum rights and the transfer of operating permits. The report also stated that in 1967 and 1968 the company made payments totalling approximately \$50,000 to a group of individuals who ware to provide "consulting services" to assist the company in the initiation of a refinery project in another country. This group included officials of that country.

The report states that in 1969, Ashland's Chief Executive Officer personally delivered \$7,500 to an official of a third foreign country. The report further states that the company expended \$2,500 of corporate monies on behalf of another official of that country, and that all or part of a \$100,600 payment by the company to a consultant in that country may have been paid by the consultant to another official of the national petroleum company of that country.

Other Foreign Payments: in connection with Ashland's attempts in the late 1960s to secure business opportunities in a foreign country, the company made substantial payments to various consultants. Thirty thousand dollars of the amounts paid to a particular consultant were not satisfactorily corroborated by the special committee. The committee was unable to determine to its satisfaction that such amounts were received

Published by TRS BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution commond Additional payments and transactions, totalling \$162,500 during the period 1967-1970, were identified as having been effected with virtually no written documentation or with inadequate supporting documentation, in almost every case, they involved overseas cash disbursements to senior officers of the company.

<u>Books and Records Problems</u>: Most, if not all, of the transactions generating funds for domestic payments were improperly reflected on Ashland's books and records. Cash was generated for the fund principally by overseas wire transfers from company accounts at comestic banks to oversees correspondent banks. The funds would then be withdrawn by a senior corporate officer and secretly returned to corporate headquarters in the United States. False entries (e.g., "Intercompany advances - exploration/production") were made in the company's books and records to cover such transfers atd disbursements.

U.S. Tax Liabilities: As a result of the improper entries on the company's books and records, improper deductions totalling at least \$429,997 were taken by Ashland in connection with its United States taxes. At the time of the report, the company had entered into a settlement with the IRS as to certair, years in question, and it was understood that the IRS was continuing to review the tax returns for the remaining years.

Management Knowledge: The great majority of domestic payments were made by means of an off-books cash fund kept in an officer's safe at corporate headquarters. Senior management of the company, licituding the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Vice-Chairman and Chlef Administrative Officer, as well as a number of other senior officers, were not only aware of but were actively involved in the operation of the fund and participated in the diversion of corporate monies to the fund and in making disbursements therefrom. (A total of more than \$800,000 in cash was funneled through this fund over a seven year period.) There is also evidence that certain former principal officers of the corporation may have made contributions from corporate funds in addition to those specifically identified in the report. Senior officers of the company were directly involved in and aware of most of the foreign payments identified above.

<u>Cessation</u>: The report contained numerous recommendations by the special committee with respect to the cessation of the practices described in the report and establishment of new controls over certain business activities and practices. Recommendations also were made regarding certain matters of corporate structure. The prioripal recommendations, with the action taken by the Board in response thereto shown in parenthesis, are as follows:

 (1) No political contributions should be made by the corporation, whether lawful or not. (Adopted, except for political contributions which are legal under a foreign country's laws)

(2) Adoption of a policy and appropriate implementing procedures against the use of corporate assets for any purpose Illegal under the law of the jurisdiction where the transaction occurs. (Adopted with specific recommended procedures to be developed and submitted for further Board consideration)

 (3) A policy against the maintenance of undisclosed funds or unaccounted for expenditures. (Adopted)

(4) Establishment of additional controls over cash disbursements, for example, all disbursements from torporate accounts to be made only by check payable to the ultimate payce; no hearer checks or checks payable to cash. (Specific control proposals referred to Audit Committee) (5) Various recommendations regarding strengthening of the corporation's Internal Addit Department, revising controls over corporate bank accounts and borrowing, controls over the use of corporate aircraft, etc. (Executive Committee to review and report to Board)

(6) Establishment of control procedures with respect to arrangements with consultants, such as requiring senior officer or Board approval for various levels of expenditures and requiring an attestation by the consultant that he will not return any funds to officers or employees of the corporation and will not make illegal payments to third parties. (No action)

(7) Change in composition of the Board of Directors to a maximum of 15, with a majority to be neither officers not employees of the corporation (the noard then existing was composed of 17 directors, of which 10 were "insiders.") (Referred to Directors Committee for subsequent report to the Board)

(8) Changes in the Executive, Audit and Nominating Committees of the Board of Directors to increase the proportion of outside Directors on each. (Referred to Directors Committee for subsequent report to the Board).

#### GULF OIL CORPORATION

The Gulf Oil report was compiled by a special review committee comprised of two of the outside directors of Gulf and the chairman of the committee, who was completely independent. The committee retained outside accountants and counsel to assist in its investigation. The report was filed on December 30, 1975. It disclosed the following:

Domestic Political Contributions: The report disclosed specific domestic political contributions (including gifts and related expenses) from corporate funds totalling approximately \$1.4 million from 1960-1972. The report further disclosed that during the period Gulf had approximately \$5.4 million returned to the United States from foreigh countries in off-books transactions to be used for political contributions, gifts and related expenses. The Committee was unable to determine the disposition of over \$4 million of this total.

Other Domostic Payments: The report does not indicate whether other domestic payments were made from corporate funds.

Foreign Political Contributions: The report indicates that the company made foreign political contributions in seven countries totalling approximately \$6.9 million during the period 1960-1973. In some of these countries the payments were legal; in others they apparently were not. With respect to those contributions that the committee was able to trace, the report identifies the recipients and discusses the circumstances involved.

