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1960
MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIRMAN

This message to members is my last official act as Chairman of the Board of Governors of your Association for the year 1960. It has been a year of considerable activity as you will realize when you read the report of the Executive Director and review the other information contained in this Annual Report.

As Chairman of the Board it was my responsibility and pleasure to represent the Association on many occasions, but of all my duties the series of meetings held with the thirteen District Committees and their respective Secretaries presented the biggest challenge and provided the greatest reward. It was evident that those serving on the Committees were intensely interested in the work they were doing, and I could not help but be impressed with the caliber of men the Association is attracting to serve in this capacity. This indicates a growing recognition by member firms that self-regulation can function properly only if it is administered by men of ability.

In 1960 we again experienced a substantial growth in our membership and in the number of registered representatives. However, growth in itself is no virtue, and one of the major problems facing our Association today is the increasing number of people entering our business who are not qualified, either by experience or knowledge, to deal with the public in securities. This problem continues to receive the active attention of your Board of Governors and I believe there are reasons to expect that the current year will produce some favorable action.

Following an extensive study and review of the Association’s “5% Mark-Up Policy” by a Special Committee of the Board, a new and condensed interpretation was adopted during the past year. While the new interpretation reflects no change in the Board’s basic philosophy, it should serve as a more effective guide to the membership.

The success which our Association has enjoyed over the years has been due in no small part to the wisdom and untiring efforts of our Executive Director, Wallace Fulton. To assure the Association that it may continue to have the benefit of his experience and knowledge, even after his retirement from full-time employment, your Board entered into a contract with him in 1959 which provides that after retirement he will render such advice and counsel to the Association as it may request. Funds for this purpose were set aside during the last fiscal year and are designated as the Special Investment Account in the financial statement appearing on Page 9 of this report.

This message would not be complete without expressing my appreciation for the co-operation, help and advice which has been given so generously by my associates on the Board, members of the staff, and the various committees. It has been a privilege to work with them and an honor to serve as your Chairman.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Glenn E. Anderson
Revision of several important Association policies and the processing of the largest number of complaints in any single year made 1960 the busiest year in our history. In administrative matters, the year was also one of our most active.

POLICIES REVISED

On April 1, 1960, a new “Free-Riding and Withholding” Interpretation was adopted, expanding the scope of the Interpretation and clarifying certain of the circumstances under which it is applicable.

A condensed memorandum covering the 5% Mark-up Policy was declared effective on December 15, 1960, following an extensive study of the Policy by a special committee of the Board.

The Interpretation on “Prompt Payment” by dealers to underwriters for investment company shares was revised in line with practical experience and became effective February 1, 1961.

SEC DECISIONS

The Securities and Exchange Commission also contributed to the clarification of Association rules and procedures. In each of several of its decisions, the SEC affirmed the basic doctrine of the 5% Mark-up Policy and clarified its application to low-priced securities.

In the most important determination yet made by the Commission with respect to Section 2 of the Rules, relating to recommendations to customers, the Commission stated that Section 2 “. . . is directed against the making of recommendations to customers under circumstances where there is no reasonable basis for considering the recommendation suitable to the customer, and we do not interpret it as applying solely to situations where information concerning the customer is known to or communicated to the broker or dealer.”

Also noteworthy, from the same case, is the Commission’s statement: “To allow persons subject to NASD disciplinary action on appeal to us, to take positions wholly inconsistent with those which they have taken before the Association would seriously depreciate and subvert the purpose and value of its proceedings in the scheme of industry self-regulation intended by the Act.”

In still another decision, the SEC provided an excellent guide for enforcement of our advertising interpretation. Misleading sales literature, in this instance, was a major factor in the decision of the SEC to suspend a member from the Association for a period of 15 days.

MEMBERSHIP

At the close of 1960, Association membership amounted to 4,466, a net gain during the year of 324. The year-end membership total was the highest on record. During the year, 702 firms were admitted to membership and the membership
of 378 was discontinued. In 1959, applications numbered 618 and in 1958 there were 456.

All Districts of the Association but one experienced continued growth in membership last year. Only in Texas (District 6) was there a slight reversal of the trend. In District 12, for instance, 189 new members joined the Association, raising the total for the area to 1,909 members. Principal increases in that District were 121 in New York City; 44 in up-state New York; 26 in New Jersey.

Other substantial increases were in District 10 (District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia and North Carolina), 28 new member firms; District 8 (Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan), 24; District 2 (California and Nevada), 17; District 11 (Pennsylvania, Southern New Jersey and Delaware), 14.

The number of branch offices registered by Association members increased to 4,231 during the year, a net increase of 395 offices.

REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

Association members were employing more registered representatives at year-end than ever before. At December 31, 1960, they had registered 93,828 representatives, a substantial increase over the 84,648 registered as of the same date in 1959. Only in District 4 (Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska and Oklahoma) were there fewer registered employees in 1960 than during the previous year. In District 12, there were 3,645 more registered representatives, and substantial increases occurred in Pennsylvania (766), California (604), and Florida (402).

QUALIFICATION EXAMINATION

As suggested by the climb in the number of registered representatives, 1960 was an active year in examinations taken by potential representatives of members. A total of 25,492 examinations were scored during the year, and of these, 22,566 were taken at the 39 Association examination centers. A passing grade of 80 was required last year. A total of 1,126 applicants failed to attain this mark in their first examination effort.

The purpose of the qualification examination is to require applicants for registration to demonstrate that they have the technical proficiency and knowledge of the securities business necessary to perform their duties as registered representatives. While the Association has raised the passing grade several times since the examination was introduced and has established examination centers throughout the country so that the examination would be taken under uniform, controlled conditions, the need for a stiffer examination has long been recognized.

A major block to the further development of the examination program was removed in December, 1960, when the Securities and Exchange Commission informed us that it would no longer be necessary for the Association to publish in advance the questions and answers in the examination. This has been the arrangement under which we had to operate from the time the program was started in 1956.

In January, 1961, the Board authorized the employment of the Psychological Corporation to prepare a new examination which will require applicants to study the fundamentals of the business and to understand the rules which govern it. Under the new plan, applicants will be furnished with a detailed study outline presenting the topics to be covered in the examination. The actual questions in the examination, however, will not be available in advance. Plans call for the new examination to be in use before the end of 1961.
MEMBER EXAMINATIONS

A total of 2,009 members' offices were examined in 1960, including 515 branch offices. The 1494 main office examinations covered 33 per cent of the membership. The record for 1960 was a slight improvement over 1959, when 32 per cent of the membership was examined.

The compilation made each year of the results of these examinations revealed the following on the subject of mark-up practices: of 23,537 transactions computed, 79.8 per cent were made at mark-ups of under 5 per cent; 42 per cent were made at mark-ups of up to 3 per cent. In 1960, 7.6 per cent involved mark-ups of 10 per cent or more, and in many instances resulted in disciplinary action.

QUESTIONNAIRE

A questionnaire was circulated among members in November seeking facts as to the number of salesmen and firms engaged on a part-time basis in the sale of securities. Incomplete returns from the questionnaire indicate the following:

About 11 per cent of the 3600 reporting members are engaged in other businesses in addition to the securities business. In the main, the other businesses are real estate, accounting, insurance, teaching and government employment.

About 22,500, or nearly 30 per cent of the 62,500 registered representatives covered so far in our compilations, are “part-timers.” Of these “part-timers,” about 60 per cent offer principally contractual (front-end load) plans for purchase of investment company shares, and about 30 per cent offer principally investment company shares other than contractual plans.

Of the approximately 40,000 full-time representatives covered about 40 per cent offer investment company shares other than contractual plans.
occasionally, while about 15 per cent offer investment company shares other than contractual plans exclusively or principally.

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Studies are now under way by two special committees of the Board on problems in the field of underwriting. One special committee is studying the problem created by short sales which are made against the stabilizing bid and subsequently covered, directly or indirectly, by purchases from members of the underwriting and selling groups. The second special committee is examining the problem of determining accurately the unsold balances in underwriters’ accounts. Reports from these committees are expected during 1961.

DIVIDEND GAP

The Association, in 1960, continued its support of the educational program initiated by the Treasury Department in 1959 to inform taxpayers that dividends and interest must be reported on tax returns. Several efforts were made in Congress last year to close the gap between dividends and interest paid and reported through the enactment of legislation requiring the withholding of the tax on dividends and interest. While no withholding legislation was passed last year, the possibility is that new efforts will be made in 1961.

Preliminary analyses of 1959 tax returns by the Treasury indicate that the education program is effectively closing the gap. Also, the Treasury is now developing plans for a change-over to a comprehensive system of automatic data processing of tax returns. An essential element of this mechanized system will be the use of social security account numbers or other identification numbers on all tax returns. The plan, in brief, calls for the payer of dividends and interest to indicate the recipient’s assigned number on the return filed by the payer, and requires the recipient of dividends and interest to note his assigned number on each return filed with the Internal Revenue Service. Congressional action, however, is needed before the Treasury can adopt this plan. The proposed plan is intended to eliminate the need for any withholding of dividends and interest, inasmuch as this new mechanized process of matching dividends and interest received and reported will enable the Internal Revenue Service to detect improper reporting, using the information currently received.

A bill proposing the use of account numbers on all tax returns was sent by the Treasury to the Congress in January, 1961.