Questionable Foreign Sales-Type Commissions: The committee did not find any unusual or excessive commissions. However, it recommended that the Board of Objectors institute a review of all commissions and consultants fees.

<u>Payments to Poreign Officials</u>: The report treated all payments to foreign officials as foreign political contributions, discussed above.

Other Foreign Payments: The reports indicated that the Committee investigated leads in approximately eleven foreign countries which proved fruitless.

Books and Records Problems: The report described the use of a subsidiary in the Bahamas to launder approximately \$10 million for both foreign and domestic use. The company would disburse approximately \$500, 000 a year in the subsidiary, which would be capitalized as operating expenses of the subsidiary. Every few weeks, approximately \$25,000 would be brought back to the United States to create an off-books fund for domestic purposes. The report also discusses the false account-

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved <u>U. S. Tax Liability:</u> The IRS is investigating to determine whether the company has additional tax liabilities.

Management Knowledge: The report concluded that certain past top officials of the company knew of the questionable and illegal activities and that others currently in the company's management should have known of the activities. A past Chairman of the company and two past Executive Vice-Presidents resigned as a result of these activities and the Secretary was removed from the position and given a position in the company's legal department. Additionally, one director found to be involved did not run for re-election.

<u>Cessation</u>: The report concluded that Gul's questionable activities have been effectively terminated. The report discussed the changes in corporate policy on which it based its belief, including:

(1) A statement in the Policy Manual that illegel contributions of corporate funds are prohibited and activities in this area must be reported to the Chief Executive Officer and the Board;

(2) A requirement that approval of retainer and consulting agreements exceeding certain amounts must be obtained at a high level of management;

(3) Establishment of a policy of compliance with all laws and regulations of all countries where (3)f operates;

(4) Institution of tighter control over bank accounts; and

(5) The requirement of annual representation letters from cortain executives and employees.

The report also indicated certain accounting procedures had been changed in an effort to prevent such activities and recommended certain other changes to the Company.

### MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY

The report of the Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company ("3M) was propared by a special agent, Judge William P. Murphy, a retired Associate Justice on the Minnesota Supreme Court, upon completion of an invostigation which was conducted pursuant to a judgment and undertaking entered against the company. It was filed with the Company's Form 8-K for the month of November, 1975. Generally, it reveals:

Domestic Political Contributions: Between 1963 and 1969, a total of \$633,997 of 3M corporate funds was misappropriated and placed in a secret fund to be used for domestic corporate political contributions. Of that amount, \$545,799 ultimately was used for domestic corporate political contributions from 1963 to and including 1972. Although some contributions were legal, the vast majority of this amount was illegally contributed.

The assests of the secret fund were generated through fictitious foreign insurance premiums issued from 1963-1972, and through kickbacks by a foreign legal consultant from 1967-1969.

Other Domestic Payments: The report indicated that no other corporate domestic payments were discovered.

Foreign Political Contributions: The report indicated that no corporate foreign political contributions were discovered.

Questionable Foreign Sales-type Commissions: The report indicated that no other corporate foreign sales-type commissions were discovered.

Payments to Foreign Officials: The investigation revealed that in 1975 a payment of \$52,000 was made by the Managing Director of a 3M foreign subsidiary to a foreign customs official to avoid liabilities and penalties atlsing from an alleged evasion of customs payments. Because such payment was unauthorized and contrary to 3M policy the individual was relieved of his duties, assigned to another position with 3M, and required to execute notes in the amount of \$52,000 to 3M. The report did not disclose the identity of the foreight country, foreign subsidiary, or managing director in light of the small size of the subsidiary, which accounted for less than one percent of the consolidated sales and profits, and 3M's claim that such disclosure would imperil the company's investment, expose its property to expropriation, or result in costly harassment.

77

s٤

Ð(

Æ

r†

įι

Ŀ

π

а

С

8

ç

F

C

ł

Other Foreign Payments: The report indicated that no other foreign corporate payments were discovered.

Books and Records Problem: The assets of the secret fund used to make domestic political contributions were falsely recorded on the books and records of 3M as foreign insurance premium expenses from 1963-1967 and as foreign legal expenses from 1967 through 1969,

U.S. Tax Liability: Because all of the sums placed in the secret fund were recorded as insurance and legal expenses and deducted in computing federal income tax, the computations on its tax return were in error. At last report, two of the individuals responsible for the political contribution schemes were under federal indictment as a result of the filings.

<u>Management Knowledge:</u> The President and Vice-President of Finance actively participated in the activities connected with the political contributions, as did the company's Director for Civic Affairs. Subsequently, another President also authorized disbursements from the secret fund, but did not participate in its replenishment.

<u>Cessation</u>. Domestic political contributions were not made after 1972, at which time the then President became aware that they were illegal. On August 16, 1972, the President caused 3M voluntarily to contact the Special Prosecutor's Office to inform it of the fund's existence and use. Subsequently, 3M and the President both pled guilty to violations of the Corrupt Practices Act and times were Imposed on both.

As a direct consequence of these unlawful corporate political contributions and the resulting criminal convictions and civil injunctions, three officers resigned. Another was to retire in 1976.

Other than a statement within the report that 3M had accepted the above resignations and has taken steps to minimize the possibility of a recurrence of a similar event, no other steps to minimize the possibility of a recurrence are reported. The report mentioned that the Audit Committee made up of "outsiders" is a significant deterrent to similar future activities.

#### PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY

The report, filed pursuant to a judgment and order entered against Phillips Petroleum Company as part of a settlement on March 6, 1975, was based on an investigation conducted by outside counsel. One of the partners of the firm retained to conduct the investigation was an outside director of the Company. The report was dated September 26, 1975. It indicated:

Domestic Political Contributions: The report disclosed that Phillips made domestic political contributions from corporate funds totalling approximately \$585,000 from 1964 through 1972. The contributions included \$215,000 contributed in conjunction with state elections; \$70,000 contributed to various candidates in conjunction with political dinners; \$125,000 contributed to Congressional candidates; and \$175,000 contributed to Presidential candidates. The report did not accempt to distinguish between illegal and legal contributions.

Other Domestic Payments: The report did not indicate whether other domestic payments were made from corporate funds.

<u>Foreign Political Contributions</u>: The report did not indicate whether foreign political contributions were made from corporate funds. Questionable Foreign Sales-type Commissions: The report did not indicate whether questionable fureign sales-type commissions were paid from corporate funds.

Payments to Foreign Officials: The report did not indicate whether payments to foreign officials were made from corporate funds.

Other Foreign Payments: The report indicated that \$1,258,000 of off-books cash was paid to two foreign individuals involved in a construction project by Phillips in a foreign country. The report indicates that this payment, which was not properly entered in Phillips' books and records, was for services rendered to Phillips in connection with the project and was made secretly to enable the two individuals to avoid income taxes by their country.

Books and Records Problem: Beginning in 1963, Phillips disbursed over \$2.8 million of corporate funds to two Swiss accounts. These disbursements were made by means of false and fictilious entries on its books and records. \$2.1 million of the total was represented as an overpayment on a contract. The balance of the fund was generated by means of a secret discount which Phillips received in conjunction with a transportation contract. Neither of these rebates were reflected on Phillips' books and records.

U.S. Tax Liability: The \$2.8 million in the slush fund discussed above was not reported as income by Phillips. Subsequently, it has been so reported. Evidently, Phillips did not claim any deductions for the payments it made. The IRS is investigating the company's tax returns.

<u>Management Knowledge</u>: The chief executive officers of Phillips in 1963 and 1964 were responsible for eriginating the fund. The subsequent chief executive officers were aware of and controlled the fund. The report indicates that few others in the company knew of the fund.

<u>Cessation:</u> Since Phillips' consent to the entry of permanent injunction, the company has issued a directive to the heads of staff under the signatures of the Chairman and President, prohibiting the creation and maintenance of secret or unrecorded funds of assets and the recording of false and fluctious entries in books and records of the company, and reitorating the company policy against the use of corporate funds for unlawful purposes.

Also, the company's board has acted to carry out the requirement of the judgment that it monitor the activities of the company on a continuing basis to prevent recurrence of the offenses which had been the subject of action. By a resolution adopted on June 9, 1975, the board recited the terms of the final judgment of permanent injunction and undertaking and assigned extensive new responsibilities in connection therewith to the audit committee. Pursuant to that resolution, the audit committee is engaged in establishing, in consultation with the company's outside auditors and comptreller, reporting and auditing procedures designed to ensure the observation of the terms of the final judgment.

#### NORTHROP CORPORATION

The report was filed pursuant to the terms of a judgement and undertaking entered April 17, 1975, against Northrop and certain of its principal officers. It was compiled by the outside directors of Northrop's Executive Committee. The Committee retained independent accountants and independent counsel to investigate and report on the nature and extent of corporate Disconduct. The report, dated July 16, 1975, was filed with the Commission and the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, on July 17, 1975. In general terms, it revealed:

Domestic Political Contributions: The report Eisclosed that Northrop made domestic political contributions from corporate funds totalling at least \$501,928 during the period 1962 to 1973. This total includes \$150,000 specifically identified as having been illegally contributed to the 1972 Nixon re-election campaign. Moreover, the majority of all contributions were effected by means of falsely recorded transactions from an off-books fund of cash.

Other Domestic Payments: The report indicates that Northrop's Eastern Regional Office (located in Washington, D.C.) engaged in improper practices involving the extensive use of cash and improper accounting for funds that the report described as "in effect, a hidden fund of cash." A total of \$119,000 was disbursed in numerous cash transactions by that office from 1971 to 1973. While the Committee did not specifically conclude that violations of law had, in fact, taken place, the report indicated that such expenditures were precommantly made in connection with the company's efforts to extend "corporate hospitality" to government officials and that the "acceptence of such hospitality by the officials involved appears to have been questionable." The report also indicated that \$40,000 paid to a Northrop consultant was used to pay the retired Chief Counsel of a House Committee for "consulting services.

Foreign Political Contributions: While the report indicated that Northrop made very substantial overseas expeditures, none were specifically identified as having been made as foreign polylical contributions.

Questionable Foreign Sales-type Commissions: The report details the Committee's investigation into nincteen specific transactions or arrangements identified by the independent auditors as requiring further investigation. Most of these involved overseas agency and commission arrangements. In all, the company paid approximately \$30 million to foreign consultants and sales agents, a significant portion of which was found to have been inadequately accounted for. Jacking in documentary support or incapable of satisfactory corroboration.