INCREASED PENALTY

In April, the membership voted approval of amendments to various sections of the By-Laws and the Rules of Fair Practice. These amendments were, for the most part, clarifying in nature. Noteworthy, however, was the approval of the amendment of Article V, Section I of the Rules increasing the maximum fine for each violation of the rules from $500 to $1,000. These amendments were declared effective by the Board as of August 1, 1960.

ARBITRATION

The desirability of establishing a code of compulsory arbitration for disputes between members has been discussed periodically by the Board of Directors, and the Board of Governors since 1940. In 1944, the Board, in effect, adopted such a proposal, but the SEC, when it was taken informally to the Commission, believed that it was the type of action that should be presented to the membership for a vote and, further, that the compulsory feature should be abandoned. Without the compulsory requirement,
it does not appear that a formal arbitration procedure would be effective.

An informal arbitration procedure has been used with some success in certain of the Districts. One District Committee reports that in 1960 amicable settlements were made in disputes involving some $35,000. Even in that District, however, it appears that the informal arbitration procedure could have been used to greater advantage. A report from the District indicates that at least a dozen cases that have gone through the complaint dockets during 1960 should have been handled as arbitrations. Over 25 other cases would have been the subject of arbitration had there been a formal or compulsory provision in the rules.

Use of the informal procedure by members can often be the simplest and most direct means for obtaining an equitable settlement in disputes between members.

NEW BOOKLET

A copy of a new booklet entitled, "The NASD and the Registered Representative," was sent to all members late in 1960. The booklet contains the standards which are particularly important to the registered representative and includes a re-statement of each of the Rules of Fair Practice, an outline of what is to be found in each section of the Manual, a chapter on the moral and legal obligations of a registered representative and a chapter discussing the effects of revocation of registration. This booklet should prove valuable to firms that conduct their own training courses and to new people who must prepare themselves for the qualification examination through home study. It can also serve as a refresher for those who have been working in the business. A new handbook on over-the-counter trading was also released during the year. It was well received, judging by requests received for copies.

PUBLIC RELATIONS

Under the direction of the Information Committee of the Board of Governors, the Association continued its efforts to build public understanding and recognition of the important economic role played by the over-the-counter market. These efforts also embrace work intended to make the Association and its activities in the public interest better known to the public. In 1960, impressive results were obtained in newspaper, magazine and television coverage along these lines. The amount of space given regularly to quotations on over-the-counter securities also was expanded as a result of our efforts.

The year 1960, in retrospect, has indeed been a productive and fruitful one, and everyone who has contributed to the progress that has been reported here may look back over the year with satisfaction. There remain a number of challenging problems—which indicate that the year 1961 will prove equally interesting.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Executive Director
ACTIVITIES

BUSINESS CONDUCT

Scope of the work of the Association’s Business Conduct Committees in 1960 is indicated in the following:

During the year 348 formal complaints were filed by the Association. Three hundred and twenty-seven cases were decided. There are 227 cases still pending. Nine complaints were filed under the Minor Violation Procedure while 16 were closed, of which 7 had been pending at the beginning of the year.

During the year, the District Business Conduct Committees or the Board expelled 23 members and revoked the registration of 36 representatives; suspended 16 members and 13 registered representatives; fined 189 members and 44 registered representatives; censured 152 members and 36 registered representatives; dismissed actions against 71 members and 32 registered representatives; also, 29 unregistered individuals were named as “causes” of disciplinary actions. Total fines and costs assessed were $153,307.

Sixty-eight cases were appealed to or called up for review by the Board of Governors in 1960.

Five years ago there was one disciplinary case before the Board of Governors. Ten years ago there were two cases, and 20 years ago there were none.

The Securities and Exchange Commission decided the Midland Securities, Inc., Maryland Securities Co., Inc. and Ernest F. Boruski, Jr. cases, in late 1960, all on appeal from Board of Governors’ decisions. The Midland Securities decision is of particular significance to future work on disciplinary cases. The respondent had attacked the 5% Mark-up Policy on every basis, from the constitutionality of Section 15A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 under which the Association is registered, to the claim that the Policy was outdated. The Commission held that each of these arguments is without merit. In the Maryland Securities Co. decision, the Commission once again upheld the Association on a determination of unfair prices.

In the Boruski decision, the Commission stated that the respondent could not interpret the Association’s failure to raise objections upon an earlier examination as indication that a respondent’s books were in compliance with the Rules.

In general, decisions by the Securities and Exchange Commission during the year indicate the Commission’s support of our businessman’s approach to the handling and disposition of trade practice complaints. While the Commission has, on occasion, disagreed on the exact penalties to be imposed for violations, the Commission has generally accepted the construction of our Rules adopted by the Board of Governors in arriving at decisions.