Payments to Foreign Officials: The report identified a total of at least \$454,400 as having been specifically paid to foreign officials, and indicated that such payments "raised serious questions as to possible violations of law." Of this amount, nayments aggregating \$450,000 were made to a foreign agent of the company with the knowledge that these funds were to be paid to two foreign officials. The remaining \$4,400 was paid directly to an official of another country, in an apparently unlawful effort to settle a tax liability. In addition, it is evident from the report that substantial amounts of money paid by Northrop as commission fees were paid to individuals or organizations having principals who were then foreign government officials or who were or had been closely associated with foreign officials. For example, a foreign official was a principal in a foreign corporation which Northrop used as a marketing agent in connection with foreign sales. The company received an initial advance from Northrop of \$250,000 and currently has claims against Northrop for \$7-8. million.

Other Foreign Payments: Subsequent to the report, the company disclosed that approximately \$861, 301 had been paid by one of its subsidiaries during the period 1969 to 1975 to recipients in several foreign countries. The company indicated that such payments "may have been in violation of applicable laws," The company further indicated that these amounts were paid by the subsidiary's managing director without Northrop's knowledge. Approximately \$129,000 of this amount was paid subsequent to the entry of the judgment against Northrop in the Commission's injunctive action.

Books and Records Problem: An unrecorded "slush fund" was utilized by top management of Northrop as a principal means of funding political payments. The fund was derived from payments, totalling \$1.15 million over a 12 1/2 year period, to a foreign consultant retained by

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

Northrop. Approximately one-third of the amount paid to the consultant (\$376,000) was returned in cash to a senior Northrop official who maintained the secret fund. The total of the \$1, 15 million paid to the foreign consultant was inaccurately reflected on Northrop's book and tax returns as consultants' payments. The practices of Northrop's Eastern Regional Office involved currency transactions totailing \$119,000 which were effected by means of improper accounting practices. The payments to two foreign officials by an agent of the company were deducted by the company as "ordinary and necessary business expenses" on Northrop's 1973 tax return, resulting in an inaccurate statement of income. The company's treatment of such payments also resulted in an inaccurate submission of cost figures to the Department of Defense. In addition substantial amounts of Northrop's other foreign commussion payments were effected by means of improper or inadequate accounting practices, and frequently were totally lacking in any appropriate documentation.

U.S. Tax Liability: Many of the payments and transactions may have involved substantial omissions and misstatements by the company of various items in its U.S. tax returns. The IRS has been conducting an investigation into the matters disclosed in the report and related matters,

Management Knowledge: The Chairman of the Board of Directors of Northrop, who also was President and Chief Executive Officer; and a former Vice-President and director, personally maintained the unrecorded cash fund and made political payments therefrom. The same former Vice President received the cash repated by the foreign consultant for diversion to the fund. While both have maintained that they were the only officers, directors or employees specifically aware of or responsible for the creation and use of the secret fund, various other senior company officials knew of or participated in the consulting, commission and other arrangements detailed in the report. In addition, the report included information conforming that the Chairman of the Board submitted falsified documents to federal investigators in connection with the Nixon contribution Investigation, and that all four officerdirectors involved in the transactions had given false statements to federal investigators.

Cessation: The report contained various recommendations with respect to correcting the improprieties revealed by the investigation, including the following:

 Board approval should be required on all consultants' or agents' agreements above specified dollar amounts, with a requirement of written approval by senior management of all significant consultants' or agents' relationships.

(2) The adoption of specified procedural requirements to assure that information is obtained regarding proposed consultants' or agents' agreements to insure their propriety and to enable informed management decisions prior to entering into such agreements.

(3) The adoption of specific requirements to be incorporated into all consultants' or agents' agreements, including a covenant by each consultant or agent that he will comply with all applicable laws, that periodic reports concerning his activities will be furnished to the company, and that he will enter into no undisclosed relationships.

(4) The adoption of a policy prohibiting retention of a government official as a representative of the company absent a clearly legal basis for doing so under applicable laws and unless prior Board approval has been obtained.

(5) Recommendation of policies regarding other corporate matters, including the formalization of procedures to insure against violation of conflict of interest laws, against improprieties in providing corporate hospitality to government officials, and to assure compliance with federal procurement regulations.

(5) Identification of certain institutional shortcomings as subjects for Board action to correct a corporate atmosphere which permitted the practices discussed.

(7) Adoption of a new polley requiring periodle changes in the company's outside auditors as an added safeguard in the audit process. The company had had the same independent additors for over 35 years. The Committee did not find any breach of duty by the auditor in fulfilling its responsibility to conduct its audits in accord with appropriate standards.

The following is a description of the facts set forth in the Commission's complaints in cases that have not yet resulted, or in one case will not result, in the production of reports similar to those previously analyzed.

#### Braniff Airways, Inc:

The complaint, naming Braniff Airways, Inc., Braniff International Corporation and three officers of Braniff Airways as defendants, charged the maintenance of a secret fund of corporate assets in excess of \$900,000. which was used in connection with an illegal political contribution and secret payments to travel agents in Latin. America in contravention of the Federal Aviation Act, foreign law and International Air Transport Association resolutions. Among other things, it was also alleged that certain of the defendants disbursed \$40,000 in corporate funds to a Panama corporation closely held by a regional vice president of Braniff Alrways as an alleged bona fide expense, when in fact this payment was a vehicle for conversion of corporate assets into cash to be used for unlawful political purposes.

#### General Tire & Rubber Corporation:

The Commission alleged that a "slush fund" had been established by General Tire and its subsidiaries in order to obtain favorable treatment by certain forcign governments. In addition, the complaint alleged that through purported salary increases and bonuses corporate funds were diverted for political purposes. In the aggregate, several million dollars were used for these and similar undisclosed corporate activities. The allegations are described in more detail at pages 5-6 of this report.

Kalvex, Inc: The Commission charged defalcations of corporate under allocadly submitted duplicate assets by senior officers who allegedly submitted duplicate expense youchers and received kickbacks that were not reported to the company. Following litigation, an order of permanent injunction was entered.

#### Lockheed Aircraft Corporation:

The Commission complaint named Lockheed, the Chairman of the Board of Directors from 1967 until February 1976, and the President of the company from 1967 until October 1975. In particular, the Commission alleged that secret payments of at least \$25 million (at times in cash) had been made to foreign government officials for the purpose of assisting Lockheed in procuring and maintaining contracts with foreign government customers, and in expediting permits necessary to perform existing contracts. Among other things, it was alleged that the defendants disguised these secret payments on Lockheed's books and records by utilizing, or causing to be utilized, false accounting entries, cash and "bearer" drafts payable directly to foreign government officials, nominees and conduits for payments to government officials and other artifices and schemes. As a result of their activities, at least \$750,000 was not expended for the purpose indicated on the books and records of Lockheed and its subsidiaries and was deposited instead in a secret Swiss bank account, and an additional \$25 million was expended in secret payments to foreign officials. In addition, the

Commission alleged that over \$200 million was disbursed to consultants and commission agents without adequate secords and controls to insure that the services actually were rendered. The practices were alleged to have resulted in the filing of inaccurate financial statements with the Commission with respect to the income, cost and expenses of the company.

Missouri Public Service Company: The Commission alleged that the defendants utilized corporate money for illegal political purposes. In particular, the Commission alleged that corporate funds were diverted by means of certain employees' secret agreement to contribute a percentage of their monthly salaries to a honprofit club, which would in turn make the contributions. In excess of \$67, 300 was alleged to have been diverted from the company's system of accountability.

#### Sanitas Service Corporation;

The Commission alleged that the defendants caused Sanitas to enter into an agreement designed to disguise otherwise secret cash payments for illegal political purposes, bribes, kick-backs and other similar payments. Through this contractual relationship the defendants funneled in excess of \$1, 2 million out of the corporation's system of financial accountability, some indeterminate portion of which was converted by one of the defendants for his personal use. In order further to disguise and effectuate such payments, the defendants submitted fictitious invoices and authorized the payment of corporate assets to wholly owned subsidiaries.

## United Brands Company:

The Commission alleged that United Brands deposited \$1, 25 million in the Swiss bank accounts of designated foreign government officials and agreed to pay an additional \$1.25 million at a later date, provided the company received certain preferential export tax considerations. (These matters are reported in substantially the same manner in United Brands filing that is anstyzed in Exhibit A).

#### Waste Management, Inc. :

5

c

te

aŚ

יטי

zeć.

٨đ ....

jе

₽d

The Commission alleged that a secret fund of approximately \$36,000 was used by the defendants for political contributions and other purposes, some of which were illegal. The Commission turther alleged that the corporation and the defendants failed to maintain adequate accountability such that its auditors were unable to verify disbursements.

> EXHIBIT C Proposed Statement on Auditing Standards: Illegal Acts By Clients

#### EXPOSURE DRAFT APRIL 30, 1976

Issued by the Auditing Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

- For Comment From Persons Interested in Auditing and Reporting
- Comments should be received by July 30, 1976, and addressed to Auditing Standards Division, File Ref. No. 3620
- AICPA, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, N.Y. 10036

April 30, 1976

To Practice Offices of CPA Firms; Members of Council; Technical Committee Chairmen; State Society and Chapter Presidents, Directors and Committee Chairmen; Organizations Concerned With Regulatory, Supervisory or Other Public Disclosure of Financial Activities; Persons Who Have Requested Copies:

An exposure draft of a proposed Statement on Auditing Standards entitled "Illegal Acts by Clients" ac companies this letter. The exposure period has been extended in recognition of the importance of this issue.

This proposed Statement does not contain specific procedures to detect an illegal act by a client. An examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards cannot be expected to provide assurance that illegal acts will be detected. This limitation is considered in another proposed Statement entitled "The Independent Auditor's Responsibility for the Detection of Errors and irregularities" also issued for comment today.

The proposed Statement does specify that the auditor should be aware of the possibility that filegal acts may have occurred that may have a material effect on the financial statements. It further requires that should an auditor become aware of a possible illegal act he should perform additional procedures to investigate the matter and, if necessary, consult with legal counsel. The exposure draft also offers practical suggestions in connection with illegal acts that do not appear to have a material effect on the financial statements.