UNIFORM PRACTICE

New Sections 57 (b) and 61 have been added to the Uniform Practice Code to aid members in expediting trade practices and in eliminating trade disputes.

Necessity for enlarging the scope of the reclamation sections became apparent during an extensive study of an issue involving over 30 members located throughout the United States.

Many misunderstandings concerning trading in unit type issues which have stock purchase warrants attached have arisen between members, as well as between members and their clients, and these misunderstandings indicated a great necessity for clarification on this subject. Section 61 does just that. Since its appearance, there has been a noted decrease in the number of complaints on this subject.
A new issue this year, whose settlement seems to be outside the purview of this Committee, concerns the efforts of underwriters of new offerings to combat short sales against syndicate bids. Some brokers have relied upon the "buy-in" procedure, Section 59 of the Code, while others have not. This matter is under consideration by a committee of the Board of Governors.

The Committee has under consideration an amendment to the Code pertaining to the use of post-dated dividend due-bill checks.

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

In September, the Board adopted a revised interpretation with respect to prompt payment by dealers for shares of investment companies, effective February 1, 1961.

The revised interpretation seeks to prevent dealer misuse of customers' funds where dealers have been paid by customers but delay forwarding payment to underwriters. In essence, the revised interpretation simply imposes on dealers and underwriters the obligation to make prompt payment for shares, to maintain records of transactions including payment dates from customers and to underwriters, and imposes on underwriters the obligation to notify the appropriate District office of the Association whenever payment is not received from a dealer within ten business days from the date of any transaction involving more than $100 of investment company shares.

During the past year, some 8,200 pieces of literature were reviewed, of which 5,200 required some sort of corrective action. About 1,500 firms regularly file investment company sales literature with the Investment Companies Department in the Executive Office. In all, over 66,000 pieces of literature have been reviewed since the program commenced in 1950.

Continued growth in the investment companies phase of the securities business, including both numbers of new firms and turnover among established firms, in large measure accounts for the continued volume of sales literature requiring comment upon review.

The Committee, during the year, was concerned with a number of points in the areas of investment company underwriting and sales practices. Specifically considered were the level of sales charges, the propriety of holding customers' orders for investment company shares for changes in the market price, the growth of contractual plans and possible need for additional controls as to sales methods and actual costs to investors, effectiveness of the original Board interpretation as to prompt payment, effectiveness of the current Board interpretation as to special deals in preventing competitive arrangements for added compensation to members or registered representatives such as sharing in management stock, and the ethical considerations in the use of reciprocal brokerage business as a reward for sale of shares or as an inducement to increase the sale of shares.

QUOTATIONS

During the last two years, the National Quotations Committee has endeavored to bring all over-the-counter quotations published as news items under NASD sponsorship. This has involved principally the establishment of local quotations committees to supervise the release of over-the-counter quotations to the local press. So far, NASD sponsorship has replaced the independent dealer by-line in 59 cities throughout the nation and in all cities in North Carolina.

Still, there are areas in the country where the masthead situation continues to be a source of concern, and 1961 activity will be directed at those areas.

Last April, the United Press International placed on its financial wires a list of 47 over-the-counter industrial quotations.

Through the use of Transmit, Inc., a private teletype network system, the Los Angeles Quotations Committee has broadened quotations coverage in the Southern California region. NASD quotations now appear in 21 newspapers in the area in contrast with nine a year ago.

FOREIGN SECURITIES

The volume of business done in foreign securities in the United States has increased greatly over the past five years.

Foreign securities quotations stated in U. S. dollars are now being published in the newspapers under the NASD masthead, thus enabling the public to check the current market range of these securities on a daily basis without reference to currency conversion tables.

The Foreign Securities Committee is endeavoring to obtain a relaxation of the regulations of the United Kingdom Finance Act of 1959. Pending the solution of the problems resulting from the 1959 Act, no initial action has been taken on the 1960 Act.
FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Year ended September 30,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1959</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td>$954,353</td>
<td>$1,010,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered representatives' fees:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>379,280</td>
<td>737,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations</td>
<td>277,990</td>
<td>1,018,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch office fees</td>
<td>50,209</td>
<td>74,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission fees, etc.</td>
<td>54,320</td>
<td>66,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines and costs</td>
<td>112,045</td>
<td>50,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>45,969</td>
<td>24,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,874,166</td>
<td>1,172,733</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expenses:

Salaries and office services:
National office and committees          | 327,112  | 291,707  |
District offices                         | 430,087  | 1,002,600 |
Travel and meetings                      | 139,078  | 9,169    |
Publications, printing and stationery, net | 155,792  | 8,717    |
Complaints and investigations—travel and transcripts | 109,616  | 7,354    |
Fees—legal, accounting, information and quotations | 117,996  | 48,470   |
Rent                                     | 108,464  | 60,436   |
Furniture and equipment                  | 91,212   | 27,074   |
Office and miscellaneous                 | 80,934   | 58,576   |
Insurance and taxes                     | 42,542   | 38,825   |
Retirement (including $14,896 in 1959 for providing increased benefits based upon past service) | 29,727   | 28,689   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1,632,560</th>
<th>1,161,322</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excess of income over expenses</td>
<td>241,606</td>
<td>171,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated balance</td>
<td>875,652</td>
<td>664,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,117,528</td>
<td>875,853</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composition of Accumulated Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1959</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$264,046</td>
<td>$284,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Government securities, at cost (approximate market)</td>
<td>880,063</td>
<td>662,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special investment account (marketable securities, at cost, cash and accrued interest, see Note)</td>
<td>36,765</td>
<td>36,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>26,247</td>
<td>14,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(70,667)</td>
<td>(63,911)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued and withheld taxes</td>
<td>(15,873)</td>
<td>(13,825)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments collected in advance</td>
<td>(3,323)</td>
<td>(8,660)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,117,528</td>
<td>$875,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: A special investment account has been established in accordance with a resolution of the Board of Governors. The funds in the account are to be invested and reinvested in consultation with the Association's Retirement Committee. (See Chairman's message, page 1.)

National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.
1707 H Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C.

Washington 6, D. C.
December 2, 1960

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement presents fairly the recorded income and expenses of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. for the years ended September 30, 1960 and September 30, 1959 and the composition of its accumulated balance at each of those dates, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis. Our examination of the statement was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary.