Comments and suggestions on any aspect of the enclosed draft are sought and will be appreciated. They should be addressed to the Auditing Standards Division, File Ref. No. 3620, at the AICPA in time to be received by July 30, 1976. The Auditing Standards Executive Committee will be particularly interested in the reasoning underlying comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,

/S/ John F. Mullarkey, Director Auditing Standards Division

/S/ Kenneth P. Johnson, Chairman Auditing Standards Division

## PROPOSED STATEMENT ON AUDITING STANDARDS ILLEGAL ACTS BY CLIENTS

1. This Statement provides guidance for an independent auditor when acts that appear to him to be illegal come to his attention during an examination of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. This Statement also discusses the extent of the attention he should give, when performing such an examination, to the possibility that such acts may have occurred. The types of acts encompassed by this Statement include illegal political contributions to a candidate in an election for a federal office, bribes, and other violations of laws and regulations.

2. This Statement sets forth guidelines for the appropriate conduct of an independent auditor in fulfilling his obligation to report on financial statements in accordance with professional standards (paragraphs 4-19). It also offers practical suggestions and guidance for the auditor in connection with illegal acts not having a material effect on the financial statements (paragraphs 20 and 21).

3. An examination made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards cannot be expected to provide assurance that illegal acts will be detected.<sup>2</sup> In reporting on financial statements, the independent auditor holds himself out as one who is proficient in accounting and auditing. Determining whether an act is illegal is usually beyond the professional competence of an auditor. The auditor's training and experience, however, ordinarily should provide a reasonable basis for an awareness that some acts by a client coming to his attention in the performance of his examination might be illegal. Nevertheless, the further removed such an act is from the events and transactions ordinarily reflected specifically in financial statements, the less likely it is that the auditor may become aware of the act or recognize its possible illegality.

### Procedures That May Identify Illegal Acts

4 The auditor's examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards does not ordinarily include procedures specifically designed to detect illegal acts. In making such an examination, however, the auditor should be aware of the possibility that illegal acts may have occurred that may have a material effect on the financial statements. If as a result of his procedures the auditor believes that illegal acts may have occurred, he should perform additional procedures to investigate those matters, including consultation with legal counsel as necessary, to obtain an understanding of the nature of the acts and their possible effects on the Snancial statements.

5. The auditor's examination contains procedures that are performed primarily for other purposes, but that may also bring possible illegal acts to his attention. Such procedures include evaluation of internal control and related tests of transactions and balances (paragraphs 0.8), and inquiries of management and others (paragraphs 9 and 10).

6. Evaluation of Internal Control and Related Tests of Transactions and Balances. The auditor's interest in internal accounting con-

trol relates to the authorization. execution, and recording of transactions and accountability for the related assets (see SAS No. 1, sections 320.27.40 and 320.43-48). The auditor's review and tests of compliance with internal accounting control procedures and related substantive tests may bring to his attention unauthorized transactions; transactions improperly recorded as to amount, accounting period, or classification; or transactions not recorded in a complete or timely. manner to maintain accountability for assets. Such transactions may raise questions about the possible existence of an illegal act.

7. In making an examination, the auditor obtains evidential matter as to the propriety of the accounting treatment of and support for transactions and balances. The procedures performed to obtain evidential matter include obtaining an understanding of the transactions tested and their business purpose. A transaction that appears to the auditor to have a very unusual or questionable purpose may raise questions about the possible existence of an illegal act.

8. In making an examination, the auditor ordinarily considers laws and regulations that have a direct monetary effect on the amounts presented in financial statements, knowledge of which is within the expertise of the auditor. For example, tax laws affect accruals and the amount recognized as an expense in the accounting period. Also, applicable laws or regulations may affect the amount of revenue accrued under government contracts.

9. Inquiries of Management and Others. The auditor's examination should include inquiries of the cli-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See SAS No. XX, "The Independent Auditor's Responsibility for the Detection of Errors and Irregularities," paragraph 18, regarding the limitations of an examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

ent's management in connection with the accounting for, and disclosure of, loss contingencies and related communication with legal counsel. The auditor should also inquire about the client's compliance with laws and regulations and about the client's procedures relevant to the prevention or detection of illegal acts, such as policy directives issued by the client and periodic representations obtained by the client from management at appropriate levels of authority concerning compliance with laws and regulations. Possible illegal acts may come to the auditor's attention through such inquiries. For example, an auditor may learn of an investigation by a governmental agency or enforcement proceedings concerning violations of laws with respect to occupational health and safety, food and drug administration, securities, truth in lending, environmental protection, or price fixing or other anti-trust practices.

10. If no external evidence, such as a government agency investigation or an enforcement proceeding, comes to the auditor's attention ar if there is no information from the client's management or legal counsel drawing his attention to such matters, the auditor's examination cannot reasonably be expected. to detect the types of violations of laws and regulations that are indicated in paragraph 9. The laws and regulations governing hose matters are highly specialized and complex. Also, they normally relate to the operating aspects of an entity rather than its financial or accounting aspects. Consequently, determining compliance with such laws and regulations is outside the professional competence of independent auditors.

#### Evaluation of the Materiality of an illegal Act

11. In evaluating the materiality of an illegal act coming to bis attention, the anditor should consider the monetary effects, if any, on the financial statements of the transactions involved, including the related contingent monetary effects of the violation. Contingent monetary effects include fines, penalties, and damages. Other effects of a violation that also should be considered include loss contingencies that should be disclosed and other matters that should be disclosed in the financial statements (see paragraphs 13 and 14).