Price Waterhouse & Co.
OFFICERS 1961

WILLIAM H. CLAFLIN, III
Chairman

ROBERT L. CODY
Vice-Chairman

GRAHAM JONES
Vice-Chairman

BLANCHE NOYES
Treasurer

WALLACE H. FULTON
Executive Director
BOARD OF GOVERNORS

To Serve Until January 1962

Andrew M. Baird
A. G. Becker & Co., Incorporated, Chicago

William H. Claflin, III
Tucker, Anthony & R. L. Day, Boston

Robert L. Cody
North American Securities Company, San Francisco

Graham Jones
Cooley & Company, Hartford

Blanche Noyes
Hemphill, Noyes & Co., New York City

Claude F. Turben
Merrill, Turben & Co., Inc., Cleveland

Samuel S. Whittemore
Pacific Northwest Company, Spokane

To Serve Until January 1963

Robert E. Duffron, Jr.
Harrison & Co., Philadelphia

William S. Hughes
Wagensenler & Durst, Inc., Los Angeles

Edward H. Ladd 3d
The First Boston Corporation, New York City

Edward S. Lewis, Jr.
Lewis and Company, Jackson, Mississippi

J. Robert Neal
Wyatt, Neal & Waggoner, Atlanta

Avery Rockefeller, Jr.
Dominick & Dominick, New York City

Paul E. Youmans
Bosworth, Sullivan & Company, Inc., Denver

To Serve Until January 1964

John W. Bunn
Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, St. Louis

Merrill M. Cohen
J. M. Dain & Co., Inc., Minneapolis

Robert W. Fleming

Merl McHenry
J. Barth & Co., San Francisco

Earl G. Fridley
Fridley & Frederking, Houston

A. Jackson Goodwin, Jr.
Lee Higginson Corporation, Chicago

Hudson B. Lemkau
Morgan Stanley & Co., New York City

FORMER CHAIRMEN OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

1939—*B. Howell Griswold, Jr.
Baltimore

1940—*Francis A. Bonner
Chicago

1941—Robert W. Baird
Milwaukee

1942—H. H. Dewar
San Antonio

1943—*Henry G. Riter, 3rd
New York City

1944—Ralph Chapman
Chicago

1945—Ralph E. Phillips
Los Angeles

1946—*William K. Barclay, Jr.
Philadelphia

1947—Herbert F. Boynton
New York City

1948—L. Raymond Billett
Chicago

1949—Clement A. Evans
Atlanta

1950—John J. Sullivan
Denver

1951—Howard E. Buhse
Chicago

1952—Clarence A. Bickel
Milwaukee

1953—Carl Stolle
New York City

1954—Edward C. George
Chicago

1955—Harold E. Wood
St. Paul

1956—Frank H. Hunter
Pittsburgh

1957—Frank L. Reissner
Indianapolis

1958—Charles L. Bergmann
New York City

1959—Alexander Yearley, IV
Atlanta

1960—Glenn E. Anderson
Raleigh

*Deceased
**COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE</th>
<th>UNIFORM PRACTICE COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William H. Claflin, III—Chairman</td>
<td>Henry H. Badenberger—Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew M. Baird</td>
<td>Thomas B. MacDonald—Vice-Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Cody</td>
<td>Edward J. Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert E. Dafton, Jr.</td>
<td>George J. Denzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Jones</td>
<td>Guenther M. Philipp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward H. Ladd 3d</td>
<td>Ralph W. Welsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blancke Noyes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avery Rockefeller, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. Youmans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace H. Fulton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCE COMMITTEE</td>
<td>INFORMATION COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew M. Baird—Chairman</td>
<td>Claude F. Turben—Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William H. Claflin, III</td>
<td>A. Jackson Goodwin, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William S. Hughes</td>
<td>Edward S. Lewis, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blancke Noyes</td>
<td>Wallace H. Fulton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claude F. Turben</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel S. Whittemore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace H. Fulton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS CONDUCT COMMITTEE</td>
<td>FOREIGN SECURITIES COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward H. Ladd 3d—Chairman</td>
<td>Henri L. Froy—Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avery Rockefeller, Jr.—Vice-Chairman</td>
<td>John A. Nevins—Vice-Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John W. Bunn</td>
<td>Hans Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill M. Cohen</td>
<td>Jack M. Bloch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert W. Fleming</td>
<td>John Fountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl G. Friddle</td>
<td>Derek Grewcock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Jackson Goodwin, Jr.</td>
<td>Max Halpert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson B. Lemkau</td>
<td>Edwin C. Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merl McHenry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUOTATIONS COMMITTEE</td>
<td>INVESTMENT COMPANIES COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis J. Cunningham—Chairman</td>
<td>Franklin R. Johnson—Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen A. Darfler—Vice-Chairman and</td>
<td>Robert L. Cody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman, Midwestern Region</td>
<td>John R. Haire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Richard Harris—Chairman, Eastern Region</td>
<td>Arthur H. Haussermann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William C. Porter—Chairman, Southwestern Region</td>
<td>Rowland A. Robbins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph E. Phillips, Jr.—Chairman, Pacific Coast Region</td>
<td>Henry J. Simonson, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence A. Horn</td>
<td>Joseph E. Welch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert M. Lothrop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John D. Ohlandt, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John I. Rohde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGISLATION COMMITTEE</td>
<td>TRADING COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel S. Whittemore—Chairman</td>
<td>H. Neill Brady—Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert E. Dafton, Jr.</td>
<td>Arthur W. Bertsch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Robert Neal</td>
<td>Lewis R. Bulkley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. Victor Mosley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stanley M. Waldron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
District Committees

1
Harold L. Temple, Chairman........... Campbell & Robbins Incorporated Portland
John K. Marshall, Vice-Chairman......... Foster & Marshall, Spokane
Edward K. Easter....................... Dean Witter & Co., Seattle
John A. Fagerstedt...................... Paine-Rice & Company, Spokane
George F. Patten, Jr..................... George Patten Investment Co., Portland
William T. Patten, Jr.................... Blyth & Co., Inc., Seattle
Eugene R. Gibson, Secretary, White-Henry-Stuart Building, Seattle

2
States of California, Nevada and Hawaii.
Verdon C. Smith, Chairman............. Lester, Ryons & Co., Los Angeles
Van S. Trefethen, Co-Chairman......... Shuman, Agnew & Co., San Francisco
John D. Berl............................. Sutro & Co., San Francisco
Richard M. Davis....................... Davis, Skaggs & Co., San Francisco
Charles B. Harkins...................... Blyth & Co., Inc., Portland
Robert C. Hill.......................... Hill, Richards & Co., Los Angeles
Arthur N. Honig......................... Brush, Slocumb & Co., San Francisco
Francis S. McComb........................ Blyth & Co., Inc., Los Angeles
Milbank McFie........................... Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Los Angeles
Robert R. Miller......................... Hornblower & Weeks, Los Angeles
Hart D. Wood............................ Hart Wood and Company, Ltd., Honolulu
D. J. Conway, Secretary, Russ Building, San Francisco 4
James H. Resh, Assistant Secretary,
210 West 7th Street, Los Angeles 14
States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming.

C. Arthur Pettibone, Chairman .... Earl M. Scanlan and Co., Denver
Gerald P. Peters, Jr., Vice-Chairman .... Peters, Writer & Christensen, Inc., Denver
Edward F. Altman ............ Stone, Altman & Company, Inc., Denver
Harrison S. Brothers ....... Harrison S. Brothers & Co., Salt Lake City
Harry T. Buchenau ....... Bosworth, Sullivan & Company, Incorporated, Denver
Walter J. Coughlin ............ Coughlin and Company, Inc., Denver
Kenneth A. Ellis ............ William R. Staats & Co., Phoenix
Willard A. Johnson ....... Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Denver
Arthur P. Quinn ............ Quinn & Co., Albuquerque

Kenneth W. Cole, Secretary, Boston Building, Denver 2

States of Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska and Oklahoma.