12. Loss contingencies, such as the threat of expropriation of assets, enforced discontinuance of operations in a foreign country, or possible litigation, may arise as a result of an illegal act. The auditor's considerations for evaluating the materiality of those loss contingencies are similar to those applicable to other loss contingencies.<sup>3</sup>

13. The auditor should also evaluate the adequacy of disclosure of the potential effect of an illegal act on the operations of the entity. If a significant amount of revenue or earnings is derived from transactions involving illegal acts, or if illegal acts create significant unusual risks associated with a material amount of revenue or earnings, such as the loss of a significant business relationship, that information ordinarily should be considered for disclosure in the financial statements.

14. In the case of certain illegal acts not having a material effect on the financial statements, there nevertheless may exist a material loss contingency requiring disclosure in the financial statements because of management's failure to make a required uonfinancial-statement disclosure. For example, nonfinancialstatement disclosure of certain illegal acts by management, such as conviction for illegal campaign contributions, may be necessary to comply with the requirements of a regulatory agency because of their

\*For example, the SEC's Securities Act \*Release No. 5466 requires that "... the conviction of a corporation and/or its officers or directors for having made illegal campaign contributions... should be disclosed to the public and specifically in the shareholders, particularly in the context of a proxy statement where shareholders are being asked to yote for management." alleged impact on the integrity of management, even though the amounts are not material to the financial statements.<sup>3</sup> Determining whether the client is required by applicable laws and regulations to make such disclosure ordinarily requires an opinion from legal counsel.

#### Actions by the Auditor Concerning a Possible (llegal Act

15. Because of the variety of acts and circumstances that might be encountered, it is not practicable to provide specific guidance on the steps an auditor should consider taking with respect to a possible illegal act that comes to his attention. The auditor should consider the circumstances promptly; such consideration may include seeking the advice of legal counsel or other specialists. The implications of a possible illegal act should be copsidered in relation to the intended degree of reliance to be placed on the internal accounting control and the representations of management.

16. After it has been determined that an illegal act has occurred, the auditor should report the circumstances to personnel in the client's organization at a high enough level of authority so that appropriate action can be taken with respect to-

- (a) adjustments or disclosures that may be necessary in the financial statements;
- (b) disclosures that may be required in other documents issued on a more timely basis; and
- (c) consideration of appropriate remedial actions to be taken. In some circumstances, the only appropriate persons of a sufficiently high level of authority to take necessary action in the organization may be the audit committee or the board of directors.

#### lilegal Acts Having a Material Effect

17. If the auditor concludes that an event whose effect, taken alone or with similar events, is material in amount and has not been properly accounted for or disclosed in the financial statements, he would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cenerally accepted accounting principles for the financial accounting for and reporting of loss contingencies are contained in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies."

ordinarily need to qualify his opinion or express an adverse opinion because of the departure from generally accepted accounting principles (see SAS No. 2, paragraphs 15-17).

18. The auditor may conclude that the effects of an illegal act on the financial statements are not susceptible of reasonable estimation. When it is reasonably possible, or probable, that a loss contingency arising from an illegal act will be resolved by a future event and the amount of the potential loss cannot be estimated, an uncertainty exists for which the auditor should consider the need to qualify his opinion (see SAS No. 2, paragraphs 21-25).

19. In some instances, the auditor may not be able to determine the amounts associated with an event, taken alone or with similar events, because of an inability to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter. For example, the act may have been accomplished by circumventing the internal control

system and may not be properly recorded or otherwise adequately documented. In those circomstances, the auditor should consider the need to qualify his opinion or disclaim an opinion because of the scope limitation (see SAS No. 2, paragraphs 10-12).

#### Consideration of Other Illegal Acts

20. The auditor's consideration of illegal acts that come to his attention that do not have a material effect on the financial statements will normally be influenced by the nature of the act and management's actions once the matter is brought to its attention. If an illegal act has come to his attention and he cannot persuade the client's board of directors or its audit committee or other appropriate levels within the organization to give appropriate consideration to remedial action, the auditor should consider withdrawing from the current engagement or dissociating himself from any future relationship with the client. The auditor's decision as to

#### Exhibit D

Letter dated May 11, 1976, from Chairman Roderick M. Hills to William Batten.

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

May 11, 1976

William Batten New York Stock Exchange, Inc. 11 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

#### Dear MU:

[ want to take this opportunity to congratulate you again on your recent appointment and to wish you the best of luck. The job is a challenging one, but one I know you will fill with distinction. I speak for all the members of the Commission in saying that we look forward to working with you on the many complex problems facing the securities incustry today. In that yein, I would like to advise you of a subject which Jim Needham and I have discussed informally in the past, and ask for the benefit of your thoughts.

As you know, the Commission has for many years advocated that publicly-held companies create audit committess, composed of independent directors, to work with outside auditors, 🍸 In our review of corporations who . . . . . .

•/ In 1940, following the McKesson-Robbins investigation, the Commission urged the formation of audit committees, composed of non-officer directors, to participate in arranging corporate audits. In 1972, the Commission endorsed the establishment of audit committees composed of outside directors for all publicly-held companies to provide more effective communications between

whether to withdraw or dissociate because of an illegal act not having a material effect on the financial statements ordinarily will be af. fected by the following factors: (a) the effects on his ability to rely on management's representations and (b) the possible effects of continuing his association with the client, including the appearance of a loss of independence. In reaching a decision on withdrawal or dissociation, the auditor should consult with legal counsel.

#### Notification of Outside Parties

21. Deciding whether there is a need to notify outside parties of an illegal act is the responsibility of management. In the ordinary case, the auditor is under no legal obligation to notify outside parties. However, if the auditor considers the illegal act to be sufficiently serious to warrant withdrawing from the engagement, he should consult his legal counsel as to what other action, if any, he should take.

have revealed questionable foreign and domestic payments we have found an almost universal use of misleading financial records to conceal such corporate practices from outside auditors and directors and corporate counsel. The existence of an audit committee that meets privately with the outside auditors to discuss the scope of the audit, questions arising during the audit, including disputes with management, and that has access to the corporate financial information, is an important part of our effort to maintain the credibility of our system of corporate self regulation.

I am sure you are aware of the fact that the Auditing Standards Executive Committee of the A. I. C. P. A has circulated an exposure draft of a new auditing standard which, it adopted, would require auditors to bring any questionable payments that they may find to the attention of a level of management high enough for corrective steps to be taken. If questionable payments by top management are discovered, such an approach will, of course, be eahanced if an audit committee is in existence.

Additionally, there has been considerable recent comment about steps that can be taken to make the role. of the board of directors more meaningful, Some major corporations have already taken steps to restructure their boards so that a majority consists of outside directors, Indeed, the Chairman of Connecticut General has recently written us about actions taken by that corr poration to create a board consisting only of outside directors and the chief executive officer. While we have no firm notion about the optimum relationship between outside and inside directors, we do believe it is a subject of considerable importance,

independent accountants and outside directors, and there. by to safeguard further the Integrity of corporate financial statements on which public investors rely. In 1974, in amending its rules to require disclosure in proxy statements of the existence or absence of audit committees, the Commission reiterated its support. Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

Right of reproduction and redistribution reserved

Finally, many thoughtful commentators and many major law 'irms have come to the conclusion that the effectiveness of the board of directors and independent counsel is enhanced when the critical aspects of the two functions are kept separate. This, of course, raises the question of whether members of law firms which have the responsibility of advising the corporation, including the board, should also serve as members of that hoard of directors.

The importance of maintaining the truly independent character of the boards of directors of our larger corporations has been illustrated by the Commission's recent enforcement actions in the area of questionable or illegal corporate payments. Significantly, in some of these cases no audit committee existed. In the others, with a single exception, audit committees were either only operated during a portion of the time when the questionable payments were alleged to have been made, or not wholly independent of management. Accordingly, the resolution of these actions typically has involved the establishment of a committee comprised of independent members of the board of directors in order to conduct a full investigation, utilizing independent legal counsel and outside auditors to conduct the necessary detailed inquiries. The thorouganess and vigor with which these committees have conducted their investigations demonstrates the importance of establishing entirely independent audit committees as permanent, rather than extraordinary, corporate organs and encouraging the Buard to rely on independent counsel,

With these thoughts in mind, we have been considering various approaches to increase the likelihood that larger public corporations will establish audit committees composed of outside directors, that they will take further steps to make the role of the board of directors more meaningful, and that corporate boards will deal with independent counsel. One particularly promising approach to accomplish these goals would be for the Exchange to amend its policies and practices. As the Company Manual points out, the Exchange's listing agreement constitutes a code of performance to which companies commit when listing their securities on the Exchange. When the listing agreement was first instituted in 1899, the Exchange took the lead in the field of financial dis-

t≞

÷.

út.

٢ħ

ដៃ-ព រុច្ រូច ព ព-

1

Ê

e

itë"

closure by requiring regular financial reports from listed companies; subsequently, independent public accountants were required.

The Exchange's listing policies have expanded in scope over the years. Specifically, the Exchange has long urged the desirability of including outside directors on corporate boards and specifically charging them with ensoring full disclosure of corporate affairs. In its 1973 White Paper on financial reporting, the Exchange recommended that audit committees, preferably comprised exclosively of outside directors, be formed. This recommendation represented a reaffirmation of a principle first raised by the Exchange in 1940.

In keeping with this tradition, the Exchange new could take the lead in this area by appropriately revising its listing policies, thus providing a practical means of effecting these important objectives without increasing direct government regulation. The objectives are sound in principle and, if implemented, they would significantly advance the public interest.

We would very much appreciate receiving your views on whether the New York Stock Exchange would find it appropriate to alter its listing policies along the lines discussed above. We are sensitive to the fact that, to the extent the Exchange's listing policies impose bordens which corporations might otherwise avoid, the attractiveness of listing on the Exchange may be diminished. But, at the same time, the Exchange has frequently recognized that it could provide effective leadership where its initiatives were consistent with developments in public policy in the fields of corporation finance, management, stockholder relations and accounting, and recent surveys suggest that perhaps two-thirds of NYSE listed companies already have independent audit committees.

We look forward to receiving the benefit of your views, particularly as to what Commission action, if any, in this area would be useful. We would be pleased to meet with you to discuss these matters further.

Sincerely,

/s/ Roderick M. Hills Chairman

-- End of Supplement --