Edward G. Mader, Chairman .... B. C. Christopher & Co., Kansas City
W. Guy Redman, Vice-Chairman .... A. G. Edwards & Sons, St. Louis
Don Adkison ............ Bache & Co., Tulsa
Clay E. Coburn ............ Stern Brothers & Co., Kansas City
Dumont G. Dempsey .... Newhard, Cook & Co., St. Louis
T. Bates Huffaker ....... Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Kansas City
Carl A. Meyer ............ The Columbian Securities Corporation, Topeka
Elliot H. Stein ............ Scherck, Richter Company, Inc., St. Louis
M. J. (Zack) Warren .... Storz-Wachob-Bender Corporation, Omaha

William S. Clendenin, Secretary
Waldheim Building, 6 East 11th Street, Kansas City 6

States of Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and, in the State of Tennessee, the Counties of Montgomery, Dickson, Hickman, Lewis and Lawrence and the remainder of said State lying west of such Counties.

Mortimer A. Cohen, Chairman .... Sterne, Agee & Leach, Montgomery
G. Shelby Friedrichs, Vice-Chairman .... Howard, Weil, Labouisse, Friedrichs and Company, New Orleans

Harry D. Allen ............ Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Memphis
Frank D. Frederic .......... Equitable Securities Corporation, Memphis
C. Homer Kees ............ Ducournau & Kees, New Orleans
T. Clyde Ulmer ............ Courts & Co., Birmingham

Edward J. Newton, Secretary, Richards Building, New Orleans 12

State of Texas.

Creston A. King, Chairman .... Dewar, Robertson & Pancost, San Antonio
Albert E. Bernet, Jr., Vice-Chairman .... Schneider, Bernet & Hickman, Inc., Dallas
A. Gordon Crockett ...... Dempsey-Tegeler & Company, Houston
Landon A. Freear .......... William N. Edwards & Company, Fort Worth
Frank R. Newton, Jr., ........ Lenzt, Newton & Co., San Antonio
Roderic B. Thomas .......... Dallas Rupe & Son, Inc., Dallas

William J. Radding, Jr., Secretary, Southland Center, Dallas 1
States of Florida, Georgia, South Carolina and, in the State of Tennessee, the Counties of Robertson, Cheatham, Williamson, Maury and Giles, and the remainder of said State lying east of such Counties.
Frank A. Chisolm, Chairman .......... Varneroe, Chisolm & Co., Inc.
Savannah
Clinton T. McCriddy, Vice-Chairman .. Leedy, Wheeler & Alleman, Inc.
Miami
H. George Carrison .................. Pierce, Carrison, Wulberr Corp.
Jacksonville
Gus G. Halliburton .............. Equitable Securities Corporation, Nashville
Roy F. Hunt, Jr............. Alester G. Furman Co., Incorporated, Greenville
Julian W. Tindall .............. J. W. Tindall & Co., Atlanta

Bennett Whipple, Secretary,
Commerce Building, 34 Broad Street, N. W., Atlanta

States of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin.
A. Jackson Goodwin, Jr., Chairman .... Lee Higginson Corporation,
Chicago
Claude G. Porter, Vice-Chairman . Baker, Simonds & Co., Inc., Detroit
James A. Swoboda, Vice-Chairman . Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis,
Milwaukee
Gordon Bent ....................... Bacon, Whipple & Co., Chicago
Robert M. Clark ................. Blunt Ellis & Simmons, Chicago
Merrill M. Cohen ............... J. M. Dain & Co., Inc., Minneapolis
Harry B. Graefe ............... First of Iowa Corporation, Des Moines
Julian A. Kiser .............. Kiser, Cohn & Shumaker, Inc., Indianapolis
Robert A. Podesta .............. Cruttenden, Podesta & Co., Chicago
Carl F. Thiel ................. Berrien Securities, Inc., Benton Harbor
Richard B. Walbert ............. Blyth & Co., Inc., Chicago

John F. Brady, Secretary.
Harris Bank Building, 111 W. Monroe Street, Chicago 3

States of Kentucky and Ohio.
John A. Kruse, Chairman .......... Prescott & Co., Cleveland
John B. Greene, Vice-Chairman ........ Greene & Ladd, Dayton
Charles F. Conners .............. Pohl & Company, Inc., Cincinnati
Walton B. Dabney .............. J. J. B. Hilliard & Son, Louisville
Harvey L. Hawkins .............. Hawkins & Co., Cleveland
Herman B. Joseph .......... Joseph, Mellen & Miller, Inc., Cleveland
W. Roger Springate, Jr .......... Security & Bond Company, Lexington
Justin J. Stevenson, Jr .......... W. E. Hutton & Co., Cincinnati
Leland A. Walters .......... Verco & Company, Columbus

Lee C. Monett, Secretary, The East Ohio Building, 1717 East Ninth Street, Cleveland 14

The District of Columbia and the States of Maryland, North Carolina and Virginia.
Robert W. Fleming, Chairman .......... Folger, Nolan, Fleming-W.B.
Hibbs & Co., Inc., Washington
Eugene H. Cassell, Vice-Chairman ........ C. F. Cassell & Co., Inc., Charlottesville
Henry C. Evans .................. Stein Bros. & Boyce, Baltimore
R. Eldridge Longest .......... Scott & Stringfellow, Richmond
Harry L. McAllister ............ R. S. Dickson & Company, Incorporated,
Charlotte
C. T. Williams, Jr............. C. T. Williams & Company, Inc., Baltimore

Richard Peters, Secretary, 1710 H Street, N. W., Washington 6
States of Delaware, Pennsylvania, West Virginia and, in the State of New Jersey, the Counties of Burlington and Ocean and the remainder of said State lying south of such Counties.

James C. Chaplin, III, Co-Chairman .......... Chaplin, McGuiness & Co., Pittsburgh
George E. Nehrbas, Co-Chairman .......... Parrish & Co., Philadelphia
Walter H. Babbit ..................... W. H. Babbit & Company, Incorporated, Pittsburgh
Ernest M. Brown ................. M. M. Freeman & Co., Inc., Philadelphia
Harold F. Carter ............. Hornblower & Weeks, Philadelphia
J. Stanley Hope .......................... J. S. Hope & Co., Scranton
Alfred Rauch .................. Kidder, Peabody & Co., Philadelphia
Ralph S. Richards, Jr. ............ Richards & Company, Pittsburgh
Harry B. Snyder .................. Yarnall, Biddle & Co., Philadelphia
Harry J. Steele ................. H. J. Steele & Co., Pittsburgh
Norman B. Ward, Jr. ............. Norman Ward & Co., Pittsburgh
Edward R. Gilleran, Secretary, 123 South Broad Street, Philadelphia 9

States of Connecticut, New York, and the State of New Jersey, the Counties of Mercer and Monmouth, and the remainder of said State lying north of such Counties.

Gustave A. Alexissone, Vice-Chairman .......... Granbery, Marache & Co., New York
Craig Severance, Vice-Chairman .......... F. Eberstadt & Co., New York
John W. Dayton, Jr. .............. Clark, Dodge & Co., New York
George T. Flynn .................... Hornblower & Weeks, New York
Herbert A. Goldstone ........... Wertheim & Co., New York
Hudson B. Lemkau ............. Morgan Stanley & Co., New York
David J. Lewis ................ Waller, Webber, Jackson & Curtis, New York
Daniel V. McNamee, Jr. ....... First Albany Corporation, Albany
Jonas H. Ottens ................... Salomon Bros., & Hutzler, New York
J. William Roos ............. W. A. Gardner & Company, Newark
Alfred J. Ross ................... Dick & Merle-Smith, New York
Leslie B. Swan .............. Chas. W. Scronant & Co., New Haven
George J. Bergen, Secretary, 25 Broad Street, New York 4

States of Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont.

Frank H. Sellman, Chairman .......... Spencer Trask & Co., Boston
Foster B. Davis, Jr., Vice-Chairman .......... Davis & Davis, Providence
Walter T. Burns .................. Burns, Barron & Co., Portland
Paul B. Hanrahun .............. Hanrahun & Co., Inc., Worcester
Roscoe A. Hayes ................ Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis, Boston
Eugene R. Hussey ............. The First Boston Corporation, Boston
Carleton H. Simmons ............ Hayden, Stone & Co., Boston
Roger B. Whitman ................ F. S. Moseley & Co., Boston
Francis C. Doyle, Secretary, 80 Federal Street, Boston 10
PURPOSES

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

(1) To promote through cooperative effort the investment banking and securities business, to standardize its principles and practices, to promote therein high standards of commercial honor, and to encourage and promote among members observance of Federal and State securities laws;

(2) To provide a medium through which its membership may be enabled to confer, consult, and cooperate with governmental and other agencies in the solution of problems affecting investors, the public, and the investment banking and securities business;

(3) To adopt, administer and enforce rules of fair practice and rules to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, and in general to promote just and equitable principles of trade for the protection of investors;

(4) To promote self-discipline among members, and to investigate and adjust grievances between the public and members and between members.

(From the Certificate of Incorporation)